Bdnf deletion or TrkB impairment in amygdala inhibits both appetitive and aversive learning
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Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is known to have an integral role in establishing stable memories after learning events. The neuroplasticity induced by Pavlovian fear conditioning has likewise been shown to rely on interactions between BDNF and its principal receptor, tyrosine kinase receptor B (TrkB), in the amygdala after training. Although the necessity of amygdala \textit{bdfn} expression and TrkB activation for associative learning within aversive contexts has been explored, it is unclear to what extent this interaction is involved in appetitive learning. It is also unclear whether the noted increases in amygdala BDNF after fear conditioning are due to local gene transcription and translation or anterograde transmission from cortical regions. To address both of these questions, we used two lentiviral approaches in mice, using both fear conditioning and cocaine-conditioned place preference (CPP), during acquisition and extinction. First, we decreased expression of \textit{bdfn} mRNA in the amygdala of homozygous floxed mice with a Cre-expressing virus. In a second set of studies, we infused a virus that expressed a dominant-negative TrkB isoform into the same region. These approaches significantly impaired consolidation of fear conditioning and cocaine-CPP, as well as extinction of CPP. Together, these data suggest that BDNF-TrkB signaling is critical for amygdala-dependent learning of both appetitive and aversive emotional memories.
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\section*{Introduction}

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) has a well-established role in synaptic plasticity within the context of long-term potentiation (LTP) in the adult CNS (Korte et al., 1995; Pang et al., 2004; Bramham and Messaoudi, 2005). In addition to its demonstrated role in hippocampal-dependent learning, interest in the influence of BDNF and its primary receptor, tyrosine kinase receptor B (TrkB), in amygdala-dependent learning has also grown rapidly in recent years. Cell bodies in the basolateral amygdala (BLA) strongly express \textit{bdfn} mRNA, and the TrkB receptor is expressed throughout the amygdala, including the BLA and the central nucleus (CeA) (Krause et al., 2008). The BLA is known to facilitate the acquisition and consolidation of appetitive and aversive learning events, and recent studies have shown that \textit{bdfn} mRNA is significantly upregulated in this region of the amygdala following Pavlovian fear conditioning (Rattiner et al., 2004; Ou and Gean, 2006).

The role of the BLA in forming associations between conditioned (CS) and unconditioned (US) stimuli has been extensively studied, and the neurocircuitry involved in amygdala-dependent learning is well defined (Davis, 1992; Fanselow and LeDoux, 1999). Amygdala LTP is induced by fear conditioning, and this plasticity supports the acquisition, consolidation, and expression of aversive associative memories (Rogan et al., 1997; Goosens and Maren, 2002). Furthermore, neural plasticity in the amygdala has been shown to be dependent on BDNF (Rattiner et al., 2005; Cowansage et al., 2010), and point mutations at the primary TrkB phosphorylation sites can alter acquisition and consolidation of fear learning as well as synaptic plasticity (Musumeci et al., 2009). Others have found that local infusion of a TrkB antagonist in the amygdala inhibits fear consolidation in rats (Rattiner et al., 2004) and that \textit{in vitro} application of either BDNF or 7,8-dihydroxyflavone, another TrkB agonist, lowers the threshold for LTP induction in the BLA (Li et al., 2011, Meis et al., 2012).

Despite ample evidence supporting the necessity of amygdala BDNF–TrkB interactions for the stable formation of fear memories, there is a notable paucity of data addressing the role of this local neurotrophic activity in other forms of amygdala-dependent learning. In addition to supporting stimulus-response learning in aversive contexts, the BLA is also integrally involved in appetitive learning (Everitt et al., 2003). For instance, pretraining excitotoxic lesions of the BLA impair the acquisition of cocaine-conditioned place preference (CPP), whereas post-training ablation at the same
site impairs extinction of the task (Fuchs et al., 2002); these data strongly support a regulatory function of the BLA in incentive-based memory formation. Other research has also implicated strongly support a regulatory function of the BLA in incentive-aversive and appetitive paradigms.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

All mice were housed in standard group cages and given ad libitum access to both food and water. Ambient temperature remained at 20°C throughout the experiment. Experiments were performed during the light portion of a 12 h light/dark cycle. All experiments were approved by Emory University Institutional Review Board following Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee standards with accordance to the Yerkes Primate Research Center regulations.

**LV-Cre.** Homozygous bdnf-floxed mice were originally obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (B6;129S4SvJae) and bred within our animal facility. These mice possessed loxP sites both upstream and downstream of exon 5 of the bdnf gene. This strain was originated and maintained on a mixed B6, 129S4, BALB/c background and did not display any gross physical or behavioral abnormalities. Experiments were conducted on male mice between 5 and 10 weeks of age.

**LV-TrkB.t1.** Adult (14–17 weeks old) male C57BL/6 mice weighing 20–30 g (The Jackson Laboratory) were used in these experiments. No important baseline differences were seen in the strains of mice C57BL/6 versus mixed strain used for the LV-TrkB.t1 versus LV-Cre lines, but for these reasons, littermates were always used as within experiment controls.

**Lentiviral vectors**

LV-GFP. Viral vectors were derived from the HIV-based lentivirus backbone pLV-CMV-GFP-U3Nhe, which allows for virally mediated expression of GFP driven by a CMV promoter. Infected neurons remain intact and express the fluorescent marker.

**LV-Cre.** We created a Cre-recombinase expressing viral vector (LV-Cre) by replacing the GFP coding sequence in pLV-CMV-GFP-U3Nhe with the coding sequence for Cre-recombinase. Viral production procedures were described in detail previously (Heldt et al., 2007).

**LV-TrkB.t1.** Dominant-negative TrkB.t1 is a truncated version of TrkB that binds BDNF but lacks a catalytic, cytoplasmic kinase domain (Haapasalo et al., 2002). Lentiviral TrkB.t1 transfections inhibit BDNF signaling in vivo (Rattiner et al., 2004) and in vitro (Li et al., 1998; Haapasalo et al., 2002; Offenhauser et al., 2002). The virus was tagged with HA, making it possible to confirm infusion sites.

**Surgery**

Mice received bilateral amygdala microinjections of LV-Cre, LV-TrkB.t1, or LV-GFP. Mice were anesthetized with a Ketaset (80 mg/kg)/Dormitor (1 mg/kg) solution and then mounted in a stereotactic apparatus. Small holes were drilled in the skull above the injection sites, and a Hamilton microsyringe was lowered to the following coordinates from bregma: anteroposterior = −1.4, mediolateral = ±3.1, dorsoventral = −5.0. A total volume of 1.5 μl was administered at a rate of 0.1 μl/min (0.2 μl/min for LV-TrkB.t1). The needle remained in place for 10 min after the injection and was removed at a rate of 0.5 mm/min. The subject’s skin was then sewn together using 1.5 metric polyglactin absorbable sutures. After recovery from anesthesia, mice were given a narcotic analgesic, returned to home cages, and monitored daily for 14 d before testing.

**Histology**

LV-Cre. Mice were deeply anesthetized, and their brains were rapidly removed and frozen on dry ice. Coronal sections (20 μm) were cut on a cryostat, mounted on gelatin-coated slides, and stored at −80°C until processed. In situ hybridization was performed to examine the expression of mRNA as previously described (Ressler et al., 2002).

LV-TrkB.t1. After ketamine overdose, animals were perfused intracardially using 4% paraformaldehyde in 1 × PBS; 55 μm sections were taken on a microtome, and sectioned tissue was blocked with 2% normal goat serum and 1% BSA in 1 × PBS containing 0.4% Triton X-100 and incubated in a 1:1000 dilution of HA rabbit polyclonal antibody.
Preparation of the brain for in situ hybridization studies showed at two different anterior–posterior locations. Data are mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05. Figure 2. Knockdown of amygdala Bdnf is not associated with general anxiety-like effects. Relative bdnf mRNA expression in amygdala (A) and CA3 region of dorsal hippocampus (B) of mice injected with LV-Cre (Cre) or LV-GFP (GFP) in the amygdala. Bdnf mRNA expression was significantly reduced specifically at the site of infusion (t_{26} = 2.6, p = 0.016) but was not affected in the noninfused control region (p > 0.1). C. LV-Cre and control LV-GFP mice displayed similar levels of baseline anxiety-like behavior in the elevated plus maze and did not vary in percentage time spent in the open arms (p > 0.1) or the percentage of entries into open arms (p > 0.1). D. Both LV-Cre and control LV-GFP mice displayed similar levels of motor activity as assessed by total ambulatory distance during EPM testing (p > 0.1). E. Masks outlining the hippocampal and amygdala regions of interest used for quantitative analyses of in situ hybridization studies shown at two different anterior–posterior locations. Data are mean ± SEM. 

Results

Complementary site-specific Bdnf deletion and TrkB inhibition with lentiviral vector approaches

Although we have previously used the inducible knock-out technique with Cre-expressing lentivirus in several other brain regions (Heldt et al., 2007; Choi et al., 2010), we had not examined the effect of bdnf gene deletion within the amygdala. In these studies, we bilaterally infected the amygdala of homozygous floxed mice with lentivirus expressing Cre recombinase. We then performed behavioral studies followed by in situ hybridization to confirm amygdala-specific deletion. We found significant depletion of bdnf mRNA in the BLA of mice infected with the LV-Cre virus compared with LV-GFP-infected controls (Fig. 1A–C). The regions used for in situ analysis are depicted in Figure 1G. Figure 2A, B illustrates quantitative knockdown of bdnf mRNA in amygdala (t_{26} = 2.6, p = 0.016), but not hippocampus.

We have previously shown that our dominant-negative TrkB virus with a truncated cytoplasmic tail (LV-TrkB.11) impairs fear
conditioning and extinction in the rat but that it has no effect on basal measures of anxiety-like behavior (Rattiner et al., 2004; Chhatwal et al., 2006). In the current studies, we verified that we could similarly infect the amygdala with LV-GFP or LV-TrkB.t1 in mice (Fig. 1D–F). To determine whether amygdala-specific bdnf deletion affects baseline anxiety, we infected bdnf-floxed mice bilaterally with LV-Cre or LV-GFP and examined their behavior on the elevated plus maze. We found no differences in time on open arms, percentage of open arm entries, or total distance traveled between these groups (Figs. 2C,D). Notably, we have previously shown that infection with LV-Cre has no behavioral effects on wild-type mice, indicating that our results are not the result of nonspecific effects of the virus (Heldt and Ressler, 2010). These data demonstrate that our inducible lentiviral vector approaches to either knock down bdnf gene expression or to impair TrkB functioning with a dominant-negative isoform are region-specific and do not affect locomotor behavior or baseline anxiety-like behaviors.

Bdnf knockdown in the amygdala impairs FPS

We next examined whether bdnf knockdown in the amygdala would result in impaired fear conditioning in the same manner as inhibition of amygdala TrkB function (Rattiner et al., 2004). After bilateral amygdala infection, animals were subjected to 10 light-shock pairings. The next 2 d, they were tested for FPS. We found that bdnf-floxed mice infected with LV-GFP demonstrated significant post-training FPS (Fig. 3A; repeated-measures ANOVA, comparing pre, post1, and post2 percentage FPS within the GFP group, F(2,25) = 5.28, p = 0.01), whereas the mice infected with LV-Cre, with bilateral amygdala bdnf deletion, did not (repeated-measures ANOVA as above, F(2,25) = 2.15, p > 0.1). Figure 3B demonstrates the extent of LV-Cre infusion in these animals. These data suggest that BDNF must be produced within the amygdala in order for fear memories to be established.

Bdnf knockdown and dominant-negative impairment of TrkB in the amygdala delay place preference and impair extinction

In addition to the contribution of amygdala BDNF to fear acquisition and consolidation, we also examined the effect of these manipulations on appetitive learning using CPP. We found that mice with Cre-mediated knockdown of bdnf in the amygdala failed to show significant preference for the cocaine-paired chamber over the saline-paired chamber, whereas LV-GFP-infected controls formed a robust preference, spending significantly more time in the cocaine-paired chamber (Fig. 4A, B). A mixed-model repeated-measures ANOVA was performed, examining a drug (cocaine vs saline chamber) × group (Cre vs GFP) interaction. This was significant for the interaction effect (F(1,27) = 4.1, p = 0.05). Additionally, when we examined the groups separately, we found no significant difference in the Cre group but a significant effect of preference for the cocaine chamber in the GFP group (F(1,14) = 17.0, p = 0.001).

Similarly, mice that received bilateral infusions of the dominant-negative TrkB.t1 virus in the amygdala did not demonstrate preference for the cocaine-paired chamber (Fig. 4C,D). A mixed-model repeated-measures ANOVA was performed, examining a drug (cocaine vs saline chamber) × group (LV-TrkB.t1 vs LV-GFP) interaction. We found a trend toward a significant interaction (F(1,39) = 1.99, one-tailed test, p = 0.08). Post hoc analyses revealed that the LV-GFP animals successfully acquired CPP (t(13) = 2.63, p = 0.02), whereas the LV-TrkB.t1 animals did not (t(16) = 0.96, p = 0.35). Together, these data suggest an influential role for amygdalar BDNF–TrkB interactions in the acquisition of cocaine-CPP.

Finally, these experiments were repeated in an entirely separate cohort of mice to further examine the effect of blocking
TrkB in amygdala on CPP extinction (Fig. 4E,F). A mixed-model repeated-measures ANOVA was performed, examining a drug (cocaine vs saline chamber) × group (LV-TrkB.t1 vs LV-GFP) × test (post1 vs post2) interaction. We found a significant chamber × group × test interaction effect ($F_{1,118} = 3.36$, one-tailed test, $p < 0.05$). Post hoc analyses revealed that, during Test 1, both TrkB.t1 and GFP groups demonstrated a significant place preference. However, after extinction of CPP associated with this first test, the GFP group no longer showed a place preference ($t_{9} = 1.07, p = 0.3$), whereas the TrkB.t1 group continued to show a difference ($t_{9} = 2.54, p < 0.01$), suggesting that appetitive extinction was impaired with this amygdala-TrkB.t1 manipulation.

**Discussion**

Synaptic plasticity in the amygdala plays a significant role in encoding representations of both appetitive and aversive learning events. We have demonstrated that either knocking down the expression of bdnf mRNA or sequestering BDNF with an inactive isoform of its principal TrkB receptor significantly impairs the consolidation of both appetive and appetitive conditioning. Bdnf-floxed mice that received bilateral infusions of LV-Cre into the amygdala failed to show fear-potentiated startle to a conditioned stimulus and did not exhibit significant preference for a cocaine-paired chamber over a saline-paired chamber. Likewise, bilateral infusion of a virus expressing the dominant-negative TrkB isoform, TrkB.t1, produced the same deficits in both tasks. Additionally, to complement prior work showing that LV-TrkB.t1 within amygdala impairs extinction of conditioned fear (Chhatwal et al., 2006), we also now show that the same manipulation impairs extinction of the appetitive CPP task. These data suggest that amygdala BDNF is critical for appetitive and appetitive learning and that activation of amygdala TrkB receptors similarly enables acquisition and/or consolidation of emotionally salient events.

The promotion of synaptic plasticity (Rattiner et al., 2005; Chhatwal et al., 2006; Musumeci et al., 2009; Cowansage et al., 2010) and LTP (Li et al., 2011) in the amygdala via interaction between BDNF and the TrkB receptor has been shown to facilitate encoding of the CS-US representation during fear conditioning and/or enable effective consolidation of the event. When expression or function of either BDNF or its receptor is impeded in the amygdala, behavioral expression of a fear response to the CS in subsequent tests is significantly blunted, which indicates that training of the CS-US contingency was ineffectual. Inhibition of receptor function alone would not exclude the possibility that plasticity was induced principally by prefrontal cortical release of BDNF during acquisition or consolidation. However, when considered alongside the results from local knockdown of the bdnf gene, these data suggest that production of BDNF within the amygdala is also necessary for a lasting memory of the association.

In the current experiments, the effects of TrkB inhibition are specific to the infected region, knockdown of bdnf in the amygdala potentially affects multiple behaviorally relevant postsynaptic target sites that receive BDNF via transmission from the BLA.
Although our infusions spanned multiple amygdalar nuclei, bdnf mRNA is absent from the CeA and expression is most prominent in the BLA (Krause et al., 2008). Some, but not all, of our infusions extended beyond the amygdala to surrounding cortical areas that are positive for bdnf mRNA (e.g., endopiriform nuclei and, less frequently, the piriform cortex), but injection was not strong in these areas and did not seem to affect behavioral outcomes. We therefore suggest that knockdown of bdnf in the BLA, rather than other amygdalar nuclei or surrounding cortical areas, most likely generated behavioral differences. In contrast, TrkB is present throughout the amygdala and is also known to be expressed in glia (Kumar et al., 1993; Zhou et al., 1993); therefore, we cannot state, based on our data, which region of the amygdala is most reliant on TrkB activation for associative learning or whether expression of the virus in white matter tracts like the external capsule contributed to the behavioral differences.

Despite the opposing valences of fear- and incentive-based learning, there are clear advantages to studying the two motivations in concert. The lateral and basolateral nuclei of the amygdala have long been acknowledged as primary sites of associative learning in fear conditioning, but it is also widely understood that the amygdala codifies information about positively as well as negatively valenced stimuli. What is ostensibly an evolutionary advantage (having one structure that encodes a variety of emotionally disparate events) also potentially represents a risk for developing comorbid pathologies. For instance, in humans, the val66met Bdnf gene variant has been associated with increased risk for substance abuse (Cheng et al., 2005) as well as impaired fear extinction (Freielsdorfg et al., 2010; Lonsdorf et al., 2010; Soliman et al., 2010). This same variant has been shown to affect amygdalar activity during emotional memory formation (van Wingen et al., 2010, Soliman et al., 2010) and can also confer a risk for developing disorders that are based upon opposite motivations (i.e., addiction and post-traumatic stress disorder). There are many shared components in the neurocircuity underlying aversive and appetitive learning, and exploring the extent to which these pathways overlap will permit a more comprehensive approach to studying healthy and maladaptive consolidation processes that are used in forming memories of emotionally relevant events.
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