Concurrent myotomy and tunneling after establishment of a half tunnel instead of myotomy after establishment of a full tunnel: a more efficient method of peroral endoscopic myotomy
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Introduction

Patients who have spastic esophageal motility disorders present with dysphagia, regurgitation, chest pain, and weight loss. Esophageal achalasia is the best defined of the spastic esophageal motility disorders and can be treated either endoscopically or surgically with disruption of the lower esophageal sphincter (LES) [1]. Traditional surgical disruption of the LES with laparoscopic Heller myotomy has been effective. Peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) is a conceptually similar but less invasive approach that does not require thoracoscopy or laparoscopy [2, 3]. Since its advent in 2010 [2], worldwide adoption of the POEM procedure has progressed at a rapid rate.

Results: Modified POEM was performed in 6 patients whose mean age (± standard deviation [SD]) was 58 ± 13.3 years. Of these, 5 patients had type II achalasia and 1 patient had esophageal dysmotility. The mean Eckardt score (± SD) before the procedure was 8.8 ± 1.3. The modified technique was performed in 47 ± 8 minutes, with 6 ± 1 minutes required per centimeter of myotomy and 3 ± 1 minutes required per centimeter of submucosal space. The Eckardt score was 3 ± 1.1 at 1 month and 3 ± 2.5 at 3 months. The procedure time for modified POEM was significantly shorter than that for traditional POEM.

Conclusions: Modified POEM with short submucosal tunneling may be more efficient than traditional POEM with long submucosal tunneling, and outcomes may be equivalent over short-term follow-up. Long-term data and randomized controlled studies are needed to compare the clinical efficacy of modified POEM with that of the traditional method.
time-consuming, and numerous exchanges of the injection needle and dissection knife are required during each POEM procedure [6]; therefore, the time spent on submucosal tunneling may account for most of the POEM procedural time. Reducing the time required to establish a long submucosal tunnel may be the best way to reduce the entire procedural time. Traditionally, myotomy is performed after a submucosal tunnel approximately 12 cm long (10 cm above and 2 cm below the gastroesophageal junction [GEJ]), is completely established. However, a completed submucosal tunnel may not be necessary for starting a myotomy. Most recently, a video case report of a similar modified technique in which submucosal tunneling and myotomy were performed concurrently suggested improved efficiency [8]. We hypothesized that starting a myotomy when the submucosal tunnel is half completed might significantly reduce the procedural time of POEM. To our knowledge, this is the first case series of concurrent myotomy and tunneling, termed modified POEM, whose results are presented here. We believe that this simple modification is inexpensive and flexible and that it improves the efficiency of the procedure by reducing the time necessary to establish a long submucosal tunnel.

**Methods**

### Patients

Starting in December of 2013, all patients who were seen at our institution with an esophageal motility disorder, including achalasia, and were candidates for laparoscopic myotomy were offered POEM as part of a retrospective outcomes study approved by the institutional review board. Between November 2014 and March 2015, a modified POEM procedure was performed at the discretion of the endoscopist in six patients who opted to be treated with POEM.

Patient exclusion criteria included an inability to tolerate general anesthesia and prior endoscopic myotomy. Preoperative assessment included confirmation of a symptomatic esophageal motility disorder as defined by standard high resolution manometry, standard upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, barium swallow, and chest computed tomography. The data collected during the procedure included Eckardt score, length of myotomy, total duration of procedure, duration of submucosal tunneling, duration of myotomy, intraoperative and postoperative complications, and types and doses of anesthetic agents. The Eckardt score is a clinically accepted system for evaluating achalasia both before and after treatment [9]. Data derived included time per unit length of myotomy. Similar data were collected for six patients who underwent traditional POEM during the same period.

**Peroral endoscopic myotomy procedures**

Patient preparation and surgical technique have been described previously [10]. The same basic technique, proposed by others [2, 7], was used for all cases. All surgeries were performed in the endoscopy suite with the patient supine and under general anesthesia. Patients were given 4.5 g of piperacillin/tazobactam intravenously or 500 mg of levofloxacin intravenously during the procedure. The esophagus was cleared of any retained particulate matter with lavage and suction. A submucosal wheal of normal saline dyed with methylene blue was created 10 cm above the endoscopically visualized GEJ in the posterior esophagus. An endoscope (GIF-H190; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with a transparent distal cap attachment (MH-588; Olympus) was used, and a 1.5- to 2-cm mucosectomy was created with a triangle tip knife (Olympus).

The technique consists of four basic steps: (i) mucosectomy, (ii) submucosal tunneling, (iii) myotomy, and (iv) mucosal resection closure [11] (Fig. 1). In the process of establishing a submucosal tunnel, repetitive cycles of dissection and injection with dyed normal saline are necessary to delineate the submucosal layer.
from the muscular layer and so avoid full-thickness perforation or mucosal injury. For traditional POEM, careful electrocauterization was used to extend a submucosal tunnel from 10 cm above the GEJ to approximately 2 cm past the GEJ into the gastric cardia. After a 12-cm tunnel had been completed, a distal-to-proximal or proximal-to-distal circular myotomy was performed (Fig. 2b).

For modified POEM, a proximal-to-distal circular myotomy was initiated after about half of the submucosal tunnel (4–6 cm long) had been created and was then continued concurrently with extension of the submucosal tunnel (Fig. 2a, Video 1).

All procedures were performed by an attending physician experienced in POEM and an advanced endoscopy trainee. The attending physician had performed more than 50 POEM procedures before initiation of the study. Patients underwent modified or traditional POEM at the discretion of the endoscopist. The trainee’s participation was fixed at 20 minutes per case, including 5 minutes for tunneling and 2 minutes for myotomy.

**Outcomes and follow-up**

The patients were admitted to the hospital after the procedure and followed in the clinic after discharge. At 1 and 3 months, their Eckardt scores were calculated. Immediate postoperative adverse events were recorded. Per protocol, all patients had a clinic visit at 1 month, and it was recommended that they undergo high-resolution manometric analysis and a follow-up clinic visit at 3 months. In the entire cohort, 3 patients in the modified POEM group and 3 in the traditional POEM group returned for manometric evaluation.
Statistics
The paired Student’s t test was applied with Excel (Microsoft) to analyze the total length of the procedure, submucosal tunneling time, myotomy time, and submucosal endoscopic time (tunneling plus myotomy); the paired Student’s t test was also applied to compare the total time per unit length of myotomy and the submucosal endoscopic time per unit length of myotomy in the two groups. P values of 0.05 or less were considered significant.

Results

Patient characteristics
The modified POEM procedure, consisting of short tunneling followed by myotomy, was performed in 6 patients (1 male patient, 5 female patients; mean age 58 ± 13.3 years, range 42 – 66; Table 1). Of these patients, 5 had a preoperative diagnosis of achalasia based on high resolution manometry; all had type II achalasia. In 1 patient, the manometric examination was not tolerated despite multiple attempts; achalasia was diagnosed based on expert opinion after clinical review and endoscopic, thoracic computed tomographic, and barium swallow examinations and was termed esophageal dysmotility (Table 2a). The baseline information for the patients who underwent traditional POEM is presented in Table 2b.

Procedure times
Total procedure time, tunneling time, and myotomy time
The mean total procedure time was defined as the time elapsed from intubation of the esophagus to removal of the scope from the patient’s mouth. The mean total procedure time (±SD) was 47 ± 8 minutes for modified POEM and 67 ± 13 minutes for traditional POEM (Table 3). Tunneling time was defined as the time elapsed from the initiation of tunneling to the completion of tunneling. Myotomy time was defined as the time elapsed from the initiation of myotomy to the completion of myotomy. The mean tunneling time (± SD) was 10 ± 4 minutes for modified POEM and 27 ± 7 minutes for traditional POEM. The mean myotomy time (±SD) was 16 ± 8 minutes for modified POEM and 8 ± 2 minutes for traditional POEM (Table 3).

Total time per centimeter of myotomy
The total time per centimeter of myotomy was calculated as mean procedure time (min)/mean length of myotomy (cm). The mean myotomy length (±SD) was 7.5 ± 0.8 cm for modified POEM and 6.8 ± 0.4 cm for traditional POEM (Table 3). When the time per unit length of myotomy was calculated, modified POEM required 6 min/cm and traditional POEM required 10 min/cm. This was calculated as follows: 47 min/7.5 cm = 6.3 min/cm (modified POEM); 67 min/6.8 cm = 9.9 min/cm (traditional POEM) (Table 3, Fig. 3a)

Submucosal time per centimeter of myotomy
Other factors, such as clearing the esophageal lumen, making the initial incision, and closing the incision, may affect the length of the procedure, and these factors are not associated with tunneling and myotomy. Therefore, we also calculated the submucosal time per centimeter of myotomy. The submucosal time (tunneling time plus myotomy time) was defined as time from the initiation of tunneling to the completion of myotomy. The mean tunneling time plus myotomy time (±SD) was 26 ± 8 min for modified POEM and 36 ± 8 min for traditional POEM (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, mean ± SD, y</th>
<th>Modified POEM (n=6)</th>
<th>Traditional POEM (n=6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M:F)</td>
<td>58 ± 13.3</td>
<td>53 ± 19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manometric diagnosis</td>
<td>achalasia:dysmotility</td>
<td>5:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistics
The paired Student’s t test was applied with Excel (Microsoft) to analyze the total length of the procedure, submucosal tunneling time, myotomy time, and submucosal endoscopic time (tunneling plus myotomy); the paired Student’s t test was also applied to compare the total time per unit length of myotomy and the submucosal endoscopic time per unit length of myotomy in the two groups. P values of 0.05 or less were considered significant.

Results

Patient characteristics
The modified POEM procedure, consisting of short tunneling followed by myotomy, was performed in 6 patients (1 male patient, 5 female patients; mean age 58 ± 13.3 years, range 42 – 66; Table 1). Of these patients, 5 had a preoperative diagnosis of achalasia based on high resolution manometry; all had type II achalasia. In 1 patient, the manometric examination was not tolerated despite multiple attempts; achalasia was diagnosed based on expert opinion after clinical review and endoscopic, thoracic computed tomographic, and barium swallow examinations and was termed esophageal dysmotility (Table 2a). The baseline information for the patients who underwent traditional POEM is presented in Table 2b.

Procedure times
Total procedure time, tunneling time, and myotomy time
The mean total procedure time was defined as the time elapsed from intubation of the esophagus to removal of the scope from the patient’s mouth. The mean total procedure time (±SD) was 47 ± 8 minutes for modified POEM and 67 ± 13 minutes for traditional POEM (Table 3). Tunneling time was defined as the time elapsed from the initiation of tunneling to the completion of tunneling. Myotomy time was defined as the time elapsed from the initiation of myotomy to the completion of myotomy. The mean tunneling time (± SD) was 10 ± 4 minutes for modified POEM and 27 ± 7 minutes for traditional POEM. The mean myotomy time (±SD) was 16 ± 8 minutes for modified POEM and 8 ± 2 minutes for traditional POEM (Table 3).

Total time per centimeter of myotomy
The total time per centimeter of myotomy was calculated as mean procedure time (min)/mean length of myotomy (cm). The mean myotomy length (±SD) was 7.5 ± 0.8 cm for modified POEM and 6.8 ± 0.4 cm for traditional POEM (Table 3). When the time per unit length of myotomy was calculated, modified POEM required 6 min/cm and traditional POEM required 10 min/cm. This was calculated as follows: 47 min/7.5 cm = 6.3 min/cm (modified POEM); 67 min/6.8 cm = 9.9 min/cm (traditional POEM) (Table 3, Fig. 3a)

Submucosal time per centimeter of myotomy
Other factors, such as clearing the esophageal lumen, making the initial incision, and closing the incision, may affect the length of the procedure, and these factors are not associated with tunneling and myotomy. Therefore, we also calculated the submucosal time per centimeter of myotomy. The submucosal time (tunneling time plus myotomy time) was defined as time from the initiation of tunneling to the completion of myotomy. The mean tunneling time plus myotomy time (±SD) was 26 ± 8 min for modified POEM and 36 ± 8 min for traditional POEM (Table 3).
If we used the modified method in the patients who underwent traditional POEM, we first calculated the amount of propofol used during each minute of traditional POEM: 306mg/67min = 4.5mg/min. With use of the modified method, we could save 1.8 minutes for each centimeter of myotomy; therefore, we could save 12.24 minutes for each patient who underwent traditional POEM (1.8min/cm ×6.8 cm = 12.24 min). Then, we calculated the amount of medication that could be saved for each patient if we used the modified method in the patients who underwent traditional POEM (12.24min × 4.5 mg/min = 55.08 mg), with a saving of $330.48 in 6 patients (Table 5). Using the same method, we also calculated the savings for the other medications (Table 5).

### Table 4 Amounts of agents most commonly used for general anesthesia during the procedures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anesthetic agent</th>
<th>Modified approach</th>
<th>Traditional approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl, mean ± SD, µg</td>
<td>191.7 ± 66.5</td>
<td>237.5 ± 77.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propofol, mean ± SD, mg</td>
<td>255 ± 104.3</td>
<td>306.7 ± 152.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinylcholine, mean ± SD, mg</td>
<td>75 ± 62.5</td>
<td>90 ± 77.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eckardt score, mean ± SD</td>
<td>8.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>10.5 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5 Potential anesthesia savings with change from traditional to modified peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anesthetic agent</th>
<th>Average savings per dose with modified POEM</th>
<th>Total savings with six patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl, µg</td>
<td>$ 43.40</td>
<td>$ 260.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propofol, mg</td>
<td>$ 55.08</td>
<td>$ 330.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinylcholine, mg</td>
<td>$ 16.40</td>
<td>$ 98.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6 Manometric analysis of lower esophageal sphincter (LES) pressures before (n = 3) and after (n = 3) peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) for the two approaches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resting LES pressure</th>
<th>Modified POEM</th>
<th>Traditional POEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before POEM, mean ± SD, mmHg</td>
<td>34.2 ± 5.1</td>
<td>34.6 ± 16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After POEM, mean ± SD, mmHg</td>
<td>13.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>16.3 ± 9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

As in laparoscopic surgical myotomy, an endoscopic submucosal tunnel is created in POEM to allow dissection of the inner circular muscles [2]. Potential complications are likely to be minimized as the overall duration of the procedure, exposure to anesthesia, and time within the submucosal space are decreased. A large portion of the procedure is devoted to establishing the submucosal tunnel; therefore, techniques to improve the efficiency of this step have emerged [4,8,12,13]. The current case series demonstrates a new, inexpensive modification of the current POEM technique that improves efficiency and may be used as an adjunctive measure combined with other modifications [4,12] to expedite tunnel creation. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a series of patients undergoing modified POEM with concurrent tunneling and myotomy rather than traditional POEM with long tunneling before myotomy.

### Outcomes and complications

One adverse event occurred in the modified POEM group, in which a patient developed thyrotoxicosis due to undiagnosed primary hyperthyroidism. No adverse events were reported in the traditional POEM group. All patients in both the modified POEM group and the traditional POEM group reported significant clinical improvement based on their Eckardt scores at 1 and 3 months after endoscopic myotomy (Fig.4). There were 3 patients in the modified POEM group and 3 in the traditional POEM group who returned for manometric evaluation following myotomy (Table 6).
In the patients treated with modified POEM, the procedure was safe, and they experienced good relief from the symptoms of achalasia as evidenced by improvement in their Eckardt scores and manometric parameters. The modified approach consists of the initial creation of a short submucosal tunnel, followed by myotomy, before the completion of submucosal tunneling; the benefit of the modified technique is directly related to the length of the myotomy. We observed a decrease in the submucosal time with the modified approach, and decreasing the total procedure time as well as the submucosal time may have important implications in reducing such adverse events as mediastinitis, submucosal infection, and symptomatic pneumoperitoneum. Furthermore, a reduction in the total procedure time may reduce the duration of exposure to anesthesia, thereby decreasing the complications of sedation and achieving secondary cost savings.

The adjustment in technique did not alter the clinical effectiveness of the procedure at 3 months. Clinical improvement, as measured by the Eckardt score, was similar in the modified and traditional approaches at 1 month and was sustained at 3 months after the procedure. Concurrently, the LES pressure after myotomy was significantly lower than the resting LES pressure before myotomy in both groups. This finding confirms that the modification in technique preserves the fundamental goal of reducing the LES pressure and relieving the clinical symptoms of achalasia. A posterior approach was used, as is practiced at our institution. Many practices may use an anterior myotomy or a myotomy in other locations during POEM. The feasibility of applying this modification with other approaches is unknown. The strength of modified POEM is its simplicity. The modified approach requires no additional resources and can be used as an adjunct to other techniques that improve efficiency. The myotomy was of the circular muscle fibers, not a full-thickness myotomy. This may also affect the safety and feasibility of the modified approach. In this study, a triangle tip knife was used. This technique may be combined with other modifications (i.e., water jet–assisted dissecting knife or hybrid knife) to improve efficiency further [4, 6, 12]. There are limited data suggesting that the use of a hybrid knife in expert hands, with the capability of injection and dissection, can save time during POEM by decreasing the frequency of accessory exchange; this needs to be confirmed in further studies, which will provide more answers on the benefits of the hybrid knife with modified POEM. In addition, the time saved per centimeter of myotomy (1.8 minutes) has important implications for POEM. As the technique has been extended to other types of spastic motility disorders, the myotomy lengths have also increased. Therefore, the modified approach may assist with further reductions in total time and submucosal time.

Considered as a whole, this case series demonstrates that the creation of a short, partial tunnel, followed by concurrent myotomy and tunneling, significantly reduces total procedure time, total time per unit length of myotomy, and total time within the submucosal space. Liu et al. [8] briefly demonstrated the feasibility of the technique of simultaneous tunneling and myotomy in a video case report, but further study is required to evaluate the safety and efficacy of modified POEM. Our case series demonstrates not only its safety and feasibility but also improvements in short-term clinical outcomes. Randomized controlled studies in larger number of patients are also needed to demonstrate significant reductions in the administration of sedative medication and complications with the use of this modified approach to POEM, in addition to secondary cost savings.
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