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Autoantibodies to common autoantigens and neoantigens, such as IgG Fc and citrullinated peptides, are immunological hallmarks of rheumatoid arthritis (RA). We examined whether a failure in maintaining tolerance is mediated by defects in T-cell receptor activation threshold settings. RA T cells responded to stimulation with significantly higher ERK phosphorylation (P < 0.001). Gene expression arrays of ERK pathway members suggested a higher expression of KRA5 and BRAF, which was confirmed by quantitative PCR (P = 0.003), Western blot, and flow cytometry (P < 0.01). Partial silencing of KRA5 and BRAF lowered activation-induced phosphorylated ERK levels (P < 0.01). In individual cells, levels of these signaling molecules correlated with ERK phosphorylation, attesting that their concentrations are functionally important. In confocal studies, B-RAF/K-RAS clustering was increased in RA T cells 2 min after T-cell receptor stimulation (P < 0.001). Overexpression of B-RAF and K-RAS in normal CD4 T cells amplified polyclonal T-cell proliferation and facilitated responses to citrullinated peptides. We propose that increased expression of B-RAF and K-RAS lowers T-cell activation thresholds in RA T cells, enabling responses to autoantigens.

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic inflammatory disease that affects individuals in the prime of life and follows a slowly progressive destructive course. The most visible target of the inflammatory process is the synovial membrane; joint pain and swelling, and eventually irreversible damage to cartilage, tendons, and bones dominate the clinical presentation. Disease-related pathologic processes are not limited to synovioctyes, tissue-infiltrating immune cells, and other cell constituents of the arthritic joint, but involve the global immune system. The inflammatory process characteristic of RA affects nonarticular tissues, causing a wide spectrum of disease (1–3). The systemic nature of the disease is reflected in deregulated immune responses. For decades, autoantibodies to IgG Fc have been excellent diagnostic tools for RA. In the past decade, an immune response to citrullinated self-peptides was found to be characteristic for RA (4). Although the roles of these autoimmune responses in the disease process are underdetermined, the nature of the autoantibodies suggests that RA patients have a peripheral tolerance defect, in particular to neoantigens created by post-translational protein modifications that accumulate throughout life (5, 6). Such a generalized defect may also explain the therapeutic benefit of CTLA-4Ig treatment (7, 8). CTLA-4Ig blocks the CD80/CD86 receptor–ligand interaction and therefore inhibits costimulatory signals that are particularly important for primary T-cell responses and, to some extent, the reactivation of central memory T cells, whereas it does not influence effector T cells that have fewer costimulatory requirements or use alternate pathways (9, 10).

The notion of a T-cell tolerance defect in RA pathogenesis is supported by genetic studies. RA is a polygenic disease. The strongest genetic risk factor is the shared epitope encoded by HLA-DRB1 alleles, followed by a missense mutation of PTPN22 at position 620 from Arg to Trp (11). PTPN22 is a lymphocyte-specific tyrosine phosphatase that is involved in the regulation of the signaling cascade after T-cell receptor (TCR) stimulation. Based on the substitution conferring increased phosphatase activity, it was originally considered a gain of function (12). Recent findings, however, have shown that the variant is rapidly degraded, causing T-cell hyperresponsiveness (13). The findings of disease-associated HLA-DRB1 alleles and PTPN22 polymorphisms indicate that T-cell recognition events and TCR threshold calibration are central to RA pathogenesis (13, 14). If this hypothesis is correct, the majority of patients with RA should have TCR signal processing abnormalities that have been acquired, because the PTPN22 polymorphism is present in fewer than one quarter of patients with RA. Such signaling rewiring may develop over time and may be involved in early pathogenetic events and precede clinical disease onset; indeed, age is one of the strongest risk factors for RA, and the T-cell system in patients with RA has many signatures that can be explained as aging-related adaptations (15).

To examine this hypothesis, we compared signaling potential after TCR stimulation in patients with RA and age-matched healthy subjects and found a hyperactive RAS/RAF–MEK–ERK module. The underlying abnormality is an overexpression of B-RAF and/or K-RAS. Expression of these signaling molecules at increased levels overcomes nonresponsiveness to self-antigens. We propose that this mechanism is causing tolerance defects in RA.

Results

Molecular Mechanisms of Increased ERK Activation in RA T Cells. T cells from patients with RA respond to stimulation with elevated ERK phosphorylation compared with healthy controls (16). PhosFlow studies in a cohort of 65 patients with RA and 54 healthy control subjects documented a significantly higher basal ERK phosphorylation in RA CD4 and CD8 T cells (P < 0.0001), whereas no difference was seen for total ERK (Table 1). After CD3/CD28 cross-linking, the differences in phosphorylated ERK (pERK) between RA and control T cells widened; elevated ERK phosphorylation was seen for RA CD4 and CD8 T cells at all time points examined (P < 0.0001). CD3 expression was used as a system control to exclude cytometry artifacts or higher expression of the CD3/TCR complex; no differences were seen.

We explored the hypothesis that altered transcription of one or more constituents of the ERK pathway explains the increased responsiveness of RA T cells to stimulation. Our previous studies
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suggested that proximal TCR signaling is not different between patients with RA and control subjects, and that increased ERK phosphorylation in RA T cells is caused at, or distal of, RAS/RAF activation. We used a gene expression array (MAP Kinase Signaling Pathway PCR Array; SABiosciences) to screen for abnormal transcription of ERK pathway constituents in RA T cells. Transcripts with an increase or decrease of more than 1.5-fold were considered candidate genes. The array also included ERK target genes, many of which were found to be overexpressed, consistent with the observed increased phosphorylation of ERK at baseline (Table 2). Of the signaling members of the ERK pathway present in the array, KRAS, BRAF, and MEK1 were transcribed at higher levels (1.73-fold, 2.05-fold, and 1.55-fold, respectively) in RA compared with control T cells (Table 2), whereas no difference was seen for the majority of the ERK pathway components. The array did not include several important components of the ERK pathway that were therefore quantified by quantitative PCR (qPCR). Initial RAS-RAF association after TCR stimulation is controlled by RasGRP1 and SOS-1. The qPCR studies showed a slightly higher SOS1 level in RA patients (P = 0.04) and no significant difference for RasGRP1 (Fig. S1 A and B). DUSP5 and DUSP6 are two phosphatases that are expressed in nonactivated T cells and that dephosphorylate pERK (17). No difference in their transcription was seen (Fig. S1 C and D).

We focused on those two molecules that were most convincingly overexpressed in the screening assays, B-RAF and K-RAS. Overexpression was confirmed by qPCR at the transcriptional level and by Western blot and flow cytometry at the protein level (Fig. 1). KRAS (P = 0.003) and BRAF transcripts (P = 0.003) were significantly increased in RA T cells. In the flow cytometric studies, N-RAS and C-RAF, not differentially expressed in the array, were stained as controls. RA T cells expressed significantly higher levels of K-RAS (P < 0.001) and B-RAF (P = 0.006 for CD4, P < 0.001 for CD8 T cells) while having similar levels of N-RAS and C-RAF.

Table 1. Increased responsiveness of the ERK pathway in RA T cells compared with healthy control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD3</td>
<td>24,311 (5,380)</td>
<td>23,204 (5,670)</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>19,349 (3651)</td>
<td>17,379 (3,850)</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ERK</td>
<td>2,433 (1,211)</td>
<td>2,436 (1,100)</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>2,497 (1,100)</td>
<td>2,656 (1,031)</td>
<td>0.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-ERK at 0 min</td>
<td>403 (71)</td>
<td>504 (92)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>475 (88)</td>
<td>644 (152)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-ERK at 5 min</td>
<td>1,114 (263)</td>
<td>1,501 (447)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1,751 (446)</td>
<td>2,512 (923)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-ERK at 10 min</td>
<td>813 (177)</td>
<td>1,021 (222)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1,236 (327)</td>
<td>1,947 (586)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-ERK at 30 min</td>
<td>504 (90)</td>
<td>632 (109)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>798 (177)</td>
<td>1,168 (394)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Expression of ERK-dependent and ERK pathway genes in T cells from RA patients and healthy controls (HC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>RA/control, fold difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERK-dependent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNA1</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNA2</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNB1</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNB2</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDK6</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDKN1C</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDKN2D</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETS2</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOS</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF2C</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAD4</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERK pathway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBR2</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRAS</td>
<td>−1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRAS</td>
<td>−1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSR1</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARAF</td>
<td>−1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRAF</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK1</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK2</td>
<td>−1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERK1</td>
<td>−1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERK2</td>
<td>−1.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elevated B-RAF and K-RAS Expression Does Not Correlate with Functional T-Cell Subsets. Data so far were derived from total CD4 and CD8 T-cell populations. To determine whether the up-regulation of KRAS and BRAF transcription reflects the expansion or activation of a T-cell subset, we compared K-RAS and B-RAF expression in naïve CD45RA+CD28+ and CD45RA−CD45RO+ memory CD4 and CD8 T cells of eight or nine patients with RA and seven healthy control subjects by flow cytometry. Representative histograms of CD4 T cells from a healthy individual and a patient with RA are shown in Fig. 2A; results are summarized in Fig. 2B. No subset-specific differences in expression levels were seen in T cells from patients with RA or from healthy controls. B-RAF expression was equal in naïve and memory CD4 T cells; K-RAS expression may even be slightly lower in memory CD4 T cells. Based on the cytometric analysis, the observed increased gene expression in patients with RA was not caused by a T effector cell population that may have been activated and expanded as part of the disease process. These data are consistent with our finding that increased ERK responsiveness in RA is seen in naïve, memory, and effector T cells (16). This interpretation was supported by Western blot analysis. CD45RA−CD45RO−CD28− and CD45RA−CD45RO+ memory CD4 and CD8 T cells of eight or nine patients with RA and seven healthy control subjects by flow cytometry. Representative histograms of CD4 T cells from a healthy individual and a patient with RA are shown in Fig. 2A; results are summarized in Fig. 2B. No subset-specific differences in expression levels were seen in T cells from patients with RA or from healthy controls. B-RAF expression was equal in naïve and memory CD4 T cells; K-RAS expression may even be slightly lower in memory CD4 T cells. Based on the cytometric analysis, the observed increased gene expression in patients with RA was not caused by a T effector cell population that may have been activated and expanded as part of the disease process. These data are consistent with our finding that increased ERK responsiveness in RA is seen in naïve, memory, and effector T cells (16). This interpretation was supported by Western blot analysis. CD45RA−CD45RO−CD28− and CD45RA−CD45RO+ memory CD4 and CD8 T cells of eight or nine patients with RA and seven healthy control subjects by flow cytometry.
overproduction of TNF-α accounts in part for the reduced CD28 expression in RA, supporting the notion that T cells are a target cell for TNF-α in RA (18). Incubation of T cells from healthy individuals with TNF-α or IL-1β did not sensitize T cells to respond to TCR stimulation with increased ERK phosphorylation (Fig. S2A), consistent with published reports that TNF-α impairs TCR-induced signaling (19). In contrast, IL-15 preincubation had such an effect, but this effect was not mediated by increased B-RAF or K-RAS (Fig. S2B).

**K-RAS and B-RAF Concentrations in RA T Cells Influence ERK Activation.** Depending on cell type and subcellular context, the formation of different RAS/RAF complexes involving A-RAF, B-RAF, C-RAF, H-RAS, K-RAS, and N-RAS initiates the activation of the ERK pathway. In T cells, C-RAF is dominant whereas B-RAF was thought to be completely absent and irrelevant or at least only relevant for selected functions in thymic selection (20). To determine whether B-RAF and K-RAS are critical for ERK phosphorylation in T cells, we silenced either CD4 T cells or CD8 T cells K-RAS, N-RAS, and B-RAF. The data from these experiments are presented in Fig. 1B-D. Compared to the control, K-RAS and B-RAF silencing resulted in a significant decrease in ERK phosphorylation (Fig. 1B-D) in both CD4 and CD8 T cells, whereas N-RAS silencing had minimal effect on ERK phosphorylation (Fig. 1B-D). These results suggest that K-RAS and B-RAF are critical for ERK activation in RA T cells, and their silencing results in reduced ERK phosphorylation.
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Mean fluorescence intensity of B-RAF (Upper) and K-RAS (Lower) expression for seven healthy control subjects and eight or nine patients with RA is shown. Naive and memory populations from the same individual are joined with a line. CD8 populations showed the same trend. (C) Naive and memory CD4 T cells were isolated by FACS based on the expression of CD45RA (solid line) and healthy controls (dotted line); FMO, fluorescence minus one. (B) Mean fluorescence intensity of B-RAF (Upper) and K-RAS (Lower) expression for seven healthy control subjects and eight or nine patients with RA is shown. Naive and memory populations from the same individual are joined with a line. CD8 populations showed the same trend. (C) Naive and memory CD4 T cells were isolated by FACS based on the expression of CD45RA (solid line) and healthy controls (dotted line); FMO, fluorescence minus one. (B) Mean fluorescence intensity of B-RAF (Upper) and K-RAS (Lower) expression for seven healthy control subjects and eight or nine patients with RA is shown. Naive and memory populations from the same individual are joined with a line. CD8 populations showed the same trend. (C) Naive and memory CD4 T cells were isolated by FACS based on the expression of CD45RA (solid line) and healthy controls (dotted line); FMO, fluorescence minus one.

CD8 populations showed the same trend. (B) Mean fluorescence intensity of B-RAF (Upper) and K-RAS (Lower) expression for seven healthy control subjects and eight or nine patients with RA is shown. Naive and memory populations from the same individual are joined with a line. CD8 populations showed the same trend. (C) Naive and memory CD4 T cells were isolated by FACS based on the expression of CD45RA (solid line) and healthy controls (dotted line); FMO, fluorescence minus one.

Memory CD4 T cells were isolated by FACS based on the expression of the above markers. Cells from two or three different healthy controls or two or three different patients with RA were pooled and analyzed by Western blot for B-RAF and K-RAS expression. Expression levels of β-tubulin were used as a loading control. Blots are representative of three independent experiments.

Fig. 2. B-RAF and K-RAS expression in T-cell subsets. PBMCs from patients with RA and healthy controls (HC) were isolated, stained with antibodies against CD3, CD4, CD8, CD45RA, CD45RO, CD28, B-RAF, and K-RAS, and analyzed by flow cytometry. (A) Naive (CD45RA−CD28−) and memory (CD45RA+CD28+) CD4+ T-cell populations were analyzed for B-RAF and K-RAS expression. Representative histograms of B-RAF (Left) and K-RAS (Right) staining are shown for naive and memory CD4 T cells from patients with RA (solid line) and healthy controls (dotted line); FMO, fluorescence minus one. (B) Mean fluorescence intensity of B-RAF (Upper) and K-RAS (Lower) expression for seven healthy control subjects and eight or nine patients with RA is shown. Naive and memory populations from the same individual are joined with a line. CD8 populations showed the same trend. (C) Naive and memory CD4 T cells were isolated by FACS based on the expression of CD45RA (solid line) and healthy controls (dotted line); FMO, fluorescence minus one. (B) Mean fluorescence intensity of B-RAF (Upper) and K-RAS (Lower) expression for seven healthy control subjects and eight or nine patients with RA is shown. Naive and memory populations from the same individual are joined with a line. CD8 populations showed the same trend. (B) Mean fluorescence intensity of B-RAF (Upper) and K-RAS (Lower) expression for seven healthy control subjects and eight or nine patients with RA is shown. Naive and memory populations from the same individual are joined with a line. CD8 populations showed the same trend. (C) Naive and memory CD4 T cells were isolated by FACS based on the expression of CD45RA (solid line) and healthy controls (dotted line); FMO, fluorescence minus one. (B) Mean fluorescence intensity of B-RAF (Upper) and K-RAS (Lower) expression for seven healthy control subjects and eight or nine patients with RA is shown. Naive and memory populations from the same individual are joined with a line. CD8 populations showed the same trend. (C) Naive and memory CD4 T cells were isolated by FACS based on the expression of CD45RA (solid line) and healthy controls (dotted line); FMO, fluorescence minus one.

signal molecules or both molecules together. Fig. 3 A and B document a 50% to 70% reduction in expression 48 h after transfection with specific siRNA compared with control siRNA as shown by Western blot as well as flow cytometry. Reduced K-RAS or B-RAF expression dampened activation-induced ERK phosphorylation in patients with RA, clearly documenting that K-RAS and B-RAF levels directly regulate pERK levels. Partial silencing of B-RAF and K-RAS reduced ERK phosphorylation in RA T cells to the same level as in nonsilenced control T cells (Fig. 3C).

Silencing experiments showed that major reductions in B-RAF and K-RAS concentrations reduce but do not fully abrogate ERK phosphorylation. To address whether the smaller differences in expression observed between patients with RA and healthy controls are of functional relevance, we used flow cytometry to correlate protein levels of signaling molecules and phosphorylation events (21). Based on B-RAF MFI, the T-cell population was divided into six equal segments, and the ex-
pression of pERK in each gate was determined. CD4 and CD8 staining, respectively, were used as internal controls. As shown in Fig. 4, CD4 and CD8 T cells with higher B-RAF expression had increased basal levels of pERK and a more pronounced ERK response upon stimulation. This correlation was specific, as we did not see any correlation between B-RAF and CD4 levels in CD4 T cells or B-RAF and CD8 levels in CD8 T cells (Fig. 4).

RAS-RAF Complex Formation in Patients with RA. To monitor RAF activation, we examined RAS-RAF complex formation at the cell membrane of T cells stimulated with anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies. T cells were fixed at indicated times after TCR stimulation and stained with N-RAS or K-RAS (red) and B-RAF (green) specific antibodies.

Membrane-close fluorescence was quantified in T cells; representative images are shown in Fig. 5A. Coefficients of colocalization were determined by regression analysis of the red and green pixel fluorescence and are summarized for five patients with RA and five normal control subjects in Fig. 5B. In RA T cells, the coefficient for B-RAF/K-RAS was slightly increased before stimulation compared with healthy controls and exhibited a more pronounced response that was sustained over time. In contrast, colocalization of N-RAS and B-RAF was induced to a lesser extent by CD3 stimulation and was not different between control individuals and patients with RA at early time points, but reached increased levels in patients with RA at 5 min. The pattern of K-RAS and N-RAS clustering with C-RAF was identical and followed similar kinetics as N-RAS/B-RAF; again, a difference was seen for complex formation after 5 min, but not at the early time points as was the case with B-RAF/K-RAS (16). These data suggest a model of initially increased K-RAS/B-RAF activation with subsequent increased amplification loops involving N-RAS, K-RAS, and C-RAF.

Activation of pERK Amplification Loops in RA T Cells. The confocal studies suggested that the difference between patients with RA and healthy controls can be mapped to increased B-RAF and subsequently sustained C-RAF activation in the patients. This model was supported by Western blotting for serine phosphorylated C-RAF. C-RAF phosphorylation was indistinguishable at 5 min, but higher in patients with RA at subsequent time points. Bioavailability of C-RAF is controlled by several binding proteins, such as 14-3-3 and RKIP (22, 23). RKIP is involved in an important ERK amplification loop; RKIP binds to C-RAF, preventing its activation. If phosphorylated, RKIP dissociates from C-RAF, which initiates a positive feedback loop of the ERK pathway. RKIP is phosphorylated by PKC or pERK. Increased pERK levels therefore can free up more C-RAF in a positive feedback loop (24). In contrast, RKIP does not sequester B-RAF. Western blots showed that patients with RA have higher basal phosphorylated RKIP levels compared with control individuals while having similar total RKIP levels (Fig. 6A). RKIP was immunoprecipitated and the precipitates were examined for C-RAF by Western blotting. The amount of RKIP-bound C-RAF was higher in normal individuals than in patients with RA before and after stimulation (Fig. 6B), suggesting that the activation of this positive feedback loop is increased in patients with RA.

Functional Consequences of Increased B-RAF and K-RAS Expression. CD4 T cells were transfected to overexpress B-RAF or K-RAS at the about the same level as seen in RA T cells (Fig. 7A). Transfected cells were stimulated by CD3 or CD3 and CD28 cross-linking, and proliferative responses were assessed by [3H]thymidine incorporation. Under the conditions used, CD3 cross-linking alone only induced suboptimal proliferation in control transfected T cells, which was clearly enhanced in CD4 T cells overexpressing K-RAS or B-RAF (Fig. 7B). Costimulation with anti-CD28
antibodies boosted T-cell activation, but again significantly more so in B-RAF or K-RAS than control transfected T cells. To determine whether B-RAF and/or K-RAS overexpression could overcome tolerance, the ability of CD4 T cells from healthy HLA-DRB1*04+ individuals to respond to a normal or a citrullinated vimentin, implicated in RA as autoantigen, was examined (25). Control transfected T cells only minimally responded to the unmodified and citrullinated vimentin peptide. Overexpression of either B-RAF or K-RAS enabled the autoreactive response to the unmodified and even more so to the citrullinated vimentin peptide (Fig. 7C).

Discussion

Production of autoantibodies to ubiquitous autoantigens such as citrullinated proteins and IgG Fc epitopes in RA suggests a general defect in maintaining tolerance (4, 5). Here, we find that T cells from RA patients have increased transcription of BRAF and KRAS. Increased cytoplasmic concentrations of these signaling molecules cause hyperactivity of the ERK pathway upon TCR stimulation. Transcriptional activation cannot be reproduced by incubation with TNF-α, known to be elevated in RA, consistent with the observation that increased ERK phosphorylation does not correlate with disease activity (16). ERK activity has been shown to be an important regulator of TCR threshold calibration (26, 27). B-RAF is of additional interest because its expression alleviates the need for costimulation and impairs anergy induction (28, 29). We propose that the increased expression of B-RAF and K-RAS accounts for the autoreactivity characteristic of RA. In support of this interpretation, NRAS germline mutations cause a selective syndrome of autoimmunity and lymphoproliferation (30). KRAS or BRAF polymorphisms have not yet been associated with autoimmunity, possibly because germline mutations in KRAS or BRAF cause complex and severe developmental anomalies such as the Noonan and cardiofaciocutaneous syndromes.

Improved understanding of the factors driving RA has reinforced the notion that T-cell function is central to disease pathogenesis (6, 31). In addition to rheumatoid factor, autoantibody responses to citrullinated epitopes on several proteins including vimentin, fibrinogen, collagen type II, and α-enolase have been identified (5). Autoantibody responses are HLA- and T-cell–dependent. Abatacept (CTLA-4Ig), which binds to CD80 and CD86 and blocks CD28-mediated costimulation, has emerged as a successful treatment intervention (7). In addition to effector activities, studies into T-cell function have described abnormal findings in the naive T-cell compartment including defective DNA repair mechanisms, telomeric loss, and repertoire contraction, suggesting a primary role of T cells (2, 32–34). Finally, several disease risk genes are concerned with T-cell function, in particular, the two genes that carry the highest risk, HLA-DRB1 and PTPN22.

Fig. 5. Kinetics of RAS/RAF colocalization in RA T cells. T cells were stimulated by anti-CD3/CD28 cross-linking, fixed, permeabilized, and stained with Alexa Fluor 546-conjugated anti–K-RAS (red, Left) or N-RAS (red, Right) and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti–B-RAF (green) antibodies. (A) Representative staining from a control individual (Upper) and a patient with RA (Lower) are shown. Colocalization is indicated in yellow. (B) To quantify colocalization, red and green fluorescence for each pixel were correlated. Correlation coefficients are shown as box plots of 75 cells from five patients with RA (shaded boxes) and five control individuals (open boxes).

Fig. 6. Activation of ERK activation amplification loops in RA T cells. (A) T-cell lysates were probed for the presence of phosphorylated compared with total RKIP as indicator of the activation of an amplification loop. Two paired samples of healthy control individuals and patients with RA are shown. (B) Cells lysates from T cells stimulated with anti-CD3/CD28 antibody for the indicated time were immunoprecipitated with anti-RKIP antibodies. Precipitates were probed for C-RAF and RKIP by Western blotting. IgG heavy chain is shown as control. The experiments shown are representative of four.
responses to citrullinated peptides were enabled and cellular tolerance was impaired by transfecting cells with B-RAF or K-RAS.

Abnormal antigen receptor signaling has been implicated in the pathogenesis of several autoimmune diseases (37, 38) including RA and systemic lupus erythematosus. In murine models, mutations in signaling molecules conferring B-cell receptor hyperresponsiveness are associated with a lupus-like disease. Frequently, the mutations involve a negative regulator such as SHP-1, CD22, or FcɛRIβ (39–41); overexpression of a positive regulator such as CD19 or a mutation in CD45 that controls the activity of src kinases can also break tolerance and cause autoantibody production (42). Patients with systemic lupus erythematosus have a subset of T cells with Fcrγ-Syk replacing ζ-ZAP70 in the TCR signaling complex, which induces a preferential calcium signal (43). The best example of T-cell hyperresponsiveness causing autoimmune disease is Cbl/Cbl-b double-deficient mice (44). Interestingly, one characteristic finding in T cells from these double-KO mice is prolonged ERK phosphorylation after TCR stimulation. Conversely, impaired TCR signaling can also induce autoimmunity as best illustrated by the SKG mouse that has a spontaneous ZAP70 mutation and develops arthritis (45). A failure in negative selection has been implicated, which, however, does not explain how potentially autoreactive T cells are activated in the periphery. We have observed increased basal and induced ERK phosphorylation in SKG T cells after PKC activation. Treatment with subtherapeutic doses of a MEK-1 inhibitor delayed and ameliorated disease supporting the notion that ERK activation may compromise peripheral tolerance (16). Similarly, the LAT Y136F mutation that causes a multorgan inflammatory disease is characterized by relatively preserved ERK phosphorylation in the presence of severely impaired calcium flux (46, 47), again consistent with the model that qualitative disturbances in TCR signaling predispose for autoimmunity.

ERK activity is pivotal in regulating T-cell sensitivity to antigenic stimulation. Li et al. (48) identified miR-181a as an intrinsic modulator of TCR threshold calibration. miR-181a regulates the translation of several phosphatases, including DUSP5 and DUSP6, which dampen ERK activity. When activated, pERK sustains several positive feedback loops. pERK phosphorylates RKP1, thereby releasing C-RAF, and, in the presence of active RAS, supports further ERK activation (23). As shown in Fig. 6, this loop is activated in RA T cells. pERK also sequesters, but does not phosphorylate and activate C-RAF. TCR stimulation alone induces RAP1 but poorly activates RAS, whereas CD28 costimulation activates RAS and inhibits RAP1 activation, consistent with the notion that costimulation prevents energy induction (50). Our findings in RA T cells provide evidence that this conceptual framework of a central role of ERK activation in the pathogenesis of autoimmunity is relevant for a human autoimmune disease.

C-RAF has been considered the major RAF kinase in T cells. B-RAF is best known as an oncogene in melanoma and other tumors (51). In contrast to A-RAF and C-RAF, B-RAF is constitutively phosphorylated at position S445 and only requires membrane recruitment but no phosphorylation for activation,
which may explain its unique role as an oncogene (52). B-RAF is independent of two of the negative mechanisms that control C-RAF activation. B-RAF does not bind to, and therefore cannot be inactivated by, RKIP. B-RAF bound to RAP-1 continues to be able to activate MEK1, thus transforming this negative into a positive feedback loop for ERK activation (28). In T cells, B-RAF was originally considered to be completely absent, but has recently been shown to be functional in thymic selection (20). In chimeric mice reconstituted with B-RAF–deficient stem cells, T-cell development is stopped at the stage of double-positive thymocytes and the maturation into CD4 and CD8 single positive cells is impaired, suggesting a role for B-RAF–mediated ERK activation in positive selection (20). In mature T cells, ectopic B-RAF expression prevents anergy induction. T cells transfected with B-RAF and stimulated under anergizing conditions activate the ERK pathway, produce IL-2, and proliferate.

We proposed that increased B-RAF expression lowers the TCR activation threshold in T cells from patients with RA and renders these cells more susceptible to activation. The relative contributions of different RAS members to T-cell activation is less well understood than that of RAF kinases; however, overexpression of K-RAS may have a similar net effect. In our functional assays, forced overexpression of B-RAF or K-RAS alone heightened the T-cell response to CD3 and CD28 crosslinking and lowered the TCR threshold sufficiently to permit the activation of self-reactive T cells to naive and more so to citrullinated vimentin peptides. Our preliminary studies also identified enhanced transcription of another ERK pathway member, SOS, at least in some patients with RA. SOS is an important amplifier of RAS-GRP–induced RAS activation after T-cell receptor triggering by entertaining a positive feedback loop (53). Increased ERK activity in T cells appears to be a common denominator in RA, but may be attained through different pathways.

Increased TCR sensitivity to stimulation with low-affinity antigens and relative resistance to anergy induction could explain many of the T-cell phenomena observed in RA. Increased TCR sensitivity could increase homeostatic proliferation, facilitate differentiation into CD28– effector cells and eventually accelerate cell aging and repertoire contraction, all immunological hallmarks of RA. At the same time, it may facilitate responses to antigens that are controlled by peripheral tolerance mechanisms and not by central negative selection, such as responses to neoantigens including those generated by citrullination. In this model, B-RAF and K-RAS emerge as excellent targets to prevent or treat RA because their inhibition would be selectively directed at a signaling abnormality in RA T cells.

Materials and Methods

Study Population and Cells. Peripheral blood was obtained from patients with RA and demographically matched healthy control subjects. Patients with RA met the 1988 American College of Rheumatology Criteria for seropositive RA (Table 3). The protocol was approved by the Emory University and Stanford University Institutional Review Boards, and all donors gave written, informed consent. T cells were isolated by negative selection by using RosetteSep human T-cell enrichment mixture (StemCell Technologies). For Western blots of naive and memory CD4+ T-cell populations, T cells were stained with antibodies (listed in the following section) and isolated by FACS. Cells were gated on the basis of CD3 and CD4 expression, then divided into naive (CD45RA+CD28+) and memory (CD45RA–CD45RO+CD28+) populations.

Antibodies. CD3 APC-Cy7, CD4 PerCP-Cy5.5, CD8 PE-Cy7, CD8 Alexa Fluor 700, CD45RA FITC, CD45RA FITC, CD45RA APC, CD45RO APC, CD28 PE, CD28 APC, and Alexa Fluor 647–conjugated anti–phospho-ERK1/2 antibodies were from BD Biosciences. In addition, the following antibodies were used: anti–ERK1/2–FITC (Millipore), antibodies to N-RAS, K-RAS, C-RAF, phosphorylated RKIP, RKIP, actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology); and anti–phospho-B-RAF and B-RAF (Cell Signaling Technology). Zenon antibody labeling kits with Alexa Fluor 488, 546, and 647 (Invitrogen) were used to conjugate primary antibodies.

Flow Cytometry. T cells (1×10^6) were stimulated or not with anti-CD3/CD28 mAb (1 μg/mL each) and subsequent cross-linking, fixed in Cytofix buffer (BD Biosciences), permeabilized by Perm Buffer II (BD Biosciences) or 100% methanol, and stained for CD3, CD4, CD8, and the indicated signaling molecules. Data were acquired and analyzed on an LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) with FACS DIVA software or FlowJo software (Treestar).

Western Blotting and Immunoprecipitation. T cells or FACS–sorted T-cell subsets were lysed in a cell extraction buffer (Invitrogen) supplemented with 1 mM PMSE and a protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma-Aldrich). Thirty micrograms of total protein were resolved on SDS/PAGE under reducing conditions. If necessary, lysates from two or three healthy individuals or patients with RA were pooled. Proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes and incubated at 4°C with antibodies to anti–phospho-B-RAF, B-RAF, or K-RAS, followed by washing and incubation with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The blots were visualized with an Immunoblot Western chemiluminescence detection system (Millipore). Membranes were stripped and reprobed for actin or β-tubulin to ensure equal loading. For immunoprecipitation, 200 μg of total cell lysates from anti-CD3/CD28–stimulated T cells were incubated with anti-RKIP antibody overnight at 4°C, followed by washing and Western blot analysis for C-RAF and RKIP. IgG heavy chain was included as a control.

Confocal Microscopy. T cells from control subjects and patients with RA stimulated and fixed as described earlier were stained with Alexa Fluor 546–labeled anti–K-RAS or anti–N-RAS antibodies and Alexa Fluor 488–labeled anti–B-RAF antibody; images were captured by confocal laser scanning with an LSM 510 META Axiovert-200 microscope (Zeiss). RAS-RAF colocalization was quantified by using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health).

SuperArray and qPCR. Total RNA isolated from RA and healthy control T cells using TRizol (Invitrogen) was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using AMV Reverse Transcriptase (Roche). Quantification of mRNA levels of components of the ERK pathway, as well as ERK–dependent genes, was done by MAP Kinase Signaling Pathway PCR Array (SABiosciences) per manufacturer instructions. In addition, SOST, RasGRP1, DUSP5, DUSP6, KRAS, and Braf transcription were quantified by SYBR quantitative PCR by using the following primer sets: SOST, 5′-ACCACGAGAACACCTTGAG-3′, 5′-GAAAGGCTGGTTTGGGAAG-3′; RasGRP1, 5′-GCTTGGAGGCAAGTCTTGA-3′; 5′-GTTAGGCAGCTTCAATGGA-3′; DUSP5, 5′-CTGAGTGTCTGGCTAGATGA-3′, 5′-TGGAGACCCATGGAGATG-3′; DUSP6, 5′-CATGGTGTCTCAGACGAG-3′; 5′-CAATGACAGGGAACTCGGC-3′; β-actin, 5′-ATGGCTAAGCCGCCAGCCTCGAC-3′; 5′-CAGTCGTTGAGGATGCGACC-AG-3′. The PCR conditions for all amplifications were 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s. Results are given as transcript numbers determined by interpolation with standard curves using gene-expressing plasmids relative to β-actin transcript numbers.

Gene Silencing. siRNA for KRAS (hs_KRAS_2.8 HP GenomeWide siRNA) and BRAF (hs_BRAF_1 HP GenomeWide siRNA) was obtained from Qiagen. siRNA oligonucleotides (1.5 μg) were transfected into resting CD3 T cells using the Amaxa Nucleofector system and Human T-cell Nucleofector kit (Lonza). Negative Control siRNA (Qiagen) was used as a negative control. At 48 to 72 h after transfections, knockdown efficiencies were monitored by flow cytometry and Western blotting.

Transfection. Human KRAS and BRAF cDNA were obtained from Open Biosystems (clone IDs, 3878884 and 8327547). Complete ORFs were inserted into
the pIRE2-AcGFP1 empty vector (Clontech). Total CD4 T cells were transfed with empty vector, KRAS-pIRE2-AcGFP1, or BRAF-pIRE2-AcGFP1 (4 μg each) using the Amaxa Nuclease system and the Human T-cell Nucleus system kit (Lonza).

Cytokine Stimulation. Purified T cells were incubated without cytokine or with 10 ng/mL IL-1β, TNF-α, or IL-15 (PeProTech). After 24 h, cytokines were removed by extensive washing with complete media; cells were stimulated by cross-linking of CD3 for 5 min and analyzed for ERK phosphorylation by PhosFlow. Untreated and IL-15-treated T cells were transfected for BRAF and KRAS expression by qPCR.

Proliferative Assays. CD4 T cells from healthy individuals were transfected with empty vector, KRAS-pIRE2-AcGFP1 or BRAF-pIRE2-AcGFP1, stimulated at a concentration of 0.5 × 10^6 cells/mL with 1 μg/mL anti-CD3 Ab cross-linked with rabbit anti-mouse IgG or anti-CD3 in combination with 1 μg/mL anti-CD28 Ab, followed by cross-linking and cultured for 7 d. Alternatively, 2.5 × 10^5/mL transfected CD4 T cells from healthy HLA-DRB1*0401 and HLA-DRB1*0401 donors were stimulated for 7 d with 0.625 × 10^5/mL autoologous adherent cells and 25 μg/mL vimentin 65–77 peptide (SAVARSSVPGVR or VimR70Ct) in 2 × 10^5/mL anti-CD28 and anti-CD49d antibodies. Proliferative responses were quantified by [H] thymidine incorporation.

Statistical Analysis. Demographic data and results from RA and control T cells were compared by using a two-tailed Mann–Whitney U test or unpaired Student t test as appropriate. A level of P < 0.05 was considered significant.
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