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Abstract The classical functions of bile acids include acting as detergents to facilitate the digestion and absorption of nutrients in the gut. In addition, bile acids also act as signaling molecules to regulate glucose homeostasis, lipid metabolism and energy expenditure. The signaling potential of bile acids in compartments such as the systemic circulation is regulated in part by an efficient enterohepatic circulation that functions to conserve and channel the pool of bile acids within the intestinal and hepatobiliary compartments. Changes in hepatobiliary and intestinal bile acid transport can alter the composition, size, and distribution of the bile acid pool. These alterations in turn can have significant effects on bile acid signaling and their downstream metabolic targets. This review discusses recent advances in our understanding of the inter-relationship between the enterohepatic cycling of bile acids and the metabolic consequences of signaling via bile acid-activated receptors, such as farnesoid X nuclear receptor (FXR) and the G-protein-coupled bile acid receptor (TGR5).
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1. Introduction

Research over the past 80 years has yielded considerable insight into the role of bile acids in intestinal fat absorption, hepatic bile formation, and cholesterol homestasis. However more recently, it has become apparent that bile acids also serve as signaling molecules with metabolic effects that extend beyond their control of hepatobiliary and intestinal function. This has generated considerable renewed interest in bile acids and their metabolism. Bile acids are steroid acids synthesized from cholesterol in the liver. Following their synthesis, bile acids are secreted along with other biliary constituents into the small intestine. After functioning in the proximal intestine to promote nutrient digestion and absorption, bile acids travel down the length of the small intestine to the terminal ileum for absorption. The bile acids are then carried in the portal circulation back to the liver for uptake and re-secretion into bile. The process of intestinal absorption is very efficient and about 95% of the bile acids secreted into the small intestine are reclaimed. Those bile acids that escape absorption pass into the colon and can be eliminated in the feces. Specialized membrane transporters expressed on the apical and basolateral membranes of the hepatocyte and ileal enterocyte mediate the movement of charged plasma membrane-impovernt bile acids molecules across those cell barriers. For hepatocytes, the major transporters are the Na\(^+\)-taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (NTCP; SLC10A1) and members of the organic anion transporting polypeptide (OATP) family (OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 in humans) on the sinusoidal membrane and the bile salt export pump (BSEP; ABCB11) on the canalicular membrane. For the ileal enterocyte, the major transporters are the apical sodium dependent bile acid transporter (ASBT; SLC10A2) on the brush border membrane and the heteromeric organic solute transporter alpha-beta (OST\(\alpha\)-OST\(\beta\); SLC5A1, SLC5A1B) on the basolateral membrane. In this paradigm, the ASBT and OST\(\alpha\)-OST\(\beta\) function as major gatekeepers for the intestinal compartment of the enterohepatic circulation of bile acids. However, in addition to being important for determining the fate of bile acids, i.e., their absorption versus their excretion in the feces, bile acid transport by the ileal enterocyte is important for gut-liver signaling and regulation of bile acid synthesis. During transit through the ileal enterocyte, bile acids activate the nuclear receptor farnesoid X nuclear receptor (FXR), and increase transcription of the polypeptide hormone, fibroblast growth factor-19 (mouse ortholog, FGF15). FGF15/19 is then released from the intestine and travels to the liver where it signals through its cell surface receptor, a complex of the fibroblast growth factor receptor-4 (FGFR4) and its protein co-receptor β-Klotho, to repress transcription of the microsomal cytochrome P450 gene cholesterol 7α-hydroxylase (Cyp7a1) and inhibit hepatic bile acid synthesis. Although a major function of the FXR-FGF15/19 pathway is to control hepatic bile acid synthesis and prevent bile acid accumulation, there is also evidence that this pathway can impact lipid, carbohydrate, and energy metabolism. Bile acids are being viewed increasingly as metabolic regulators, and this has opened the door to targeting bile acid-related pathways as potential therapies for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and other metabolic disorders. This review focuses on the crosstalk between the enterohepatic cycling of bile acids and the metabolic consequences of signaling via bile acid-activated receptors such as FXR and TGR5 (the G-protein-coupled bile acid receptor) (Fig. 1).

![Figure 1 Bile acid (BA) mediated activation of FXR and TGR5 pathways in the enterohepatic circulation and systemic tissues. In the hepatocyte, bile acid activation of FXR increased SHP expression, which can decrease expression of SREBP1c and lipogenesis. Hepatic SHP activation can also lead to decreased expression of G6Pase and PEPCK, and reduced gluconeogenesis. FXR regulation of lipid metabolism and transport may involve decreasing the expression of fatty acid synthase (FAS) and apolipoproteins such as ApoA1, and inducting PPARz. FXR also controls bile acid transport by titrating the expression of NTCP (import) and BSEP (export) in the hepatocyte, and ASBT, OST\(\alpha\)-OST\(\beta\), and IBABP in ileal enterocytes. FXR stimulation in the intestine increases the production of FGF15/19, which can have systemic effects on acetyl-CoA carboxylase 2 (ACC2), SREBP1c and PPAR expression in white adipose. TGR5 stimulation in the brown adipose (and skeletal muscle, not pictured) can stimulate deiodinase (DIO2) expression, which leads to increased energy expenditure and metabolic rate. TGR5 activation in the colon (not shown) can also increase release of glucagon-like polypeptide-1 (GLP-1), leading to improved glucose disposition and increased insulin sensitivity.](image-url)
peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1 alpha (PGC1α)18. In humans, FXR can induce expression of the nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARα), a master regulator of fatty acid metabolism19. In this way, activation of FXR could lead to increased lipolysis, increased fatty acid oxidation, and decreased lipogenesis. FXR’s list of actions also encompasses effects on lipoprotein metabolism20. For example, studies have shown that FXR can affect plasma lipid transport by decreasing expression of the apolipoproteins (Apo) as ApoAI and ApoCII, and increasing ApoCIII; FXR also induces expression of the very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) receptor to contribute to lipoprotein clearance21-23.

In addition to its role in lipid metabolism, FXR may regulate glucose metabolism20,27. FXR induction of SHP expression can decrease expression of hepatocyte nuclear factor-4 alpha (HNF4α) targets such as the gluconeogenic genes glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase) and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK)28. This may be accomplished in part by FXR modulation of hepatic PGC1α to repress expression of these gluconeogenic genes29. Activation of FXR also stimulates expression of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase, which may further suppress glycolysis and enhance fatty acid oxidation30. Finally, activation of Akt and effects on insulin secretion and signaling by FXR suggest that multiple pathways may be mediating its effects on glucose metabolism31.

2.2. Effects of intestinal FXR on metabolism

FXR activation in the intestine, specifically the ileum, has a major role in bile acid homeostasis. FXR regulates expression of the ileal bile acid transporters, ASBT, OSTα-OSTβ and the cytosolic ileal bile acid binding protein IBABP (FABP6)3. However in addition to control of bile acid flux and systemic exposure to bile acids, intestinally expressed FXR may affect metabolism via regulation of FGF15/19 production. Evidence indicates that the actions of FGF15/19 extend beyond its effects on bile acid metabolism, and include regulation of lipid and glucose metabolism11. For example, transgenic overexpression of FGF19 or treatment with recombinant FGF19 reduces adiposity and increases metabolic rate and levels of the satiety hormone leptin32. FGF19 has been shown to inhibit hepatic fatty acid synthesis by decreasing the expression of SREBP1c through indirect mechanisms33. It is also important to note that FGF19 can signal through FGF receptors in addition to FGFR434-36. Since many of these studies rely on use of exogenous recombinant FGF19, the question of whether these effects are physiological or pharmacological has been raised. However, there is very strong genetic evidence from mouse models supporting endogenous FGF15’s ability to elicit similar metabolic effects37-39. These studies suggest that FGF15 acts in parallel with insulin to maintain normal glycogen levels by using an alternate Ras-ERK-p90RSK pathway37. In addition, FGF15/19 negatively regulates PGC1α and suppresses hepatic gluconeogenesis40. It has also been suggested that FGF15 may affect expression of forkhead box protein O1 (FOXO1), a regulator of gluconeogenesis41. Interestingly, Fgfr4 deficiency or administration of Fgfr4 antisense oligonucleotides improves hyperlipidemia, adiposity, and insulin resistance characteristic of fatty liver and diet-induced obesity, further supporting the hypothesis that FGF receptors in addition to FGF4 are involved in the metabolic effects of FGF15/1942-44.

2.3. Effects of TGR5 on metabolism

TGR5 was identified as a bile acid-activated G-protein coupled receptor in 200345. With the growing appreciation of bile acids as signaling molecules, considerable study is being directed towards understanding the physiological functions of TGR546. For example, bile acid activation of TGR5 can regulate gallbladder filling, intestinal motility, and may have a role in bile acid-induced itch and the analgesia associated with cholestatic liver disease47-49. There are also metabolic effects associated with TGR5 signaling in brown adipose, muscle, and macrophages50. As with FXR, there is increasing interest in TGR5 as a potential therapeutic target for a variety of metabolic diseases12,13,47. For example, administration of the TGR5-selective synthetic agonist (INT-777) to mice attenuated diet-induced obesity and improved glucose tolerance51. The metabolic benefits may be due in part to increased metabolic rate and energy expenditure, secondary to TGR5-mediated increases in expression of deiodinase 2 (DIO2) and increased production of thyroid hormone (thyroxine, T4)49,50. These metabolic effects may also be mediated through bile acid activation of TGR5 on enteroendocrine L-cell in the distal small intestine and colon. In that mechanism, TGR5 signals to increase production and release of GLP-1, the incretin hormone that promotes insulin sensitivity, and thereby improves glucose disposition51,52. Therapies targeting GLP-1 are currently used to treat diabetes, and strategies that augment GLP-1 production, half-life, or activity may have benefit in other disorders such as hepatic steatosis and cardiac hypertrophy53. Finally, it should be noted that bile acids signal through other receptors and pathways in addition to FXR and TGR5, and additional research is needed to understand their contribution to the metabolic effects of bile acids3,3,43,54.

3. Metabolic effects associated with altered intestinal absorption of bile acids

3.1. Bile acid sequestrants and bile acid transporter inhibitors

Emerging research examining the effects bile acid sequestrants (bile acid binding resins) suggests a metabolic benefit associated with blocking intestinal absorption of bile acid beyond its well-characterized plasma cholesterol-lowering actions55. Bile acid sequestrants were originally used to treat hypercholesterolemia and bile acid malabsorption in the 1960s56,57. Disruption of the enterohepatic circulation of bile acids by blocking their intestinal absorption stimulates hepatic de novo bile acid synthesis from cholesterol. The hepatic demand for cholesterol is met by increasing hepatic cholesterol synthesis and plasma clearance of lipoproteins such as low density lipoprotein (LDL)58. Although not widely used to treat hypercholesterolemia after introduction of the HMG CoA reductase inhibitors (statins), bile acid sequestrants operating through this mechanism had shown benefit with regard to lowering plasma cholesterol levels and reducing cardiovascular disease in studies such as the Lipid Research Clinics Coronary Primary Prevention Trial59. However, in addition to their plasma cholesterol lowering properties, there is evidence that bile acid sequestrants can improve glycemic control, and the underlying mechanisms of action are being explored60-64. Various mechanisms have been thus far been implicated. Decreasing bile acid enterohepatic cycling will reduce the pool of bile acids available for micellar solubilization of lipids in the intestinal lumen, and is predicted to reduce lipid absorption in the proximal small intestine.
and Ost impair intestinal bile acid absorption, characterization of the proposed that the increased synthesis of bile acids in mouse models treated with a bile acid sequestrant, it has been shown that the apical uptake of bile acid into the ileal enterocyte, bile acid sequestrants block activation of the FXR-FGF15/19 pathway. This will increase hepatic CYP7A1 expression and bile acid synthesis, altering the composition of the bile acid pool. In mouse models treated with a bile acid sequestrant, it has been proposed that the increased synthesis of bile acids via the CYP7A1 pathway increases entry of natural TGR5 agonists (such as cholic acid and chenodeoxycholic acid derivatives) into the systemic circulation. This leads to increased energy expenditure in muscle and brown adipose tissue. Blocking intestinal absorption also increases the flux of bile acids into the colon and can increase the TGR5-mediated release of GLP-1, which would act to promote insulin sensitivity. Not surprising, administration of a small molecule inhibitor of the ASBT has effects similar to those described for treatment with bile acid sequestrants or ASBT inhibitors. Inactivation of the ASBT increases hepatic CYP7A1 expression and a decrease in bile acid synthesis.

Indeed, treatment with a bile acid sequestrant has been shown to increase the incorporation of sterols and fatty acids into bile and feces, and alter lipid metabolism through excretion. In addition, similar to the Asbt null model, there is a decrease in intestinal lipid absorption due to a reduction in the bile acid pool size. However, the potential for TGR5 activation is predicted to be less in the Ost null mice. Candidate mechanisms and predicted metabolic consequences of blocking ileal apical versus basolateral bile acid transport are summarized in Fig. 2.
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