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Childbirth and consequent atopic disease: emerging evidence on epigenetic effects based on the hygiene and EPIIC hypotheses
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Abstract

Background: In most high and middle income countries across the world, at least 1:4 women give birth by cesarean section. Rates of labour induction and augmentation are rising steeply; and in some countries up to 50% of laboring women and newborns are given antibiotics. Governments and international agencies are increasingly concerned about the clinical, economic and psychosocial effects of these interventions.

Discussion: There is emerging evidence that certain intrapartum and early neonatal interventions might affect the neonatal immune response in the longer term, and perhaps trans-generationally. Two theories lead the debate in this area. Those aligned with the hygiene (or 'Old Friends') hypothesis have examined the effect of gut microbiome colonization secondary to mode of birth and intrapartum/neonatal pharmacological interventions on immune response and epigenetic phenomena. Those working with the EPIIC (Epigenetic Impact of Childbirth) hypothesis are concerned with the effects of eustress and dys-stress on the epigenome, secondary to mode of birth and labour interventions.

Summary: This paper examines the current and emerging findings relating to childbirth and atopic/autoimmune disease from the perspective of both theories, and proposes an alliance of research effort. This is likely to accelerate the discovery of important findings arising from both approaches, and to maximize the timely understanding of the longer-term consequences of childbirth practices.
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are increasingly concerned about the clinical, economic and psychosocial effects of these interventions [11, 12]. The recent Lancet Series on Midwifery has noted the need for balance between excessive levels of intervention (and associated morbidity) and lack of appropriate intervention when it is needed [13].

More recently some researchers have begun to examine the rapid rise in intrapartum interventions such as caesarean sections [14, 15], the use of exogenous oxytocin for labour induction and/or augmentation [16], the use of intrapartum and neonatal antibiotics [10], and rates of infant formula feeding [17] as possibly associated with a similar steep rise in reports of asthma and atopic disorders globally [18, 19].

Two theories lead the debate in this area. Those aligned with the hygiene (or ‘Old Friends’) hypothesis have examined the effect of gut microbiome colonization secondary to mode of birth and intrapartum/neonatal pharmacological interventions on immune response and epigenetic phenomenon [20, 21]. Those working with the EPICC (Epi-genetic Impact of Childbirth) hypothesis are concerned with the effects of eustress and dys-stress on the epigome, secondary to mode of birth and labour interventions [19]. This paper describes key epigenetic concepts, followed by a comparative presentation of the two theoretical propositions for potential causative associations between birth related events and atopic disease. It then proposes that those working from the perspective of both these theories might unite their research efforts to generate a more effective synergistic analysis of the situation.

**Discussion**

**Epigenetics**

Each body cell has the same DNA and genes, but their expression can vary [22]. Epigenetic regulation serves as a precise process that regulates the expression of certain genes and the silencing of others. Epigenetics is a rapidly developing field in biomedicine and is important for understanding the course of the human lifecycle and how resistance and susceptibility to disease develop. It is also an emerging field in nursing and midwifery science [23] with focus on topics such as hypertension and preeclampsia [24], renal disease [25] and other chronic conditions [19]. The examination of mode of birth, atopic disease, and epigenetics are timely and important, since it has been hypothesized that they may be interrelated.

DNA methylation is a specific epigenetic alteration in which a methyl group attaches to a cytosine (C) residue in DNA, which is followed by a guanine (G) residue connected by a phosphate bond, commonly referred to as a CpG dinucleotide. Between 60 and 90 % of cytosines are methylated in human DNA [26] and the establishment of “normal” DNA methylation patterns are necessary for embryonic development. DNA methylation occurs mainly at the C5 position of CpG dinucleotides. Normal patterns of methylation are required for the differentiation of cell types. For example, every cell in an individual’s body has the same DNA sequence, and methylation patterns present on the DNA sequence are specific to tissue type [27–29]. In other words, the methylation pattern for a cell in the heart will be different from the methylation pattern on a cell in the eye, even though the DNA sequence is exactly the same. Alterations in methylation patterns also explain some of the processes that occur in complex disease states, such as delayed onset disease or situations where only one identical twin develops cancer [30–33].

DNA methylation patterns are known modulators of immune function and alterations have been associated with processes that moderate immune development. Examples of these are listed in Table 1. Martino et al. [34] found that exposures within the first years of life alter methylation patterns on monocarboxyl cells. They identified significant methylation changes in cell signaling and communication pathways associated with immune response and development. They found that the methylation associated with immune function in fetal cells were substantially different relative to the first 5 years of life and underwent the most significant changes in the first year of life. They suggest this may be related to the lack of antigenic challenges to the fetal immune system in utero. Because the methylation related to programming the immune system appears to be specifically susceptible to alterations early in life, exposures occurring during the labor and birth process should be carefully considered. Additionally, methylation alterations in various cell types of the immune system contribute to how the body responds to antigenic challenges throughout life. For example, T-lymphocyte cell function and cytokine expression are altered by methylation patterns present on T cells [35] and DNA methylation patterns are altered by bacterial infection [36, 37]. Different methylation patterns associated with the development of disease have been identified in animal models when they are colonized with altered bacterial populations after birth [38]. Since DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immune function or Alteration</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial infection induces hypermethylation</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discriminates between regulatory and conventional T cells</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downregulation of IFN-γ in fetus, helps prevent fetal loss</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early-life stress alters T-cell methylation</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgE production</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune cell signaling and communication pathways</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of T cell memory and cytokine expression pattern</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal bacterial infection promotes fetal hypermethylation</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number and function of regulatory T cells</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral infection increases DNA methylation</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
methylation vitally contributes to cell differentiation in the immune system and programming memory in immune cells, it is an excellent candidate for identifying unknown mechanisms that may be associated with altered immune function, such as asthma and atopic disease.

The hygiene (‘Old Friends’) hypothesis
Supporters of the ‘hygiene hypothesis’ have proposed that over the past century declining family size, improved household amenities, and higher standards of personal cleanliness have reduced opportunities for cross infection in young families leading to more widespread expression of allergy responses. Strachan [39] was one of the first people to publish on the hygiene hypothesis and this has remained a dominant explanation for the increase in atopic and autoimmune diseases we see today. However, Strachan himself has recently questioned aspects of this theory [40] as have other researchers working in this area [41, 42]. Rook and colleagues have proposed that the regulatory capacity of the gut microbiota is primed by so called ‘Old Friends’ [43]. These are microbes that formed part of human evolution, from Neolithic times, and that are still present in the human gut. The theory proposes that, without the presence of these microbes, the human immune system will not develop and act effectively when it encounters potential antigenic stimulants. Even more recently, the paediatric community has begun to critique the original hygiene hypothesis – for instance Weiss [42] notes that, although diseases such as eczema, asthma, allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, inflammatory bowel disease, and diabetes are rising significantly in high income nations worldwide, changes in hygiene practices preceded the epidemic of these diseases by many years.

These, and similar critiques, have led to what has been termed the ‘extended hygiene hypothesis’ suggesting that, rather than waiting for exposure to bacteria to prime the immune system, in-utero, and in the first hours, weeks or months of life, the baby needs to gather a community of pre-existing microbes that come from the mother, as well as from the surrounding environment. Establishing the gut microbiota (which is increasingly being described as an active organism rather than a passive colonisation) may be important in protecting the child and later on the adult against atopic and immunological diseases [44]. Disturbances in this process could be linked to developing infectious, inflammatory, and allergic diseases later in life [44, 45]. There is also emerging evidence of the importance of the gut bacteria modulating the blood brain barrier [46].

The extended hygiene hypothesis may be supported by evidence that babies born by caesarean delivery have different gut microbiota in the first hours and days of life to those born vaginally, suggesting that the route of birth may be fundamental to the physiology of the gut flora. The recent CHILD study from Canada used DNA sequencing to detect microbes in faecal samples from infants at age four months. They found infants born by caesarean delivery have low bacterial richness and diversity compared to those born vaginally [20]. There is mounting evidence that the development of IgE-mediated sensitization to food allergens is higher in children born by caesarean delivery [47].

There is also evidence to indicate a marked increase in children’s susceptibility to a range of immune related disorders if they are born by caesarean delivery, and particularly when it is performed electively without concurrent labour [15]. Following cesarean delivery there are higher numbers of cells secreting immunoglobulins (IgA and IgG) at 1 year of age, and some studies have found increased rates of asthma, gastroenteritis, rhinitis, food allergies, and type 1 diabetes [15, 48, 49]. Other studies have produced conflicting findings, particularly with respect to inhalant atopy or atopic dermatitis [50]. These authors hypothesise that the effect of caesarean section may not contribute significantly to the observed rise in allergic disease.

DNA methylation and altered gut colonization
Alterations in DNA methylation patterns related to altered initial colonization of the gut have been identified in animal models. Back in 1915, Kendall determined that microbes, which colonize the gastrointestinal tract at birth, were involved in normal development of the immune system [50]. However, the biological mechanism of how this occurred remained unknown for years. Olszak et al. [38] hypothesized that the type of bacteria that colonize the gastrointestinal tract in the neonatal period, has an effect on the function of cells in the immune system. The study was performed on germ-free and specific-pathogen free mice. Olszak’s study also suggested that microbial exposure alters gene expression in specific tissues. They found that hypermethylation of CpG sites in colon and lung tissues of the chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 16 (CXCL16) gene occurred when specific pathogens were not present during early life development. The CXCL16 gene encodes a chemokine receptor on invariant natural killer T cells (iNKT), resulting in higher accumulations of iNKT cells that are involved with inflammatory processes. Thus higher accumulation of the iNKTs only occurred in the colon and lung when specific bacteria were not present. The authors hypothesized an environmental exposure later in life triggers various inflammatory disease processes programmed by the altered methylation in the bowel and lungs, such as asthma and irritable bowel syndrome. Therefore, exposure to bacteria early in development affects the programming of the immune system, in mice, by causing perturbation in DNA methylation patterns. They concluded the findings could be extrapolated to humans because the mouse model
used is similar to human cells. Further studies investigating alterations of methylation patterns in humans related to the effects of antibiotics and subsequent alteration in the microbiome could identify mechanisms of altered immune programming, and this may begin at the point of entry at birth. These findings have relevance to early infant development and mode of feeding.

Mode of birth and breastfeeding
Mode of birth (cesarean or vaginal) and whether or not the infant breastfeeds are also being studied as possible determinants of gut microbiota development [51, 52]. Cesarean delivery prevents normal colonisation in the baby's gut, because of lack of exposure to the mother's microbes. Breastfeeding is thought to lead to healthy gut microbiota by providing selective metabolic substrates for 'good' bacteria to exist [20, 52].

Antibiotic use
To date, primary research outcomes for studies investigating intrapartum antibiotic administration have been related to maternal illness and health outcomes [53]. Intrapartum antibiotic administration prior to initiating the incision when cesarean deliveries are performed significantly decreases infectious morbidity outcomes in women [54]. Ledger and Blaser pose that U.S. physicians prescribe too many antibiotics for the pregnant woman and fetus in utero [10]. They cited practice modifications to prevent group B streptococcus (GBS) infections in newborn and intrauterine [10]. They cited practice modifications to prevent neonatal GBS infections in newborns, which cross to the fetus. However, there has been little research regarding the long-term effects of antepartum or intrapartum antibiotic use on the infant. In their review of 86 studies, Small and Gyte [53] noted the effects of intrapartum antibiotic administration on the infant are largely unknown, limiting the ability to accurately evaluate the potential benefit and/or harm of intrapartum administration. A 2009 Cochrane Review reported that the recommendations for neonatal GBS antibiotic prophylaxis are based on flawed studies, and that, due to current clinical protocols, it is unlikely unbiased studies will be initiated [55]. The incidence of neonatal GBS sepsis during the first 7 days of life has declined 80 % (though the same cannot be said for late onset GBS) since implementation of prophylactic antibiotic administration in women colonized with GBS, suggesting a straightforward recommendation for routine prophylactic treatment, at first glance [56]. However, despite the methodological and ethical difficulties, future studies are needed to weigh the risks and harms of antibiotic exposure during birth. This is increasingly apparent as evidence accumulates around the potential risks of routine prophylactic use of antibiotics, including increased rates of asthma in early childhood associated with maternal antibiotic use, which suggests a role for bacterial ecology in the perinatal period [57, 58]. There are also studies showing associations between maternal antibiotic use before and during pregnancy and infant allergy to cow's milk [59]; and that administration of antibiotics to children under the age of 6 months is linked with higher rates of obesity for children of parents at normal weight, independent of mode of birth [60]. Epidemiological research has also shown an association between exposure to antibiotics during pregnancy and infant birth weight, and epigenetic analysis has demonstrated altered DNA methylation in these circumstances, which has been associated with obesity as well as other disorders later on in life [21]. Antibiotics have been shown to trigger altered gene expression and changes in gut microbes in rats. This has been found to influence immune system development and function [61, 62]. Fetal and/or neonatal exposure to antibiotics may lead to a dysfunctional development of the immune system initiating a cascade of events associated with future health conditions. Csoka and Szuf [63] hypothesized that pharmaceuticals create a gene-environment interaction which prompts cells to adapt through methylation and that such epigenetic changes may persist after the drug has ceased. As an alternative explanation, a recent study has suggested that maternal antibiotic use may be a surrogate marker of a mother’s general propensity for infections, and that this might be the underlying link between a mother's use of antibiotics and the risk of asthma in the offspring [64]. This indicates the aetiology is complex and confounded by other pregnancy and intrapartum practices. Long term studies are needed to determine the aetiology and inter-generational outcomes of the current high rates of antibiotic administration during labour and birth [10].

The EPIIC hypothesis
The EPIIC hypothesis published in 2013 proposes that, when labor and birth occurs primarily without intervention (when the process proceeds physiologically) a healthy positive form of stress (eustress) is exerted on the fetus [19]. This then has physiological epigenomic effect on specific genes, especially those that program immune responses, genes responsible for weight regulation, and specific tumor-suppressor genes. There is biological evidence for an altered stress (dys-stress) response in babies born after either elective cesarean section (when salivary cortisol response to stress seems to be down regulated at least for the first 2 months) or instrumental vaginal delivery (resulting in upregulation) when compared to those born after vaginal birth [65]. The EPIIC hypothesis suggests that the middle range of stress is physiologically optimal, and that this might be stimulated by non-pathological labour pain and the physical and psychological effort of labour. These processes are hypothesised to be essential both to
enable the baby to be born, and as the stimulation for the optimal cortisol (stress) response to enable effective epigenetic priming. Excessively high levels (dys-stress) and excessively low levels (a-stress) are hypothesized to be associated with a-stress, both of which could be linked to pathological priming.

Others have shown differences in DNA methylation at the time of birth, by mode of birth [66, 67]. Very recently Almgre and colleagues [67] examined the cord blood of 27 babies born by caesarean section and 37 born vaginally. They found DNA from CD34+ cells from infants delivered by caesarean section were globally more methylated (+2 %) than DNA from infants delivered vaginally (p = 0.02). There was also a strong CpG-specific relationship between the duration of labour and locus specific DNA methylation in a subset of identified genes. The authors hypothesize that altered methylation can create poised, replication-heritable epigenetic marks, not immediately influencing gene transcription until a second hit arrived causing disease. The authors concluded that mode of delivery affects the epigenetic state of neonatal hematopoietic stem cells and this may have implications for health and disease in later life. Interestingly the authors make the point that while the hygiene hypothesis postulates that it is the lack of colonization of the infant with maternal gut flora after caesarean that may prevent the initiation of the immune system of the newborn, they found a correlation between the duration of labour and DNA methylation, indicating that methylation status at the start of vaginal birth resembles that of caesarean born infants (unexposed to labour and ruptured membranes), after which DNA methylation differences progressively change along with delivery. They suggest this shows the findings are directly related to labour itself [67].

Use of intrapartum oxytocin is increasing, and this is important in terms of the influence of oxytocin on stress response and maternal-paternal-infant bonding in the first few days of life [68]. Altered methylation in T-cells has been observed in rhesus monkeys within 14 days after birth if offspring are immediately removed from their mothers and raised by a surrogate in a nursery [69]. Notably the changes in methylation persist throughout the lifespan suggesting alterations in early life can influence immune programming. Further Szyf, et al. [70] have examined the effects of altered timing of “normal stress” events, specifically maternal weaning. They found that DNA methylation patterns are altered for infants weaned early when compared to infants of a similar age who are not weaned, and that these patterns are similar to older infants who have been weaned at a developmentally appropriate time. Interestingly, the methylation patterns become divergent later in life between groups weaned early versus those weaned at an appropriate time. These data suggest that the timing of both eustress and dys-stress events may also be critically important for development, and that pathological early life programming may be compensated for in the short term, but that this compensatory mechanism might become overwhelmed over time.

Given the known link between the stress response, subsequent DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic changes that are associated with auto-immune response, [19] the EPIIC hypothesis suggests that (at least part of) the explanatory factor for the observed associations between mode of birth and use of intrapartum interventions and later chronic autoimmune disease in the infant is relative dys-stress (up or down regulation of cortisol, for instance) when compared to the eustress of physiological labour and birth. This is also an argument for the importance of the ‘labouring’ of labour, as a complex salutogenic phenomenon that is an essential, priming agent in the dynamic process of labour and birth [71]. Specifically, the EPIIC hypothesis proposes that reduced or elevated levels of cortisol, adrenalin, and oxytocin produced during labour may lead to fetal epigenomic remodelling anomalies which exert influence on abnormal gene expression. This reprogramming could manifest in a range of diseases and biobehavioral problems in the neonate and later on in the adult. This suggests that the physiology of labour and birth may be crucial to epigenetic remodelling, between fetal and extrauterine life [19].

Given that environmental exposures may alter DNA methylation patterns associated with phenotypic differences in adults, it is likely that preconception and antenatal exposures could influence the epigenetic signature of the developing fetus. The timing, duration, combination, and pattern of exposures play a critical role in contributing to the development of altered phenotypes [72]. In this debate, we aim to highlight elements that could contribute to the programming of immune dysfunction specifically related to events during intrapartum period. To date, evaluation of lasting effects of intrapartum maternal interventions on offspring health has been largely understudied. This is an area of inquiry that is in need of pursuit.

Summary
Uniting the extended hygiene hypothesis and EPIIC hypothesis
Together or separately, the mounting evidence related to both the extended hygiene hypothesis (EHH) and the EPIIC hypothesis suggests that factors occurring during the intrapartum and early postnatal period may lead to fetal epigenomic remodeling anomalies, which exert influence on abnormal gene expression. These include mode of birth, dys-stress during labour and in the early postnatal period (a stress response that is either depressed below or elevated above a physiological eustress norm), and/or the effect of pharmacological agents such as antibiotics, or of mode of infant feeding (Fig. 1).
It is highly likely than more than one factor is behind the recent rise in atopic and autoimmune disease, and a combination of the extended hygiene hypothesis and EPIIC hypothesis may provide interesting answers in the future. In this paper we have further developed on the EPIIC hypothesis, which was published in 2013, to encourage researcher to consider the complexity and interconnectivity of this hypothesis with others such as the EHH. We propose an alliance of research effort. In the first instance, information is required about the baseline physiology of labour and birth. It is very unlikely that this can be done in hospital maternity wards, where most births take place, as the protocols and procedures and routine interventions used in maternity care in most hospitals across the world militate against physiological processes of birth. It is therefore vital to carefully examine the normal physiology of labour and birth in settings where women are undisturbed as far as possible. This is likely to be in birth centres, and at home births. Once this baseline is established, interventions in the process, such as caesarean section, use of forceps, induction of labour, augmentation with oxytocin and the introduction of antibiotics, should also be explored for their short- and long-term effects. Collaboration of scientists in these efforts is likely to accelerate the discovery of important findings arising from both approaches, and to maximize the timely understanding of the longer-term consequences of the way childbirth is currently managed in most countries of the world.

**Limitations and further discussion**

Although this paper focuses on a specific hypothesis relating to epigenetic changes during childbirth and the possible consequent effects on immunity, we are aware that other mechanisms and health outcomes could be involved [23]. For example, in this paper we did not cover histone deacetylation nor the multiple other epigenetic mechanisms that may be associated with alterations in immune programming. Even within histone research, there are a range of modifications that could be operating (summolation, phosphorylation, methylation etc.). Chromatin remodeling or microRNA differences may also be involved. There has been a concentration of effort on understanding the DNA methylation of various diseases [24, 25], it is likely that methylation may be working in concert with other alterations or other environmental variables to result in various phenotypes. In this manuscript we do not intend to encompass all potential epigenetic alterations but to offer a hypothesis on how these alterations in methylation during the intrapartum period (a highly understudied but very important and vulnerable time) may affect immunity. We have also not examined the question of maternal stress during pregnancy and immunomodulation which may impact on the expression of allergic disease in children [73]. Indeed, there is evidence that maternal stress during pregnancy is associated with elevated cord blood IgE [74]. Our intention is not to propose that there is one simple answer to this complex clinical question. We do, however, believe that our EPIIC hypothesis breaks new ground in our cross-disciplinary focus on the potential transgenerational impact of the intrapartum period.

**Conclusion**

In this paper we examine the current and emerging findings relating to childbirth and atopic/autoimmune disease from the perspective of both the Extended Hygiene Hypothesis (EHH) and the Epigenetic Impact of Childbirth (EPIIC) hypothesis and we propose an alliance of research effort. This is likely to accelerate the discovery of important findings arising from both approaches, and to maximize the timely understanding of the longer-term consequences of childbirth practices.
Competing interests
The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Authors' contributions
HDSD & HD conceived the paper and wrote a substantial part of it. JT & MW provided expert advice on antibiotics and wrote this section and section on DNA methylation. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements
No funding was received for this paper and no editorial assistance was sought.

Author details
1School of Nursing and Midwifery, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith 2751 NSW, Australia. 2University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR3 2LE Lancashire, UK. 3Yale School of Nursing, 400 West Campus Drive, West Haven, CT 06516, USA.

Received: 20 November 2014 Accepted: 2 December 2015

References


