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Virus-associated RNA I (VA RNA1) is a short (~160-nucleotide) non-coding RNA transcript employed by adenoviruses to subvert the innate immune system protein double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase (PKR). The central domain of VA RNA1 is proposed to contain a complex tertiary structure that contributes to its optimal inhibitory activity against PKR. Here we use a combination of VA RNA1 mutagenesis, structural analyses, as well as PKR activity and binding assays to dissect this tertiary structure and assess its functional role. Our results support the existence of a pH- and Mg2+-dependent tertiary structure involving pseudoknot formation within the central domain. Unexpectedly, this structure appears to play no direct role in PKR inhibition. Deletion of central domain sequences within a minimal but fully active construct lacking the tertiary structure reveals a crucial role in PKR binding and inhibition for nucleotides in the 5′ half of the central domain. Deletion of the central domain 3′ half also significantly impacts activity but appears to arise indirectly by reducing its capacity to assist in optimally presenting the 5′ half sequence. Collectively, our results identify regions of VA RNA1 critical for PKR inhibition and reveal that the requirements for an effective RNA inhibitor of PKR are simpler than appreciated previously.

The double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase (PKR)3 is a central component of innate cellular immunity. Upon binding double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) produced as a consequence of viral replication, PKR initiates the shutdown of general translation, blocking synthesis of viral proteins. As a countermeasure to this activity, adenoviruses produce copious quantities (~10^9/cell) of an ~160-nucleotide, non-coding transcript VA RNA1 that binds to PKR and prevents it from exerting its antiviral effect (1, 2).

In addition to its well-established role as a proviral, non-coding RNA, VA RNA1 is a substrate of Dicer and, therefore, an effective inhibitor of the RNAi system as its high cellular concentration results in saturation of the RNA-induced silencing complex (3, 4). Specific regulation of host genes by VA RNA1-derived microRNAs has been proposed (5, 6), but a precise functional role in adenoviral replication has not yet been established (7). The remaining portion of the Dicer-processed VA RNA1 is a truncated form of the transcript, lacking the viral microRNA sequences at both the 5′ and 3′ ends, that retains full activity against PKR (8). Paradoxically, full-length VA RNA1 activates a second protein of the innate immune response, 2′-5′-oligoadenylate synthetase 1 (OAS1), leading to downstream activation of RNasel and degradation of viral and cellular RNAs (9). Recently, however, Dicer processing has been shown to largely reverse this stimulatory activity because the truncated form of VA RNA1 acts as a pseudoinhibitor of OAS1 (10). Therefore, a single VA RNA1 transcript possesses multiple activities. It can inhibit two antiviral proteins (PKR and OAS1), potentially regulate gene expression via the cellular RNAi system, and saturate the RNA-processing enzyme Dicer.

VA RNA1 molecules from different adenovirus serotypes vary considerably at the sequence level (11). Although differences in the details of their secondary structures also likely exist, all possess three major structural domains: apical stem, central domain, and terminal stem (11, 12). The apical stem serves as the primary binding site for the two N-terminal dsRNA binding domains of PKR, whereas the central domain is believed to be responsible for inhibition of the kinase (13–20). However, identification of specific central domain sequence(s) or structure(s) that form the direct contacts with PKR required to impart this activity has remained elusive. Despite this, the notion persists that the central domain folds into a complex tertiary structure and that its placement adjacent to the primary PKR binding site in the apical stem confers upon VA RNA1 its inhibitory activity against PKR.
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On the basis of a wealth of prior mutagenesis studies, RNA structure probing, and functional analyses, a model for the central domain was proposed for adenovirus type 2 VA RNA1 in which the two loops within the central domain interact to form a pseudoknot structure (Fig. 1A, loops connected by a dashed line) (21). At the center of this pseudoknot structure in the helical junction of the central domain are two highly conserved and base-paired tetrancleotide sequences that appear critical in both their sequence and orientation for optimum VA RNA1 activity (Fig. 1A, nucleotides in outline font) (11, 12, 21). We have shown that the stability of the central domain structure is also highly sensitive to solution pH, suggesting the involvement of a protonated base-base tertiary interaction (8). Finally, Mg2+ and PKR have been shown to induce similar structural rearrangements in the central domain (14), and Mg2+ appears to fine-tune the mode and affinity of the PKR-VA RNA1 interaction (22). Together, these features lend strong support to the presence of a tertiary structure within the central domain.

Our initial objectives in this study were to address two important remaining questions regarding the VA RNA1 central domain. What is the nature of its tertiary structure, and what role does it play in PKR inhibition? The analyses presented here reveal the defining features of the central domain tertiary structure but demonstrate that it is not required for activity against PKR. Instead, inhibition requires only a subset of the secondary structural elements of the central domain. Therefore, the requirements for optimal inhibitory activity against PKR are far simpler than appreciated previously, offering a satisfying explanation for the consistently observed inhibition of PKR by VA RNA1 molecules from different adenovirus serotypes despite their significant differences at the sequence and secondary structural levels.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

RNA in Vitro Transcription—The RNA construct used as the starting point for these studies (Fig. 1A) possesses both a deleted terminal stem, previously termed TSΔ21 RNA (8), and a deletion in the apical stem (A2dl2) that removes conformational heterogeneity in this region (23). This RNA and its derivatives generated in this study are expected to form a 1:1 complex with PKR (8). All new RNAs prepared here contain the A2dl2 mutation, but for brevity they are named only for their terminal deletion or point mutation(s) made within the TSΔ21-A2dl2 context.

New templates were created by direct ligation of 5′ end phosphorylated double-stranded DNA into a plasmid created previously for the transcription of target RNAs with a 3′ end-fused hepatitis delta virus (3′-HDV) ribozyme (24, 25). RNAs were produced by runoff in vitro transcription using T7 RNA polymerase under optimal conditions for VA RNA1 (26), purified by preparative denaturing PAGE, and recovered as described previously (8, 27). TS21 RNA was also transcribed without the fused 3′-HDV ribozyme by insertion of an additional guanosine immediately after the VA RNA1 coding sequence, creating a new NaeI restriction enzyme site for plasmid linearization at the authentic 3′ end of the TSΔ21 encoding sequence (GCC ↓ GGC, the inserted G is underlined). No difference in gel mobility, melting profile, or PKR-inhibitory activity was observed for RNA produced in this manner (data not shown). The A123U construct was made exclusively with the NaeI runoff site. RNAs for SHAPE and Tb3+ probing were generated by the same direct ligation approach but using a modified plasmid pre-loaded with 5′ and 3′ flanking sequences encoding a “structure cassette” (25, 28).

PKR Expression and Purification—PKR was expressed from plasmid pET-PKR/PPase (29) as described previously (8). Protein was expressed in Escherichia coli Rosetta 2 (DE3) and purified sequentially using heparin affinity, poly(I)-poly(C) RNA affinity, and gel filtration chromatographies on an AKTA Purifier10 system (GE Healthcare). Protein from the gel filtration column was obtained in 20 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.5) containing 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 10% (v/v) glycerol.

RNA UV Melting Analysis—UV melting curves at 260 and 280 nm were collected on a Cary 400 UV-visible spectrophotometer (Varian) with a six-cell multichanger and an in-cell temperature probe placed in a buffer-only sample. Samples contained 20–25 μg of RNA in a solution of 50 mM KCl and 10 mM MOPS buffer (pH 7.5), or 10 mM MES buffer (pH 5.5). Experiments with Mg2+ were performed in the pH 7.5 buffer solution with addition of 0.01–5.0 mM MgCl2. Experiments with PKR were performed using RNA in the pH 7.5 buffer solution mixed with an equal volume of PKR in gel filtration buffer (to give 0.5, 1, and 2 molar equivalents of protein). To facilitate comparison between different RNAs or solution conditions, the UV melting data are presented as “melting profiles” corresponding to the first derivative of the UV absorbance curve.

Selective 2′-Hydroxyl Acylation Analyzed by Primer Extension (SHAPE) Probing—RNA was annealed in 0.5× Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer at 65 °C for 10 min, cooled to room temperature over 10 min, and then placed on ice. Samples were examined by 50% (w/v) urea denaturing and native PAGE to evaluate their purity and conformational homogeneity, respectively. SHAPE RNA probing with N-methylisatoic anhydride (NMIA) was carried out as described previously (28) but with the following modifications. Reactions were initiated by addition of 1 μl of 10× NMIA (100 mM in dimethyl sulfoxide) or 1 μl of dimethyl sulfoxide for controls without NMIA and allowed to proceed for five NMIA hydrolysis half-lives, i.e. 0.5, 10, 30, and 240 min at 85, 50, 40, and 20 °C, respectively (28). Reverse transcription was performed with Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) using a 5′ end γ32P-labeled DNA primer (0.12 μM final concentration) corresponding to the sequence of the 3′ flanking region of the structure cassette (25) in a total volume of 10 μl in 100 mM HEPES buffer (pH 8.0) containing 100 mM NaCl. Reactions were stopped by addition of 35 μl of a 4:25 (v/v) mixture of 1× unbuffered Tris-HCl and gel loading solution (85% formamide, 0.5× TBE (pH 8.0) and 50 mM EDTA with trace bromphenol blue and xylene cyanol dyes) and heating to 95 °C for 5 min. A 3-μl aliquot of each RT reaction was resolved on an 8% acrylamide, 50% (w/v) urea, 1× TBE PAGE sequencing gel. Gels were imaged using a Typhoon Trio PhosphorImager (GE Healthcare) and analyzed using ImageQuant software. Background subtraction, band normalization, and generation of cutoff values for no (<5.5%), low (5.5–11%),
non-linear least-squares method in MicroCal Origin software using the single site binding model.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A Putative pH-dependent VA RNA1 Central Domain Tertiary Structure Is Stabilized by Mg2+ and PKR—A new VA RNA1 variant was created (Fig. 1A) that combined two previously characterized mutations: a 21 base pair truncation of the terminal stem (TS21) that mirrors that produced by Dicer processing in vivo (8) and a six-nucleotide deletion in the apical stem (A2ddl2) (31). These independent mutations are within functionally separate domains, and each retains the full inhibitory activity of wild-type VA RNA1 (8, 18). The global folding of this new RNA was assessed by UV melting analysis (Fig. 1B), and the melting profiles obtained were found to be entirely consistent with previous studies of the individual deletions (8, 23). First, the region of the melting profile corresponding to the central domain is essentially identical to that observed for VA RNA1 with only the TS21 deletion (8). Second, the A2ddl2 mutation stabilizes the apical stem, significantly increasing its apparent Tm (to >90 °C) relative to the wild-type sequence (apparent Tm ~86 °C). Third, the absence of any additional effects on other regions of the profile resulting from the A2ddl2 mutation corroborates our previous finding that the apical stem and central domain structures and stabilities are completely independent (27). This new construct, henceforth termed TS21 for brevity (see “Experimental Procedures”), is ideal for detailed analysis of the central domain structure because the stabilizing effect of the A2ddl2 mutation fully resolves the apparent transitions corresponding to the central domain and apical stem (Fig. 1B).

Our previous analysis (8) showed that the TS21 central domain unfolds in at least two overlapping apparent transitions between 30 °C and 80 °C. Here a low temperature starting point of ~15 °C allowed us to identify an additional broad apparent unfolding transition centered on ~30 °C that is present only at pH 7.5 (Fig. 1B). The dramatic stabilization of the central domain structure at low pH (8) shifts this apparent transition to a significantly higher Tm at pH 5.5. We hypothesized that this newly identified apparent transition corresponds to the unfolding of the putative central domain tertiary structure. The dramatic rightward shift and coupling of this and the second apparent transition (centered on ~45 °C at pH 7.5) into a single apparent transition (centered on ~57 °C at pH 5.5) is consistent with a low-stability (apparent Tm ~30 °C) tertiary structure at pH 7.5 that is stabilized via a protonated tertiary contact. Through the largely hierarchical nature of RNA folding, this stabilized tertiary structure additionally stabilizes the central domain secondary structure components from which it is formed.

Previous VA RNA1 structure probing studies in the presence of Mg2+ or PKR have shown that both induce similar structural changes in the central domain (14). More recently, the interaction between PKR and VA RNA1 has been shown to be sensitive to the presence of Mg2+ (22). Having identified a new apparent transition that potentially corresponds to the RNA tertiary structure, we next examined the effect of Mg2+ and PKR on the RNA melting profile. As expected, addition of Mg2+ stabilizes all elements of the VA RNA1 structure, corresponding to a
rightward shift in all apparent transitions (Fig. 1C). The first apparent transition is, however, especially sensitive to addition of Mg\(^{2+}\), with a significant shift in apparent \(T_m\) at only 10–25 \(\mu M\) and complete coupling of the first and second apparent transitions at 0.1–0.2 mM and higher. Melting experiments with PKR are complicated by precipitation of the protein at \(50 \degree C\) but, again, indicate that the putative tertiary structure, corresponding to the broad first apparent transition, is stabilized by PKR (Fig. 1D).

The behavior of this newly identified, first low-temperature apparent transition in the melting profile of TS\(\Delta 21\) RNA at low pH and in the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\) is consistent with it representing the unfolding of an RNA tertiary structure. Therefore, from these various lines of evidence, we conclude that the central domain does, as proposed previously, contain a set of tertiary interactions, including a protonated base, and that its stability is influenced by specific binding of one or more Mg\(^{2+}\) or PKR. This conclusion is further corroborated by the results of the SHAPE and mutagenesis experiments described below.

SHAPE Analysis of the VA RNA, Central Domain Tertiary Structure—To identify the regions of the central domain involved in forming its tertiary structure, we used SHAPE to probe nucleotide flexibility in TS\(\Delta 21\) RNA at two temperatures that define the lower (20 \degree C) and upper (40 \degree C) boundaries of the tertiary structure unfolding transition identified in the melting experiments (Fig. 1B, black arrowheads). These conditions should, therefore, reflect nucleotide flexibility in the presence and absence of the folded tertiary structure.

At 20 \degree C, most nucleotides predicted to be base-paired display low SHAPE reactivity, as expected (Fig. 2, A and B). The only exceptions are nucleotides bordering loops I and III (nts 129–131). Most notably, a much lower reactivity than expected was observed for the majority of nucleotides in loops II and III (nts 104–107 and 123–127), indicating lower nucleotide flexibility and, therefore, involvement in interactions beyond those depicted in the secondary structure model. In contrast, nts 115–117 of the 3′ end of loop I display the high reactivity expected for non-base-paired nucleotides.

**FIGURE 1.** The VA RNA, central domain contains putative tertiary interactions that are stabilized by low pH, Mg\(^{2+}\), and PKR. A, TS\(\Delta 21\), the truncated form of adenovirus type 2 VA RNA, used as the starting point in this study. This RNA construct lacks all terminal stem nucleotides (TS\(\Delta 21\)) and has a six-nucleotide deletion in the apical stem (A2dl2). The proposed pseudoknot interaction between loop II and loop III (dashed lines) and conserved complementary tetranucleotide sequences (outline font) are highlighted. B, UV melting analysis of VA RNA, with combined TS\(\Delta 21\) and A2dl2 deletions. Melting profiles depict the first derivative of the UV melting curve (inset) at pH 5.5 (red) and pH 7.5 (black). Apparent transitions (“peaks”) in the melting profile correspond to unfolding of structures within the VA RNA, apical stem (partly visible at >90 \degree C) and central domain (three at pH 7.5 and two at pH 5.5 over the range of 20–80 \degree C) are indicated. Of the central domain transitions, the first is assigned to the putative RNA tertiary structure and is stabilized dramatically at low pH (rightward shift of profile in red dashed line). Arrowheads denote temperatures used for SHAPE probing of this RNA. The first apparent transition is also stabilized by both Mg\(^{2+}\) ion (C) and PKR (D). The concentration of divalent ion or molar equivalents (eq.) of PKR included in the experiment is indicated.
At 40 °C, reactivity of these loop II and III nucleotides increases substantially, along with a general increase in reactivity of paired and loop I nucleotides in the 3' half of the central domain (nts 111–131, Fig. 2B, inset). The low reactivity of the loop II and III nucleotides and their concomitant increase in reactivity at a temperature above the identified tertiary structure unfolding event support the conclusion that these partially complementary nucleotides base-pair directly to form a pseudoknot structure within the central domain.

Role of Mg$$^{2+}$$ and Protonation in Central Domain Tertiary Structure Formation—To further explore the role of Mg$$^{2+}$$ in the formation of the central domain tertiary structure, we used Tb$$^{3+}$$ probing, a method applied previously to both the mapping of ion binding sites and monitoring RNA folding (32). TSΔ21 RNA was incubated with a range of TbCl$_3$ concentrations, and the induced strand cleavages were examined using reverse transcription. Two major sites of strand scission were identified, A123 and G97 (Fig. 2, B and C). Each site was present at the lowest Tb$$^{3+}$ concentration used and increased consistently in intensity with increasing Tb$$^{3+}$ concentration. These results point to the presence of at least one Mg$$^{2+}$ binding site in the proximity of loop III and, unexpectedly, a base-paired nucleotide in the middle of the central domain stem-loop structure. A third, weaker strand scission is also noted at A103 within loop II that may indicate the presence of an additional Mg$$^{2+}$ site or that this nucleotide is in proximity to the ion located at G97/A123.

Next, we exploited the strong influence of solution pH on central domain stability to further dissect the features critical for formation of its tertiary structure. We hypothesized that pH dependence arises through specific protonation of at least one central domain nucleotide involved in a direct tertiary contact. Further, mutation of this nucleotide should result in a loss of pH dependence and, therefore, identical RNA melting profiles at pH 5.5 and pH 7.5. The pK$_a$ of cytosine N3 is ~4.5 in the free nucleotide, making this site on one or more of the single-stranded cytosine residues of the central domain the most likely candidate for the origin of its pH sensitivity. Each of these residues, C104, C105, C107, and C116, was mutated individually to U, and the effect on pH dependence in the RNA melting profile was assessed (Fig. 3, A and B). Notably, none of the individual mutations eliminated the strong stabilization of the tertiary structure in the pH 5.5 melting profile, indicating that none of these residues harbors the putative site of protonation. However, the role of loop II nucleotides in forming the central domain tertiary structure is further corroborated by these mutations. First, the broad low temperature apparent transition shape, and/or hypochromicity, indicative of additional subtle structural alterations. The C116U mutant is distinct in that it appears to retain the tertiary fold, but a component of the secondary structure is destabilized at pH 7.5. In contrast, at lower pH, where central domain folding is driven by the base protonation, the melting profile of this mutant is identical to that of the parent TSΔ21 construct.
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Nucleotide A123 was implicated by our Tb\(^{3+}\) probing experiments in Mg\(^{2+}\) binding and, like the adjacent nucleotides involved in the central domain pseudoknot structure, displays lower than expected SHAPE reactivity. We therefore mutated A123 to U (A123U RNA) to determine whether it harbors the protonation site (adenine N1, \(pK_a \approx 3.8\)). The melting profile for A123U RNA at pH 7.5 lacks the broad, low-temperature apparent transition corresponding to the tertiary structure (Fig. 3C) but was otherwise unchanged, aside from a small increase in hypochromicity in the highest \(T_m\) (~65 °C) apparent transition. At pH 5.5, the profile was nearly identical to that observed at pH 7.5, with only small changes in hypochromicity of each apparent transition and a small decrease in apparent \(T_m\) for the highest \(T_m\) (~65 °C) apparent transition (Fig. 3C). Critically, neither pH-dependent stabilization nor the reappearance of an apparent transition corresponding to the tertiary unfolding was observed. We conclude that A123 is the protonated base within the central domain critical for the formation of its tertiary structure.

Though none of the individual mutations in loop II (C104U, C105U, and C107U) resulted in melting profiles indicative of the loss of tertiary structure, a result similar to that observed for A123U was obtained when all three cytosines of loop II were mutated to uridines (3U RNA, Fig. 3C). We interpret this as being a more significant disruption of the loop II-loop III pseudoknot tertiary interaction such that A123 protonation-driven tertiary folding cannot compensate as it does for an individual C-to-U mutation at each location.

Protonated adenine bases have been observed in non-Watson-Crick base pairs with either guanine or cytidine partners in RNA crystal structures. For the VA RNA, central domain, the latter possibility is largely eliminated because we demonstrated the existence of a pseudoknot structure in which C104 and C105 are base-paired with G124 and G125, and the mutation of each single-stranded C residue does not affect the structural pH dependence. Formation of the pseudoknot structure between loops II and III potentially creates a G-A pair between G106 and A123. However, although the G106A mutation resulted in an expected loss of the broad tertiary structure unfolding at pH 7.5, it did not eliminate the central domain folding dependence upon pH (data not shown). This result does not exclude an interaction between G106 and A123 as part of the pseudoknot but shows that it is not dependent upon pH. Although G97 is base-paired with C111 in the secondary model, our Tb\(^{3+}\) probing data implicate this guanine residue, together with A123, in coordinating a Mg\(^{2+}\) within the central domain. Of the known base pairings in RNA, we speculate that a parallel strand orientation, cis Watson-Crick/Hoogsteen A^-G base pair proposed for A123^-G97, highlighting the available base edges for potential additional interactions as discussed in the text.

FIGURE 3. A123 is the protonated nucleotide within the VA RNA, central domain. A, central domain secondary structure highlighting the four individual single-stranded C-to-U mutations (blue circles), mutation of all three loop II C nucleotides to U (3U RNA, green), and the A123-to-U point mutant (red circle). B and C, UV melting profiles of C104U, C105U, C107U, C116U (blue), A123U (red), and 3U RNA (green). The melting profile for each RNA is shown at pH 7.5 (solid line) and pH 5.5 (dashed line) and compared with those of TSΔ21 RNA (black). The region corresponding to unfolding of the TSΔ21 RNA pH-dependent tertiary structure at pH 7.5 is marked with a horizontal bar. D, the cis Watson-Crick/Hoogsteen A^-G base pair proposed for A123^-G97, highlighting the available base edges for potential additional interactions as discussed in the text.
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Conformational Heterogeneity within the Mutant VA RNA

Central Domain—The substantial changes in melting profile between TSΔ21 and the TSΔ25/TSΔ25+L constructs prompted us to explore the central domain secondary structures of these new RNAs using SHAPE. As before, each RNA structure was probed at pH 7.5 at temperatures corresponding to boundaries of apparent transitions for central domain unfolding (Fig. 4, arrowheads). Reactions were performed at 20 °C and 50 °C to identify changes corresponding to the broad lower temperature apparent transition and at 85 °C to identify additional changes that occur upon complete central domain unfolding (Fig. 5). Each RNA was also probed at 40 °C to allow direct comparison to the equivalent experiments with TSΔ21 RNA (probed at 20 and 40 °C, Fig. 2).

The temperature-dependent SHAPE reactivities of nucleotides in loop II (nts 105–107) and the 3′ side of loop III (nts 124–128) in TSΔ25+L RNA are very similar to those observed for TSΔ21 RNA. These two regions show a lower than expected reactivity with NMIA at 20 °C and a concomitant increase in reactivity at 50 °C, where the tertiary, but not secondary structures, are unfolded (Fig. 5, A and B). This suggests that these nucleotides maintain tertiary pairing interactions that, in conjunction with a protonated A123, participate in the formation of the central domain tertiary structure of TSΔ25+L RNA. In further support of this, in TSΔ25 RNA, where the 3′ end nucleotides of loop III are deleted, the reactivity of loop II nucleotides is high, even at 20 °C (Fig. 5, D and E).

Although the loop II-loop III pseudoknot interaction appears to be maintained in TSΔ25+L RNA, other substantial changes in nucleotide flexibility are observed. Both RNAs lack the exceptionally strong reactivity of loop I (nts 115–117) in TSΔ21 RNA. Additionally, a much higher than expected SHAPE reactivity is observed for the 3′ half of the central domain stem loop (nts 108–114), even at the lowest temperature. This implies that, in both TSΔ25 and TSΔ25+L RNAs, these nucleotides are not base-paired with nts 94–99, suggesting that the changes in secondary structure of these RNAs are more complex than a simple erasure of nucleotides from the model of TSΔ21 (Fig. 5, C and F, top panels). Therefore, we revised the secondary structure models of these RNAs to reflect our experimentally determined nucleotide flexibilities (Fig. 5, C and F, bottom panels).

Because TSΔ25+L RNA appears to retain only some elements of the central domain structure, we next asked whether PKR could directly influence its structure. We hypothesized that PKR binding to TSΔ25+L RNA might result in reorganization of the central domain to resemble that of TSΔ21 RNA so that both RNAs ultimately use the same structure to inhibit PKR. This, however, was not the case because we found the structure of TSΔ25+L RNA determined by SHAPE to be identical in the absence or presence of PKR (data not shown). We conclude that TSΔ25+L and TSΔ25 RNAs adopt a central domain secondary structure, distinct from TSΔ21 RNA, that is
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maintained when bound by PKR and that TS25+L RNA contains tertiary interactions that are built on this altered scaffold.

The VA RNA Central Domain Tertiary Structure Is Not Required for PKR Inhibition—TS21 RNA is an effective inhibitor of PKR despite lacking the entire terminal stem of wild-type VA RNA1 (8). Additionally, recent evidence demonstrates that the central domain acts in concert with the apical stem to initiate Mg2+-dependent, high-affinity PKR binding (37) in addition to its established role in inhibition. Having established the minimal context in which the VA RNA1 central domain adopts a pH-dependent tertiary structure (TS25 RNA) and a small deletion that eliminates it (TS25 RNA), we next used these RNAs to directly test the role of the central domain tertiary structure in PKR inhibition.

To assess the activity of each RNA, we developed a PKR inhibition assay using a slot blot apparatus analogous to the established SDS-PAGE analysis, allowing for higher throughput (see “Experimental Procedures”). This new assay was first validated by comparative analysis of PKR activation (autophosphorylation) by poly(I)-poly(C) dsRNA and inhibition by TS21 RNA. C and D, quantification of replicate experiments shown in A and B, respectively, demonstrating the reproducibility of the approach and correlation between PKR autophosphorylation (blue, SDS-PAGE; black, slot blot) and phosphorylation of the substrate eIF2α (green). The arrowhead in C indicates the concentration of PKR used in all subsequent kinase inhibition assays. E, quantification of [γ32P]ATP slot blot PKR autophosphorylation inhibition assays using the VA RNA1 variants: TS21, TS25, TS25+L, and A123U RNAs at a fixed concentration of poly(I)-poly(C) dsRNA activator. F, representative ITC experiments for titration of PKR into (left to right): TS21, TS25+L, and TS25 RNAs.

FIGURE 5. SHAPE analysis of TS25+L and TS25 RNAs. A, representative gel showing SHAPE probing of TS25+L RNA at temperatures below (20 °C), between (40 and 50 °C), and above (85 °C) the apparent transitions corresponding to the unfolding of the central domain structure. Lanes with (+) SHAPE reagent (NMIA) and RT reactions with dideoxynucleotides (U, A, C, and G) for RNA sequencing are indicated. VA RNA1 nucleotide numbering is shown on the left, and bands corresponding to central domain loops I-III, the apical stem (AS) loop, and full-length product (FL) are indicated on the right. B, quantification of SHAPE reactivity from low to high temperature (top to bottom) with relative changes shown between each pair of temperatures (dashed boxes). Normalized band intensities were averaged from three sets of reactions and categorized according to the reactivity key shown. C, nucleotide flexibility at 20 °C mapped on the nucleotide sequence of TS25+L RNA in the currently established central domain secondary structure (top panel) and redrawn on the basis of observed SHAPE reactivities. D, E, and F, as A–C for TS25 RNA.

FIGURE 6. The intact VA RNA1 central domain tertiary structure is not required for PKR inhibition or binding. A, comparison of representative assays of phosphorylation activation by poly(I)-poly(C) dsRNA in the presence of [γ32P]ATP with PKR/eIF2α (top panel) and PKR alone (center panel) using SDS-PAGE and with PKR alone (bottom panel) using the newly established slot blot method (SB). B, as A but for inhibition of phosphorylation by TS21 RNA. C and D, quantification of replicate experiments shown in A and B, respectively, demonstrating the reproducibility of the approach and correlation between PKR autophosphorylation (blue, SDS-PAGE; black, slot blot) and phosphorylation of the substrate eIF2α (green). The arrowhead in C indicates the concentration of PKR used in all subsequent kinase inhibition assays. E, quantification of [γ32P]ATP slot blot PKR autophosphorylation inhibition assays using the VA RNA1 variants: TS21, TS25, TS25+L, and A123U RNAs at a fixed concentration of poly(I)-poly(C) dsRNA activator. F, representative ITC experiments for titration of PKR into (left to right): TS21, TS25+L, and TS25 RNAs.
bition of eIF2α substrate phosphorylation by TSΔ21 RNA correlates well with PKR inhibition (Fig. 6, A–D), solidifying our ability to link *in vitro* PKR activation to eIF2α modification and shutdown of general translation. As expected, with its intact pH-dependent tertiary structure, TSΔ25 + L RNA inhibited PKR almost as well as TSΔ21 (Fig. 6E). Surprisingly, however, TSΔ25 RNA, which lacks the pH-dependent tertiary structure, inhibited PKR equally well. To test the possibility that the altered secondary structure of these two RNAs might be responsible for this unexpected activity, we tested the A123U mutant, which lacks the pH-dependent central domain tertiary structure within the TSΔ21 context. We found that A123U RNA also retains full inhibitory activity against PKR, comparable with TSΔ21 (Fig. 6E). This result excludes the central domain structural rearrangements as the origin of the activity of these RNAs in the absence of tertiary structure. Correlating with the comparable activity of these RNAs against PKR, we found that PKR binding affinity was not altered significantly by the presence or absence of the tertiary structure (Kₐ 28–41 nM, Fig. 6F).

From these data, we conclude that the central domain tertiary structure does not contribute to PKR binding and is not required for full inhibition of PKR. Taken together, SHAPE probing and these functional analyses suggest that substantially different secondary structures can be appended to the VA RNA apical stem to produce potent PKR inhibitors. This observation is significant because it explains how activity against PKR is maintained despite extensive variation in the VA RNA structural organization.

**FIGURE 7. Role of the central domain 5′-strand nucleotides and three-helix junction in optimal PKR inhibition.** A, VA RNA, central domain mutants made in the TSΔ25 context: two terminus sequence variants, 5′-mut and 5′/3′-bp RNAs, and four deletion mutants, ΔS′ (minor), ΔS′ (major), Δ3′ (minor), and Δ3′ (major) RNAs. B, quantification of slot blot PKR autophosphorylation inhibition assays for the 5′-mut (dashed purple line) and 5′/3′-bp (solid purple line) sequence variants in the presence of [γ-^32^P]ATP and poly(I)-poly(C) dsRNA activator. TSΔ21 (black) and TSΔ25 (gray) are shown for comparison. C, as B but for the deletion mutants ΔS′ (minor) RNA (cyan), ΔS′ (major) RNA (green), Δ3′ (minor) RNA (orange), and Δ3′ (major) RNA (red). D, UV melting profiles of each deletion mutant (colored as in A and C). The melting profile for each RNA is shown at pH 7.5 (solid line) and pH 5.5 (dashed line) and compared with those of TSΔ21 RNA (black). The region corresponding to unfolding of the TSΔ21 RNA pH-dependent tertiary structure at pH 7.5 is marked with a horizontal bar. E, representative ITC experiments for titration of PKR into ΔS′ (major) (left) and Δ3′ (major) (right) RNAs.
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PKR inhibition requires only the VA RNA1 apical stem and three-helix junction—Collectively, the results presented above demonstrate that, although the VA RNA1 central domain possesses a complex tertiary structure, it is not required for PKR inhibition. Given the well-established role of the central domain in PKR inhibition, the critical question remains: what are the features of the VA RNA1 central domain that truly define it as an inhibitor and, therefore, an effective mechanism for circumventing innate cellular immunity? We made six further mutations in the TSΔ25 RNA context to dissect the contributions made to PKR inhibition by the pair of conserved tetranucleotide sequences within the central domain (21) as well as the structures within its 5’ and 3’ halves (Fig. 7A). 5’-mut and 5’/3’-bp RNAs contain mutations in the 5’-conserved tetranucleotide sequence, without and with compensatory changes on the 3’ side, respectively. Deletion mutants Δ5’(minor), Δ5’(major), Δ3’(minor), and Δ3’(major) RNAs lack part or all of one half of the central domain.

We first assessed the ability of each RNA to inhibit PKR autophosphorylation using the slot blot apparatus as described above. Alteration of the 5’ half of the conserved tetranucleotide sequence with or without compensatory changes in the 3’ half, in 5’/3’-bp and 5’-mut RNAs respectively, had no effect on inhibitory activity compared with TSΔ25 RNA (Fig. 7B). This result was unexpected given the previous demonstration that, in the context of the full-length RNA, mutation of these nucleotides caused loss of activity in a cell-based translational rescue assay that could be partially restored by compensatory mutations (21). Additionally, in that study, the same mutations were found to cause major changes in the nuclease sensitivity of loops II and III, consistent with their role in tertiary structure formation. Despite this discrepancy, the activity of our mutated RNAs in the TSΔ25 RNA context is consistent with our finding that the tertiary structure plays no role in PKR inhibition, and, collectively, these observations point to additional roles for the central domain tertiary structure in a cellular context.

In contrast, each of the central domain deletion mutants impacted PKR inhibitory capacity, ranging from a very modest effect with the smaller 5’ end deletion, Δ5’(minor), to the greatest decrease in activity with the larger 5’ end deletion in Δ5’(major) (Fig. 7C). Both 3’ end deletions had an essentially identical intermediate impact on PKR inhibition. This latter observation suggests that the 3’ half of the central domain may contribute to inhibitory activity through its interaction with the critical region located at the base of the apical stem on its 5’ side.

Because short hairpin RNAs with single-stranded 5’ and 3’ extensions (ss-ds-RNAs) are dependent upon a 5’ end triphosphate for potent PKR activation (38), we considered the possibility that our Δ5’ and Δ3’ variants might resemble such RNAs and activate poorly because their terminal extensions are suboptimal for activation (absent, too short, or base-paired). We reasoned that this might result in pseudoinhibition because the poorer VA RNA1 mutant activator outcompetes the more potent poly(I)-poly(C) dsRNA at higher concentrations. However, other than a modest change in the activity of Δ3’(minor) RNA, no differences were observed between equivalent RNAs with a 5’-triphosphate or 5’-hydroxyl (generated by calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase treatment, data not shown).

Each of the four deletions represents a substantial truncation of the central domain structure. To assess the global folding of each RNA for any unexpected effects on its structure, we examined the UV melting profile of each RNA (Fig. 7D). However, as expected, all four RNAs lack the first (pH-dependent) and second apparent transitions (as for TSΔ25 RNA, Fig. 4) with further loss of hypochromicity in each of the larger deletions, corresponding to the greater reduction in structural content. With its almost complete deletion of the central domain, other than the short single-stranded 5’ end sequence, Δ3’(major) RNA has essentially no hypochromicity in the regions of the profile corresponding to central domain unfolding (Fig. 7D, fourth panel).

Finally, we assessed the functional impacts of the largest central domain deletion mutants. As expected, the ability of Δ5’(major) to inhibit downstream phosphorylation of eIF2α by PKR was decreased markedly, correlating directly with its substantially reduced inhibition of PKR autophosphorylation (Fig. 7E). We also measured the binding affinity of Δ5’(major) and Δ3’(major) RNAs for PKR using ITC. Despite its substantial impact on PKR inhibition, deletion of the entire 3’ half of the central domain resulted in only a ~2-fold reduction in affinity, whereas deletion of the 5’ end resulted in a more dramatic decrease in Kd (Fig. 7F).

These results, together with the kinase assays, indicate that the 5’ half of the central domain is most critical for mediating high-affinity PKR binding and conferring full inhibitory activity. Although the conserved 5’ side tetranucleotide sequence (nts 37–40) does not appear as critical as we had anticipated, the larger deletion in Δ5’(major) RNA partially overlaps with an unusually thermodynamically stable region at the base of the apical stem that is resistant to denaturation and results in aberrant migration of VA RNA1 in denaturing polyacrylamide gels (27). Whether this structure contributes to binding and/or inhibition will require additional detailed structural characterization of the PKR-VA RNA1 complex. The observation that disconnecting the 5’ and 3’ termini in Δ3’(minor) RNA results in as great a reduction in activity as deletion of the entire 3’ half of the central domain suggests that the three-helix junction is required for full inhibitory activity. Whether this is due to direct interactions at the junction or indirectly via optimal positioning of the 5’ strand of the central domain also remains to be determined.

Conclusions

The results presented here provide strong evidence for the formation of a pH- and Mg2+-dependent tertiary structure within the adenovirus type 2 VA RNA1 central domain. In sharp contrast to our expectation, however, this structure is entirely dispensable for inhibition of PKR. Instead, the overall organization of the central domain as a three-helix junction, in concert with the 5’ strand at the base of the apical stem, is critical for activity. During preparation of this manuscript, two reports were published describing PKR interactions with VA RNA1 using analytical ultracentrifugation and small angle x-ray scattering with molecular modeling (37, 39). The observation that
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the central domain contributes to high-affinity PKR binding (37), potentially through placement of dsRBMs2 at the helical junction (39), is entirely compatible with our finding of the direct and critical role for the 5' half of this domain.

Collectively, our results reveal that requirements for RNA-mediated inhibition of PKR are substantially simpler than appreciated previously and highlight the need for an expanded view of RNA features required for PKR inhibition. Our findings offer a satisfying explanation for the ability of VA RNAi from different serotypes with varying sequences and structures to effectively inhibit PKR and, thereby, ensure successful viral replication (11). Relaxer criteria in this sense reconcile the inclusion of seemingly disparate non-coding RNAs, such as the Epstein-Barr virus RNA EBER-1 (40) and the cellular RNA nc886 (41), with VA RNAi in a collection of non-coding RNAs that exert an inhibitory effect against PKR. In light of our finding that the highly conserved central domain structure reported previously as necessary for optimal VA RNAi-mediated translational rescue (21) has a minimal impact on PKR inhibition, speculation on alternative roles for the VA RNAi central domain raises several intriguing possibilities. For example, the central domain tertiary structure might protect the critical region of the helical junction from degradation, could influence Dicer processing of the terminal stem (3, 4), or may mask structures or sequences that might cause unwanted regulation of other dsRNA binding proteins.

Proteins of the innate immune system must maintain features that render them sufficiently promiscuous for detection of a range of invading viruses but not so much so that they elicit an autoimmune response. That an even wider range of structures than anticipated can fulfill an inhibitory role is in agreement with multiple lines of evidence pointing to the functional flexibility of PKR in its interactions with activating and inhibitory RNAs.
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