



## **Demographic correlates of attenuated positive psychotic symptoms**

[Rachel Waford](#), *Emory University*

[Alison MacDonald](#), *Emory University*

[Katrina Goines](#), *Emory University*

[Derek M. Novacek](#), *Emory University*

[Hanan Trotman](#), *Emory University*

[Elaine Walker](#), *Emory University*

[Jean Addington](#), *University of Calgary*

[Carrie E. Bearden](#), *University of California Los Angeles*

[Kristin S. Cadenhead](#), *University of California San Diego*

[Tyrone D. Cannon](#), *Yale University*

*Only first 10 authors above; see publication for full author list.*

---

**Journal Title:** Schizophrenia Research

**Volume:** Volume 166, Number 1-3

**Publisher:** Elsevier | 2015-08-01, Pages 31-36

**Type of Work:** Article | Post-print: After Peer Review

**Publisher DOI:** 10.1016/j.schres.2015.04.035

**Permanent URL:** <https://pid.emory.edu/ark:/25593/rqx5p>

---

Final published version: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.04.035>

### **Copyright information:**

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Accessed April 21, 2025 2:03 AM EDT*



# HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

*Schizophr Res.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 August 01.

Published in final edited form as:

*Schizophr Res.* 2015 August ; 166(0): 31–36. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2015.04.035.

## Demographic correlates of attenuated positive psychotic symptoms

Rachel N. Waford<sup>a,\*</sup>, Allison MacDonald<sup>a</sup>, Katrina Goines<sup>a</sup>, Derek M. Novacek<sup>a</sup>, Hanan D. Trotman<sup>a</sup>, Elaine F. Walker<sup>a</sup>, Jean Addington<sup>b</sup>, Carrie E. Bearden<sup>c</sup>, Kristin S. Cadenhead<sup>d</sup>, Tyrone D. Cannon<sup>e</sup>, Barbara A. Cornblatt<sup>f</sup>, Robert Heinssen<sup>g</sup>, Daniel H. Mathalon<sup>h</sup>, Ming T. Tsuang<sup>d</sup>, Diana O. Perkins<sup>i</sup>, Larry J. Seidman<sup>j</sup>, Scott W. Woods<sup>e</sup>, and Thomas H. McGlashan<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

<sup>b</sup>University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

<sup>c</sup>University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>d</sup>University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA

<sup>e</sup>Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

<sup>f</sup>Zucker Hillside Hospital, Great Neck, NY, USA

<sup>g</sup>National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

<sup>h</sup>University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

<sup>i</sup>University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

<sup>j</sup>Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

### Abstract

It is now well established that the utilization of standardized clinical criteria can enhance prediction of psychosis. These criteria are primarily concerned with the presence and severity of attenuated positive symptoms. Because these symptom criteria are used to derive algorithms for

---

\*Corresponding author at: School of Public Health, Emory University, 1518 Clifton Rd., Atlanta, GA 30322, USA. Tel.: +1 404 969 5395; fax: +1 404 500 4283. rwaford@emory.edu (R.N. Waford).

#### Contributors

The NAPLS principal investigators were responsible for the design of the whole study or aspects of it and the supervision of all aspects of data collection. Dr. Rachel Waford took the lead on writing the manuscript with help in writing from all authors. All authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

#### Conflict of interest

Dr. Bearden reports no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.  
Dr. Cadenhead no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interests to report.  
Ms. Goines reports no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.  
Dr. Heinssen reports no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.  
Ms. Macdonald reports no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.  
Mr. Novacek reports no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.  
Dr. Trotman reports no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.  
Dr. Waford reports no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.  
Dr. Walker reports no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Disclaimer: The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official views of the National Institute of Mental Health, the National Institutes of Health, or any other branch of the US Department of Health and Human Services.

designating clinical high risk (CHR) status and for maximizing prediction of psychosis risk, it is important to know whether the symptom ratings vary as a function of demographic factors that have previously been linked with symptoms in diagnosed psychotic patients. Using a sample of 356 CHR individuals from the NAPLS-II multi-site study, we examined the relation of three sex, age, and educational level, with the severity of attenuated positive symptom scores from the Scale of Prodromal Symptoms (SOPS). Demographic factors accounted for little of the variance in symptom ratings (5–6%). Older CHR individuals manifested more severe suspiciousness, and female CHR participants reported more unusual perceptual experiences than male participants. Contrary to prediction, higher educational level was associated with more severe ratings of unusual thought content, but less severe perceptual abnormalities. Overall, sex, age and education were modestly related to unusual thought content and perceptual abnormalities, only, suggesting minimal implication for designating CHR status and predicting psychosis-risk.

## Keywords

Prodrome; Psychosis; Sex; Education; Early identification

---

## 1. Introduction

Extensive research efforts have aimed to understand the causes of schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders, yet the etiological determinants remain largely unknown (Jablensky, 1997). The past decade has been marked by an increased interest in the period of functional decline preceding the clinical onset of psychosis, and this shift in focus has been accompanied by efforts to enhance prospective risk prediction. This period is referred to as the prodrome, and it can last from months to several years (Addington and Heinssen, 2012). Individuals with symptoms characteristic of a prodromal state are often designated as “clinical high risk” (CHR). Characterizing the phenomenology in this period and optimizing clinical prediction of risk for conversion to psychosis are top priorities.

It has been demonstrated that individuals who meet standardized criteria for measuring prodromal signs are at a significantly elevated risk for developing a psychotic disorder within a few years following baseline assessment (Cannon et al., 2008; Nelson et al., 2013; Ruhrmann et al., 2010). In particular, ratings of the severity of attenuated positive psychotic symptoms enhance prediction of subsequent psychosis with noteworthy sensitivity and specificity (Cannon et al., 2008). It is assumed that future refinements of clinical algorithms, as well as the addition of biomarkers, will further enhance prediction and targeted preventive interventions.

It is well-established that demographic factors are associated with both the clinical presentation and progression of psychosis; sex, age, and education level have well-replicated relationships with schizophrenia-spectrum risk rates and symptoms (Choi et al., 2009; Moukas et al., 2010; Willhite et al., 2008). The aim of the current study is to characterize the nature of the relationship between these demographic factors and positive symptoms in CHR individuals to improve prediction of subsequent psychosis, as optimal prediction algorithms may vary as a function of demographic factors. Previous studies of high-risk individuals

suggest that age and sex are not associated with conversion to psychosis (Cannon et al., 2008; Nelson et al., 2013; Schultze-Lutter et al., 2007; Velthorst et al., 2009; Ziermans et al., 2011). Outcomes regarding education, however, are mixed with some finding associations with conversion (Ruhrmann et al., 2010; Schultze-Lutter et al., 2007) and others not (Mason et al., 2004). The current paper aims to explore demographic predictors with specific positive symptoms, the primary determinants of conversion status. If a pattern of symptom presentation can be gleaned, including demographically-related protective factors, there will be significant implications for targeted intervention.

### 1.1. Sex differences

Research on sex differences across the schizophrenia-spectrum has examined the development, functional impairments, and course of these illnesses. The most well-replicated symptom trends are sex differences in symptom severity; males tend to exhibit greater thought disorder than females (Gur et al., 1996; Thorup et al., 2007a,b), whereas females tend to endorse more auditory hallucinations (Marneros, 1984; Rector and Seeman, 1992; Sharma et al., 1999; Tang et al., 2007; Thorup et al., 2007a,b), paranoia, and persecutory delusions (Andia et al., 1995; Goldstein and Link, 1988; Räsänen et al., 2000; Tang et al., 2007). Although research examining sex differences in CHR samples is limited, the findings to date are consistent with those on schizophrenia; CHR females exhibit greater magical thinking and auditory hypersensitivity (Moukas et al., 2010).

### 1.2. Age

The modal age range for psychosis onset reflects an earlier age of onset (18–25 years) in males compared to females (25–35 years) (Goldstein et al., 1989; Gureje, 1991; Thorup et al., 2007a,b). Evidence suggests that psychosis onset before age 18 is associated with a more chronic illness course, more severe psychotic symptoms and more severe cognitive impairments (de Girolamo et al., 2012; Mirzakhani et al., 2013; Walker et al., 2004; Yung et al., 2007). In CHR populations, younger age at onset of CHR syndromes is associated with higher transition rates to psychosis (Yung et al., 2007) and reports of more severe odd beliefs, delusions of reference, unusual perceptions, odd behavior, auditory hallucinations, and physical anhedonia (Bora and Arabaci, 2009; Paino-Pineiro et al., 2008).

### 1.3. Education

Level of education is most often reported only as a sample characteristic, rather than a variable relevant to illness presentation. Yet, studies of the relation of education with symptom presentation in schizophrenia-spectrum disorders indicate that lower education is associated with more negative symptoms (McGlashan and Fenton, 1992) and poorer prognoses (Wieselgren and Lindstrom, 1996). Studies of those with psychosis have also found that completion of high school (Austin et al., 2013) and generally achieving higher levels of education (Chang et al., 2012; Verma et al., 2012) are predictive of recovery from psychotic illness. Ruhrmann et al. (2010) demonstrated that lower education was a significant predictor of conversion to psychosis in a CHR sample.

## 1.4. The present study

The North American Prodrome Longitudinal Study (NAPLS) is a multisite study aimed at identifying predictors of the transition to psychosis. NAPLS has a large database of longitudinally followed CHR cases and provides a unique opportunity to examine demographic correlates of symptoms. The present study utilizes the NAPLS-II CHR cohort and focuses on the five SOPS positive prodromal symptoms; unusual thought content, suspiciousness, grandiosity, perceptual abnormalities, and disorganized communication. Our focus is restricted to the positive symptom domain because it is primarily the severity of these symptoms that determines whether individuals are designated as being at CHR for psychosis.

Based on the literature, it is hypothesized that males will demonstrate more disorganized communication, and females will manifest more severe perceptual abnormalities, and suspiciousness. Further, it is hypothesized that younger individuals will exhibit more severe positive symptoms and educational level will be inversely correlated with symptom severity.

## 2. Method

### 2.1. Participants

The current sample, recruited for the NAPLS-II study includes 356 CHR individuals. Sample demographic characteristics are presented in Table 1. Participants were excluded from NAPLS-II if at baseline they 1.) met DSM-IV criteria for an Axis I psychotic disorder; 2.) experienced a significant head injury; 3.) met substance dependence criteria within the past 6 months; 4.) had a neurological disorder; or 5.) had a Full Scale IQ <70. More detailed information on the CHR sample is presented in previous reports (Addington and Heinssen, 2012; Addington et al., 2012). Participants were recruited from clinical practitioners as well as newspaper, bus, and online announcements. The current protocol was approved by Institutional Review Boards at all NAPLS sites, and all participants provided informed consent for minors.

### 2.2. Measures

**2.2.1. Prodromal symptomatology**—Participants were designated as CHR using the Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes (SIPS, Miller et al., 2003). Interview responses were scored by trained interviewers on the Scale of Prodromal Symptoms (SOPS, Miller et al., 2003). The SOPS provides an index of symptom severity that ranges from 0 (absent) to 6 (psychotic) for five symptom domains: unusual thought content, suspiciousness, grandiosity, perceptual abnormalities, and disorganized communication. See Miller et al. (2003) for a detailed description of the five positive symptom categories and SOPS diagnostic criteria for a prodromal syndrome.

### 2.3. Procedures

At each NAPLS site an initial screening interview was conducted to ensure that the individuals met prodromal criteria on the SOPS. Assessments were conducted by trained interviewers who met reliability standards (Addington et al., 2012). Cross-site reliability in symptom ratings was established before study initiation and on a yearly basis. The kappa

statistic was used to compare interviewer agreement with the gold standard diagnosis on the SOPS ratings. Within all sites, intra-class correlations exceeded .80.

#### 2.4. Data analyses

Correlation and ordinal logistic regression analyses were conducted to explore relationships between demographic variables and SOPS scores. Medication status (on medication versus not on medication) was also included as a predictor in the regression analyses as an index of symptom severity.

### 3. Results

Pearson and point-biserial (1 = male, 2 = female) correlation coefficients relating the positive symptom scores and demographic variables are presented in Table 2. The severity ratings of all of the symptoms are positively, and in most cases significantly, inter-correlated. Additional correlations for demographic variables and SOPS scores were modest. Female sex and lower educational level were significantly associated with more severe perceptual abnormalities, and older age was associated with greater suspiciousness. Age and education were significantly positively inter-correlated. Sex was unrelated to age or educational level. Independent samples t-tests were conducted for sex and each of the predictor and outcome variables. There were no significant sex differences for age, education, medication status, and SOPS symptoms.

Ordinal logistic regression analyses were conducted separately for each of the five positive symptom indices. In each regression analysis the positive symptom score (P1–P5) was entered as the dependent variable and age, sex, years of education, and medication status (i.e. antidepressants, antipsychotics, stimulants, mood stabilizers) were entered as predictor variables. The models were significant for P1 (unusual thought content), P4 (perceptual abnormalities), and P5 (disorganized communication) symptom domains. See Table 3 for the model and parameter estimates for the SOPS symptoms.

The overall effect of the demographic variables on P1 symptoms accounted for 5% of the variance in symptom severity. Of the predictors only age (Wald  $\chi^2=12.4$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and education (Wald  $\chi^2=12.7$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) were significant. Age was inversely related to P1 symptom severity; younger CHR individuals were more likely to have higher symptom ratings. Conversely, years of education were positively associated with P1 symptom severity; CHR individuals with higher levels of education were more likely to have higher symptom ratings.

The overall effect of the demographic variables on P4 symptoms accounted for 6% of the variance in symptom severity. Sex (Wald  $\chi^2 = 7.7$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), education (Wald  $\chi^2 = 3.4$ ,  $p < .05$ ), and stimulant medication (Wald  $\chi^2 = 4.5$ ,  $p < .05$ ) were significant predictors. Females were more likely to have higher symptom ratings. Education was inversely related to symptom severity, such that individuals with fewer years of education were more likely to have higher symptom ratings. Finally, CHR individuals who were taking stimulant medication at the time of the assessment were more likely to have higher symptom ratings.

Finally, while the model was not significant for P5, mood stabilizers emerged as a significant predictor. CHR individuals taking mood stabilizers at baseline were more likely to have higher symptom ratings (Wald  $\chi^2 = 4.9$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ).

Ordinal regression analyses were run separately by sex for P4 symptoms to explore the significant sex effect found in the first model. Similar to the main model, symptom ratings were entered as the dependent variable and age, education, and medication status were entered as predictors. The overall effect of demographic variables on P4 was not significant for either females or males. However, for males, education ( $\beta = -.19$ , Wald  $\chi^2 = 5.6$ ,  $p < .05$ ), was a significant predictor and showed an inverse relationship with symptom severity.

#### 4. Discussion

The present study examined the relationship of demographic factors with positive symptom severity in a CHR sample meeting criteria for a prodromal syndrome. The present analyses revealed that sex, age and education were modestly related to unusual thought content and perceptual abnormalities. While similar findings have been yielded from other studies and research groups (EPOS, Ruhrmann et al., 2010; PACE, Nelson et al., 2013; FETZ, Schultze-Lutter et al., 2007) further exploration is warranted. Some variation in inclusion and exclusion exists across research groups, reflecting somewhat different cohorts. Moreover, previous finding regarding positive symptoms have used a composite score or conversion status; to our knowledge no previous study has examined positive SOPS symptoms, individually.

Age was a significant inverse predictor of unusual thought content. This finding is consistent with previous work showing that younger psychotic patients have more severe symptoms in general (de Girolamo et al., 2012; Mirzakhanian et al., 2013; Walker et al., 2004; Yung et al., 2007). This may be due, in part, to the adverse impact of the disease process on developing cognitive capacities. Also, younger CHR participants may have less ability to reason through and discount unusual ideations.

Sex was significantly associated with perceptual abnormalities; females were more likely to have severe ratings than males. Again, this finding is consistent with past reports that females diagnosed with psychosis manifest more severe auditory hallucinations (Marneros, 1984; Rector and Seeman, 1992; Sharma et al., 1999; Tang et al., 2007; Thorup et al., 2007a,b). However, the proportion of the variance in severity of perceptual abnormalities that was accounted for by sex was very small.

Education yielded a varied pattern of results. While our predictions held for perceptual abnormalities, in that this symptom was more likely to be more severe in those with lower education (Ruhrmann et al., 2010; Wieselgren and Lindstrom, 1996), the opposite was found for unusual thought content. The literature regarding the independent role of education in the presentation of individual positive symptoms is sparse. In general, the available literature suggests that higher levels of education can be protective due to greater cognitive resources and more advanced coping strategies (Austin et al., 2013; Chang et al., 2012; Jonsson and Nyman, 1984; Verma et al., 2012; Wieselgren and Lindstrom, 1996). It has also been

suggested, however, that greater abstract thinking ability may intensify the presentation of some ideational psychotic processes (Chen et al., 1997).

Further exploration of the sex effects found for perceptual abnormalities revealed that males' educational experience characterized the relationship between education and greater likelihood of higher ratings of perceptual abnormalities. Thus, continued educational and academic supports in males may be particularly critical when attenuated symptoms are beginning to develop and/or other risk factors have been identified.

Psychotropic medication was not an exclusion criterion in the present study, yet the proportion of medicated CHR individuals was small (see Woods et al., 2013). Analyses revealed higher ratings individuals taking stimulants at baseline were more likely to report perceptual abnormalities, and individuals taking mood stabilizers at baseline were more likely to endorse and demonstrate higher disorganized scores. Increased SOPS ratings for individuals taking medication is not surprising given that treatment providers are more likely to prescribe psychotropics when symptoms are more severe. Moreover, stimulants have been shown to exacerbate attenuated and clinically significant psychotic symptoms (Sharma et al., 1991). Because these analyses are based on naturalistic data, causal inferences cannot be drawn; medication status can be precipitated by symptom severity and can also affect symptom severity. Nonetheless, the results of these analyses indicate that the effects of medication status on symptom severity are modest.

#### 4.1. Limitations

A limitation of the present study is that sample selection criteria can attenuate the relation between symptom severity ratings and other measures, including demographic factors. CHR individuals are recruited based on the presence of symptoms that fall within predetermined severity windows. As noted, the SOPS utilizes a 6 point scale to rate all symptoms, and designation as CHR requires that the individual manifest a score of 3 to 5 on at least one of the attenuated positive symptoms on the SOPS. At the upper end of the scale, if the individual has a score of 6 (psychosis) on any positive symptom, they are excluded from the sample. As a result, the SOPS, by intention, yields CHR samples that manifest a restricted range of baseline scores on the positive symptom ratings, but not the other symptom domains. Thus, a restricted range of scores will reduce variability and constrain the magnitude of the correlations obtained between the positive symptoms and other measures. The present estimates of the relation between demographic factors and symptom severity hold only for CHR-designated samples.

A second limitation of the current study is that some participants were on psychotropic medication at baseline. Our analyses indicate that the relation of medication with symptom severity ratings is modest, and only reaches significance for stimulants and mood stabilizers. Moreover, excluding those on medication would result in a non-representative sample of CHR individuals (Woods et al., 2013).

#### 4.2. Summary and future directions

While the present study indicates that demographic factors are associated with ratings of some positive symptoms in CHR individuals, overall they account for very little of the



variance. The findings suggest that demographic factors are not influencing prodromal symptom presentation in a manner that would alter detection of CHR syndromes as a function of sex, age, or education. Further, it appears unlikely that these factors will be relevant in the derivation of optimal psychosis-risk prediction algorithms, based on positive symptom ratings at baseline. While similar results have been published from other research groups, replication is important, particularly in light of the variation in inclusion and exclusion criteria across studies that affect the demographic characteristics of the different cohorts (e.g. mean age and education values).

Nonetheless, this remains an empirical question that can be addressed in future studies from the NAPLS project when complete follow-up data are available. At that point, it will be possible to examine potential moderating effects of demographic factors on the prediction of conversion to psychosis based on both clinical symptoms and biomarkers.

## Acknowledgments

### Role of funding source

This study was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (grant U01MH081988 to Dr. Walker; grant U01MH081984 to Dr. Addington; grant P50 MH066286 and Staglin Music Festival for Mental Health to Dr. Bearden; grants U01MH081928; P50 MH080272; Commonwealth of Massachusetts SCDMH82101008006 to Dr. Seidman; grants R01MH60720, U01MH082022 and K24MH76191 to Dr. Cadenhead; grant MH081902 to Dr. Cannon; grant U01MH082004-01A1 to Dr. Perkins; grant U01MH082022 to Dr. Woods; and grant UO1 MH081857-05 to Dr. Cornblatt). The NIMH had no further role in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Dr. Addington has received investigator-initiated research funding support from multiple not-for profit entities including the National Institute of Mental Health, Ontario Mental Health Foundation, and the Schizophrenia Society of Ontario. Dr. Addington has served as a consultant for Pfizer, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, and Janssen Pharmaceuticals.

Dr. Cadenhead has received investigator-initiated research funding support from the National Institute of Mental Health

Dr. Cannon has received investigator-initiated research funding support from multiple not-for profit entities including the National Institute of Mental Health, NARSAD, and the Staglin Music Festival for Mental Health. Dr. Cannon has served as a consultant for Janssen Pharmaceuticals and Eli Lilly and Company.

Dr. Cornblatt has received investigator-initiated research funding support from not for-profit entities including the National Institute of Mental Health and the Stanley Medical Research Institute. She has also served as a consultant for Eli Lilly and Company, Bristol Myers Squibb, and Janssen Pharmaceuticals and has received unrestricted educational grants from Janssen Pharmaceuticals. Dr. Cornblatt has also served as a consultant for Hoffman La Roche.

Dr. Heinssen is an employee of the non-profit National Institutes of Health

Dr. Mathalon has received investigator-initiated research funding support from not for-profit entities including the National Institute of Mental Health. He has served as a scientific consultant to Bristol Myers Squibb.

Dr. McGlashan has received investigator-initiated research funding support from the National Institute of Mental Health, the Personality Disorder Research Foundation, and Eli Lilly and Company. Dr. McGlashan has served as a consultant for Eli Lilly and Company, Pfizer, Solvay/Wyeth, and Roche Pharmaceuticals.

Dr. Perkins has received research funding from Janssen Pharmaceuticals and Dainippon Sumitomo Pharma in the past 12 months. In the past Dr. Perkins received research funding from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, Eli Lilly and Company, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, and Pfizer and consulting and educational fees from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly and Company, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, GlaxoSmithKline, Forest Labs, Pfizer, and Shire.

Dr. Seidman has received investigator initiated research funding support from multiple not-for profit entities including the National Institute of Mental Health, the National Institute on Aging, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Mental Health, the National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia and Depression (NARSAD), and the Sidney R. Baer Jr. Foundation. He has not received funding from for-profit entities in the past 12 months. In the past he received unrestricted educational support from Janssen Pharmaceuticals and has served as a consultant for Shire.

Dr. Tsuang has received investigator-initiated research funding support from multiple not-for-profit entities including the National Institute of Mental Health and has received research grants from Janssen Pharmaceuticals.

Dr. Walker has received investigator-initiated research funding support from not-for profit entities including the National Institute of Mental Health and NARSAD

Dr. Woods has received investigator-initiated research funding support from multiple not-for-profit entities including the National Institute of Mental Health, the Donaghue and Stanley Foundations, and NARSAD. In addition, he has received investigator-initiated research funding support from multiple for-profit entities including UCB Pharma and Bristol-Myers Squibb and has consulted for Otsuka and Schering-Plough. Dr. Woods has not served on speaker's bureaus.

J Stowkowy, T Raedler, L McGregor, D Marulanda, L Legere, L Liu, C Marshall, E Falukozi, E Fitton, and K Smith (University of Calgary); T Alderman, K Shafer, I Domingues, and A Hurria, H Mirzakhani (UCSD); B Walsh, J Saksa, N Santamauro, A Carlson, J Kenney, and B Roman (Yale University); K Woodberry, AJ Giuliano, W Stone, JM Rodenhiser, L Tucker, R Serur, G Min, and R Szent-Imrey (Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center/Harvard); P Bachman, J Zinberg, S DeSilva, A Andaya, and S Uguryan (UCLA). J Brasfield (Emory University); A Pelletier, K Lansing, H Mates, J Nieri, B Landaas, K Graham, E Rothman, J Hurta, and Y Sierra (University of North Carolina) and A Auther, R Carrion, M McLaughlin, and R Olsen (Zucker Hillside Hospital) are gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- Addington J, Heinssen R. Prediction and prevention of psychosis in youth at clinical high risk. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol.* 2012; 8:269–289. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032511-143146>. [PubMed: 22224837]
- Addington J, Cadenhead KS, Cornblatt BA, Matalon DH, McGlashan TH, Perkins DO, Seidman LJ, Tsuang MT, Walker EF, Woods SW, Addington JA, Cannon TD. North American Prodrome Longitudinal Study (NAPLS 2): overview and recruitment. *Schizophr. Res.* 2012; 142(1–3):77–82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2012.09.012>. [PubMed: 23043872]
- Andia AM, Zisook S, Heaton RK, Hesselink J, Jernigan T, Kuck J, Moranville J, Braff DL. Gender differences in schizophrenia. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* 1995; 183(8):522–528. [PubMed: 7643064]
- Austin SF, Mors O, Secher RG, Hjorthøj CR, Albert N, Bertelsen M, Jensen H, Jeppesen P, Petersen L, Randers L, Thorup A, Nordentoft M. Predictors of recovery in first episode psychosis: the OPUS cohort at 10 year follow-up. *Schizophr. Res.* 2013; 150(1):163–168. [PubMed: 23932664]
- Bora E, Arabaci LB. Effect of age and gender on schizotypal personality traits in the normal population. *Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 2009; 63(5):663–669. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1819.2009.02011.x>. [PubMed: 19674380]
- Cannon T, Cadenhead K, Corblatt B, Woods S, Addington J, Walker E, Seidman L, Perkins D, Tsuang M, McGlashan T, Heinssen R. Prediction of psychosis in youth at clinical high risk. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry.* 2008; 65(1):28–37. [PubMed: 18180426]
- Chang WC, Tang JY, Hui CL, Lam MM, Chan SK, Wong GH, Chiu CP, Chen EY. Prediction of remission and recovery in young people presenting with first-episode psychosis in Hong Kong: a 3-year follow-up study. *Aust. NZ J. Psychiatry.* 2012; 46(2):100–108.
- Chen WJ, Hsiao CK, Lin CC. Schizotypy in community samples: the three-factor structure and correlation with sustained attention. *J. Abnorm. Psychol.* 1997; 106(4):649–654. [PubMed: 9358696]
- Choi JS, Chon MW, Kang DH, Jung MH, Kwon JS. Gender difference in the prodromal symptoms of first-episode schizophrenia. *J. Korean Med. Sci.* 2009; 24(6):1083–1088. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2009.24.6.1083>. [PubMed: 19949664]

- de Girolamo G, Dagani J, Purcell R, Cocchi A, McGorry P. Age of onset of mental disorders and use of mental health services: needs, opportunities and obstacles. *Epidemiol. Psychiatr. Sci.* 2012; 21(1):47–57. [PubMed: 22670412]
- Goldstein JM, Link BG. Gender and the expression of schizophrenia. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 1988; 22(2): 141–155. [PubMed: 3404482]
- Goldstein JM, Tsuang MT, Faraone SV. Gender and schizophrenia: implications for understanding the heterogeneity of the illness. *Psychiatry Res.* 1989; 28(3):243–253. [PubMed: 2762431]
- Gur RE, Petty RG, Turetsky BI, Gur RC. Schizophrenia throughout life: sex differences in severity and profile of symptoms. *Schizophr. Res.* 1996; 21(1):1–12. [PubMed: 8864248]
- Gureje O. Gender and schizophrenia: age at onset and sociodemographic attributes. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* 1991; 83(5):402–405. [PubMed: 1853735]
- Jablensky A. The 100-year epidemiology of schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* 1997; 28(2–3):111–125. [PubMed: 9468347]
- Jonsson H, Nyman AK. Prediction of outcome in schizophrenia. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* 1984; 69(4): 274–291. [PubMed: 6720333]
- Marneros A. Do 1st rank symptoms establish the diagnosis of schizophrenia? On the significance of clinical correlations and the limits of criteria. *Nervenarzt.* 1984; 55(7):365–370. [PubMed: 6472526]
- Mason O, Startup M, Halpin S, Schall U, Conrad A, Carr V. Risk factors for transition to first episode psychosis among individuals with ‘at-risk mental states’. *Schizophr. Res.* 2004; 71(2):227–237. [PubMed: 15474894]
- McGlashan TH, Fenton WS. The positive–negative distinction in schizophrenia. Review of natural history validators. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry.* 1992; 49(1):63–72. [PubMed: 1728252]
- Miller TJ, McGlashan TH, Rosen JL, Cadenhead K, Cannon T, Ventura J, McFarlane W, Perkins DO, Pearlson GD, Woods SW. Prodromal assessment with the structured interview for prodromal syndromes and the scale of prodromal symptoms: predictive validity, interrater reliability, and training to reliability. *Schizophr. Bull.* 2003; 29(4):703–715. [PubMed: 14989408]
- Mirzakhani H, Singh F, Seeber K, Shafer KM, Cadenhead KS. A developmental look at the attentional system in the at risk and first episode of psychosis: age related changes in attention along the psychosis spectrum. *Cogn. Neuropsychiatry.* 2013; 18(1–2):26–43. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13546805.2012.713770>. [PubMed: 22994363]
- Moukas G, Gourzis P, Beratis IN, Beratis S. Sex differences in prepsychotic “prodromal” symptomatology and its association with Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale active phase psychopathology in male and female patients. *Compr Psychiatry.* 2010; 51(5):546–551. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2009.11.003>.
- Nelson B, Yuen H, Wood SJ, Lin A, Spiliotacopoulos D, Bruxner A, Broussard C, Simmons M, Foley DL, Brewer WJ, Francey SM, Amminger GP, Thompson A, McGorry PD, Yung AR. Long-term follow-up of a group at ultra high risk (“prodromal”) for psychosis: the PACE 400 study. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2013; 70(8):793–802. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.1270>. [PubMed: 23739772]
- Paino-Pineiro M, Fonseca-Pedrero E, Lemos-Giraldez S, Muniz J. Dimensionality of schizotypy in young people according to sex and age. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* 2008; 45(2):132–138. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2008.03.011>.
- Räsänen S, Pakaslahti A, Syvälahti E, Jones PB, Isohanni M. Sex differences in schizophrenia: a review. *Nord. J. Psychiatry.* 2000; 54:37–45.
- Rector NA, Seeman MV. Auditory hallucinations in women and men. *Schizophr. Res.* 1992; 7(3):233–236. [PubMed: 1390402]
- Ruhrmann S, Schultze-Lutter F, Salokangas RK, Heinimaa M, Linszen D, Dingemans P, Birchwood M, Patterson P, Juckel G, Heinz A, Morrison A, Lewis S, von Reventlow HG, Klosterkötter J. Prediction of psychosis in adolescents and young adults at high risk: results from the prospective European prediction of psychosis study. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry.* 2010; 67(3):241–251. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.206>. [PubMed: 20194824]
- Schultze-Lutter F, Klosterkötter J, Pickler H, Steinmeyer EM, Ruhrmann S. Predicting first-episode psychosis by basic symptom criteria. *Clin Neuropsychiatr.* 2007; 4(1):11–22.

- Sharma RP, Javaid JI, Pandey GN, Janicak PG, Davis JM. Behavioral and biochemical effects of methylphenidate in schizophrenic and nonschizophrenic patients. *Biol. Psychiatry*. 1991; 30(5): 459–466. [PubMed: 1657221]
- Sharma RP, Dowd SM, Janicak PG. Hallucinations in the acute schizophrenic-type psychosis: effects of gender and age of illness onset. *Schizophr. Res.* 1999; 37(1):91–95. [PubMed: 10227111]
- Tang YL, Gillespie CF, Epstein MP, Mao PX, Jiang F, Chen Q, Cai Z, Mitchell PB. Gender differences in 542 Chinese inpatients with schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* 2007; 97(1–3):88–96. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2007.05.025>. [PubMed: 17628430]
- Thorup A, Waltoft BL, Pedersen CB, Mortensen PB, Nordentoft M. Young males have a higher risk of developing schizophrenia: a Danish register study. *Psychol. Med.* 2007a; 37(4):479–484. [PubMed: 17288649]
- Thorup A, Petersen L, Jeppesen P, Ohlenschlaeger J, Christensen T, Krarup G, Jorgensen P, Nordentoft M. Gender differences in young adults with first-episode schizophrenia spectrum disorders at baseline in the Danish OPUS study. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* 2007b; 195(5):396–405. [PubMed: 17502805]
- Velthorst E, Nieman DH, Becker HE, van de Fliert R, Dingemans PM, Klaassen R, de Haan L, van Amelsvoort T, Linszen DH. Baseline differences in clinical symptomatology between ultra high risk subjects with and without a transition to psychosis. *Schizophr. Res.* 2009; 109(1):60–65. [PubMed: 19272756]
- Verma S, Subramaniam M, Abidin E, Poon LY, Chong SA. Symptomatic and functional remission in patients with first-episode psychosis. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* 2012; 126(4):282–289. [PubMed: 22616617]
- Walker EF, Sabuwalla Z, Huot R. Pubertal neuromaturation, stress sensitivity, and psychopathology. *Dev. Psychopathol.* 2004; 16(4):807–824. [PubMed: 15704816]
- Wieselgren IM, Lindstrom LH. A prospective 1–5 year outcome study in first-admitted and readmitted schizophrenic patients; relationship to heredity, premorbid adjustment, duration of disease and education level at index admission and neuroleptic treatment. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* 1996; 93(1): 9–19. [PubMed: 8919324]
- Willhite RK, Niendam TA, Bearden CE, Zinberg J, O'Brien MP, Cannon TD. Gender differences in symptoms, functioning and social support in patients at ultra-high risk for developing a psychotic disorder. *Schizophr. Res.* 2008; 104(1–3):237–245. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2008.05.019>. [PubMed: 18573639]
- Woods SW, Addington J, Bearden CE, Cadenhead KS, Cannon TD, Cornblatt BA, Mathalon DH, Perkins DO, Seidman LJ, Tsuang MT, Walker EF, McGlashan TH. Psychotropic medication use in youth at high risk for psychosis: comparison of baseline data from two research cohorts 1998–2005 and 2008–2011. *Schizophr. Res.* 2013; 148(1–3):99–104. [PubMed: 23787224]
- Yung AR, Killackey E, Hetrick SE, Parker AG, Schultze-Lutter F, Klosterkoetter J, Purcell R, McGorry PD. The prevention of schizophrenia. *Int. Rev. Psychiatry*. 2007; 19(6):633–646. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09540260701797803>. [PubMed: 18092241]
- Ziermans TB, Schothorst PF, Sprong M, van Engeland H. Transition and remission in adolescents at ultra-high risk for psychosis. *Schizophr. Res.* 2011; 126(1):58–64. [PubMed: 21095104]

**Table 1**

Demographic and characteristics and baseline SIPS symptom scores for CHR sample.

Variable	CHR ( <i>n</i> = 356)
Age (mean ± SD)	18.9 ± 4.2
Age range	12–35 years
N < 18 years	155
Sex ( <i>n</i> , %)	
Male	208 (58.4%)
Female	148 (41.6%)
Years of education (mean ± SD)	
Male	11.6 ± 2.5
Female	11.5 ± 2.9
Total	11.6 ± 2.6
SOPS P1 symptoms (mean ± SD)	3.31 ± 1.4
SOPS P2 symptoms (mean ± SD)	2.93 ± 1.5
SOPS P3 symptoms (mean ± SD)	1.0 ± 1.3
SOPS P4 symptoms (mean ± SD)	2.9 ± 1.5
SOPS P5 symptoms (mean ± SD)	1.8 ± 1.5
SOPS P total (mean ± SD)	12.0 ± 4.1
Medication ( <i>n</i> on meds, %)	
Antipsychotics	62 (18%)
Antidepressants	94 (28%)
Stimulants	18 (5%)
Mood stabilizers	12 (4%)

Note. P1 = unusual thought content; P2 = suspiciousness; P3 = grandiosity; P4 = perceptual abnormalities; P5 = disorganized communication.

Summary of inter-correlations for demographic variables and SIPS positive symptoms in the CHR sample.

Table 2

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1. Sex	-								
2. Age	-.04	-							
3. Education	-.03	.78**	-						
4. P1: unusual thoughts	-.04	-.07	.04	-					
5. P2: suspiciousness	.05	.12*	.09	.31**	-				
6. P3: grandiosity	-.06	.04	.09	.24**	.12*	-			
7. P4: perceptual abnormalities	.11*	-.10	-.15**	.26**	.09	.02	-		
8. P5: conceptual disorganization	-.08	.00	.06	.22**	.17**	.23**	.02	-	
9. Total positive	.00	.00	.05	.69**	.59**	.54**	.49**	.58**	-

Note. P1 = unusual thought content; P2 = suspiciousness; P3 = grandiosity; P4 = perceptual abnormalities; P5 = disorganized communication.

\*  $p < .05$ .

\*\*  $p < .01$ .

**Table 3**

Ordinal regression analyses for demographic predictors of positive symptoms.

Positive symptoms										
	P1		P2		P3		P4		P5	
Predictor	$R^2_N$	$\beta$	$R^2_N$	$\beta$	$R^2_N$	$\beta$	$R^2_N$	$\beta$	$R^2_N$	$\beta$
	.050*		.024		.02		.06**		.04	
Age		-.13**		.03		-.02		.02		-.04
Education		.21**		.03		.10		-.12*		.11
Sex		.10		-.18		-.10		-.56**		.31
BLAP		-.24		-.51		-.10		-.06		.37
BLAD		.33		.10		.16		.13		.09
BLST		.49		-.05		-.23		-.97*		-.41
BLMS		.04		.03		.69		.07		-1.17*
<i>n</i>	356		356		356		356		356	

Note. P1 = unusual thought content; P2 = suspiciousness; P3 = grandiosity; P4 = perceptual abnormalities; P5 = disorganized communication.  $\beta$  = unstandardized coefficient; BLAP = baseline antipsychotic use; BLAD = baseline antidepressant use; BLST = baseline stimulant use; BLMS = baseline mood stabilizer use.

\*  $p < .05$ .

\*\*  $p < .01$ .