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ABSTRACT

It remains a challenge to develop a successful human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) vaccine that is capable of preventing infection. Here, we utilized the benefits of CD40L, a costimulatory molecule that can stimulate both dendritic cells (DCs) and B cells, as an adjuvant for our simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) DNA vaccine in rhesus macaques. We coexpressed the CD40L with our DNA/SIV vaccine such that the CD40L is anchored on the membrane of SIV virus-like particle (VLP). These CD40L-containing SIV VLPs showed enhanced activation of DCs in vitro. We then tested the potential of DNA/SIV-CD40L vaccine to adjuvant the DNA prime of a DNA/modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA) vaccine in rhesus macaques. Our results demonstrated that the CD40L adjuvant enhanced the functional quality of anti-Env antibody response and breadth of anti-SIV CD8 and CD4 T cell responses, significantly delayed the acquisition of heterologous mucosal SIV infection, and improved viral control. Notably, the CD40L adjuvant enhanced the control of viral replication in the gut at the site of challenge that was associated with lower mucosal CD8 immune activation, one of the strong predictors of disease progression. Collectively, our results highlight the benefits of CD40L adjuvant for enhancing antiviral humoral and cellular immunity, leading to enhanced protection against a pathogenic SIV. A single adjuvant that enhances both humoral and cellular immunity is rare and thus underlines the importance and practicality of CD40L as an adjuvant for vaccines against infectious diseases, including HIV-1.

IMPORTANCE

Despite many advances in the field of AIDS research, an effective AIDS vaccine that can prevent infection remains elusive. CD40L is a key stimulator of dendritic cells and B cells and can therefore enhance T cell and antibody responses, but its overly potent nature can lead to adverse effects unless used in small doses. In order to modulate local expression of CD40L at relatively lower levels, we expressed CD40L in a membrane-bound form, along with SIV antigens, in a nucleic acid (DNA) vector. We tested the immunogenicity and efficacy of the CD40L-adjuvanted vaccine in macaques using a heterologous mucosal SIV infection. The CD40L-adjuvanted vaccine enhanced the functional quality of anti-Env antibody response and breadth of anti-SIV T cell responses and improved protection. These results demonstrate that VLP-membrane-bound CD40L serves as a novel adjuvant for an HIV vaccine.
antibodies in humans. Modulated local expression of CD40L at relatively lower levels by DNA or replication-defective viral vaccines could provide a safe alternative to overly potent systemic stimulation by agonistic anti-CD40 Ab or high doses of CD40L protein.

TNFSF proteins are produced as type II trimeric membrane proteins but are proteolytically cleaved from the cell surface to form soluble trimers. There is growing evidence demonstrating the need for multimerization of the trimeric ligands for their activation/adjuvant potential (18–20). Multimerization can be achieved by the membrane-bound form (upon ligation with CD40) or the soluble form fused with multimerization domains of proteins from C1q superfamily molecules (21, 22) and collectin superfamily molecules (23–27) that spontaneously multimerize into molecular structures with extended, trimeric, collagen-like arms. Indeed, a recent study demonstrated that HIV-1 Gag DNA vaccine expressing the 4-trimeric form of CD40L (extracellular domain of mouse CD40L fused to the multimerization domain of pulmonary surfactant protein D) is more immunogenic than the main of mouse CD40L fused to the multimerization domain of CD40L in the DNA prime of a DNA/MVA vaccine (6–12). In the present study, we evaluated the adjuvant potential of CD40L in DNA vaccines expressing SIV Env, Tat, Rev, and/or CD40L to adjuvant DNA vaccines (6). In these studies, the adjuvant effects were strongly associated with the form of antigen. Adjuvant effects were consistently observed if the antigen was located in the cytoplasm (6, 10) or on the cell membrane (7, 8). However, adjuvant effects were not observed if the antigen was secreted (28–30). This becomes a limitation for HIV vaccines expressing virus-like particles (VLPs) and secreted forms of Env (important for high levels of Ab production). To circumvent this problem, we designed our CD40L-adjuvanted DNA/SIV vaccine to coexpress macaque CD40L with the native trimeric form of SIV Env on the membrane of the transfected cell/VLP to promote multimerization of the ligand that is critical for its adjuvant activity (28). In the present study, we evaluated the adjuvant potential of CD40L in the DNA prime of a DNA/MVA SIV vaccine. Our results show that CD40L enhances both humoral and cellular immunity and that these responses are associated with protection against a heterologous mucosal SIVE660 challenge.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Immunizations and challenge. Young adult Indian rhesus macaques from the Yerkes breeding colony were cared for under guidelines established by the Animal Welfare Act and the National Institutes of Health (NIH; Bethesda, MD) Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals using protocols approved by the Emory University (Atlanta, GA) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Macaques were typed for the Mamu-A*01, Mamu-B*08, and Mamu-B*17 alleles as described previously (31–33). Of the 35 macaques, eight were vaccinated with a DNA/MVA SIV vaccine, 12 (six Mamu-A*01 positive, six Mamu-A*01 negative) were vaccinated with the DNA/MVA SIV vaccine with CD40L in the DNA, and 15 were unvaccinated controls. The DNA and rMVA immunizations were delivered intramuscularly in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) using a hypodermic needle in the outer thigh. The DNA immunogen expressed SIV239 Gag-Pol, Env, Tat, and Rev. The DNA immunogen was constructed by replacing the EcoRI-Nhel fragment of the SHIV DNA construct (34) containing HIV-1 8.6 kbp tat, rev, and env genes with an EcoRI-Nhel fragment containing SIV tat, rev, and env. Two MVA recombinants, one expressing SIV239 Gag-Pol (35) and the other expressing SIV239 Env (36), were premixed and used for immunizations. Two DNA inoculations were given at weeks 0 and 8, and two rMVA boosters were given at weeks 16 and 24. The DNA was delivered at 3 mg/dose, and the rMVA was delivered at 10³ PFU/dose. At 20 to 24 weeks after the final rMVA booster, animals were challenged with weekly doses of SIVE660 intrarectally using a pediatric feeding tube 15 to 20 cm into the rectum. Vanessa Hirsch at the NIH provided the challenge stock (Hirsch-2000 stock).

Collection and processing of rectal secretions, biopsy specimens, and blood. Rectal secretions were collected with and eluted from Weck-Cel sponges as previously described (34, 37). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated from whole blood according to standard procedures as described previously (38). Lymphocytes from pinch biopsy specimens from the rectum were obtained as described previously (39). Briefly, 10 to 20 pinch biopsy specimens were collected in complete RPMI 1640 and washed twice with ice-cold Hanks balanced salt solution. Biopsy specimens were digested with 200 U of collagenase IV (Worthington, Lakewood, NJ) and DNase I (Roche, Indianapolis, IN)/mL passed through decreasing sizes of needles (16-, 18-, and 20-gauge, five to six times with each needle), and filtered through a 100-μm-pore-size filter. Cells were washed twice with RPMI and resuspended in complete RPMI for analysis.

1T cell responses. Intracellular cytokine production was assessed as previously described with a few modifications (2). Briefly, 2 million PBMC were stimulated in 200 μL of RPMI with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) in a 5-mL polystyrene tube. SIV-specific stimulations were conducted using a single pool of 125 SIV239 Gag peptides and two pools of 225 SIV239 Env peptides (NIH AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, Germantown, MD). All peptides were 15-mers overlapping by 11. Staphylococcal enterotoxin B was used as a positive control at 1 μg/mL. Stimulations were performed in the presence of anti-CD28 and anti-CD49d Abs (1 μg/mL; BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA). For all stimulations, cells were incubated at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO2 for h. Brefeldin A (10 μg/mL) and GolgiStop (1 μg/mL; BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA) were added for the last 4 h of incubation. At the end of stimulation, cells were washed once with PBS containing 2% FBS, surface stained with anti-human CD4-PerCP (clone L200; BD Pharmingen), and anti-human CD8-AmCyan (clone SK1; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA), fixed with Cytofix/Cytoperm (BD Pharmingen), and permeabilized with 1× Permwash (BD Pharmingen). The cells were then stained using a mixture of Abs containing anti-human CD3-Pacific blue (clone SP34-2; BD Pharmingen), anti-human gamma interferon (IFN-γ) Alexa 700 (clone B72; BD Pharmingen), anti-human CD8-PE-Cy7 (clone SK1; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA), fixed with Cytofix/Cytoperm (BD Pharmingen), and permeabilized with 1× Permwash (BD Pharmingen). The cells were then stained using a mixture of Abs containing anti-human CD3-Pacific blue (clone SP34-2; BD Pharmingen), anti-human CD4-human CD8-PerCP (clone L200; BD Pharmingen), and anti-human CD8-AmCyan (clone SK1; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA), and washed twice with Permwash and once with 2% FBS in PBS, and resuspended in 1% formalin in PBS. Approximately 500,000 lymphocytes were acquired on the LSRII (BD Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, CA) and analyzed by using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR). Lymphocytes were identified based on their scatter pattern, and CD3⁺CD8⁺CD4⁺ cells were considered CD4 T cells, and CD3⁺CD8⁺CD4⁻ cells were considered CD8 T cells. These CD4 or CD8 T cells were then gated for cytokine-positive cells. Responses that were >0.01% of the respective total CD4 or CD8 T cells and twice the background were considered positive.

T cells were subjected to tetramer staining and typing for the presence of CD4 and CD8 T cells. This was done using a mixture of the following Abs and Gag-CM9 tetramer conjugated to allophycocyanin: anti-human CD3-Alexa Fluor 700 (clone SP34-2; BD Pharmingen), anti-human CD4-PerCP (clone L200; BD Pharmingen), anti-human CD8-AmCyan (clone SK1; BD Biosciences), anti-human CD28-PE-Cy7 (clone CD28.2; Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA), and anti-human CD95-Pacific blue (clone DX2; BD Pharmingen), and anti-human tumor necrosis factor alpha-phycocerythrin-Cy7 (TNF-α-PE-Cy7; clone Mab11; eBioscience, San Diego, CA), washed twice with Permwash and once with 2% FBS in PBS, and resuspended in 1% formalin in PBS. Approximately 500,000 lymphocytes were acquired on the LSRII (BD Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, CA) and analyzed by using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR). Lymphocytes were identified based on their scatter pattern, and CD3⁺CD8⁺CD4⁻ cells were considered CD4 T cells, and CD3⁺CD8⁺CD4⁻ cells were considered CD8 T cells. These CD4 or CD8 T cells were then gated for cytokine-positive cells. Responses that were >0.01% of the respective total CD4 or CD8 T cells and twice the background were considered positive.

T cells were subjected to tetramer staining and typing for the presence of CD4 and CD8 T cells. This was done using a mixture of the following Abs and Gag-CM9 tetramer conjugated to allophycocyanin: anti-human CD3-Alexa Fluor 700 (clone SP34-2; BD Pharmingen), anti-human CD4-PerCP (clone L200; BD Pharmingen), anti-human CD8-AmCyan (clone SK1; BD Biosciences), anti-human CD28-PE-Cy7 (clone CD28.2; Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA), and anti-human CD95-Pacific blue (clone DX2; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The levels of CD4 T cells in intestinal biopsy specimens are presented as a percentage of total CD3⁺ T cells.

Measurement of binding Ab responses. SIV Env-specific binding Abs were measured with enzyme-linked immunosorment assay (ELISA) using tissue culture-produced SIV Env, captured on a concanavalin A (ConA)-coated plate as described previously (34). Briefly, ELISA plates (Costar; Corning Life Sciences, Lowell, MA) were coated with ConA (25 μg/mL).
RESULTS

DNA/SIV vaccine expressing membrane-bound CD40L on SIV VLPs. In order to express CD40L in cis along with SIV antigens, we developed the DNA/SIV-40L vaccine by inserting the membrane bound form of a rhesus macaque CD40L gene downstream of the Env gene of our DNA/SIV plasmid (42) that expresses SIV239 Gag-Pol, Env, Tat, and Rev (Fig. 1A). Flow cytometric analyses showed that the SIV Env and CD40L were expressed on the transfected cell membrane and SIV Gag was expressed intracellularly (Fig. 1B). The electron microscopic analyses of vaccine DNAs showed that the transfected cells produced VLPs, and the budding virions in the DNA/SIV-40L transfected cells displayed CD40L (Fig. 1C and D). To determine the biological activity of CD40L, we stimulated PBMC from four rhesus macaques with supernatants obtained from 293T cells that were mock transfected (negative control), DNA/SIV-transfected (VLP only control), or DNA/SIV-CD40L transfected (VLP with CD40L) and measured the activation of DCs by testing for surface expression of CD80 (Fig. 1E). Both DNA/SIV and DNA/SIV-40L showed activation of DCs. However, supernatants from DNA/SIV-40L-transfected cells showed a stronger activation of DCs compared to supernatants obtained from DNA/SIV-transfected cells, suggesting that both VLP and CD40L are biologically active in vitro.

Design of the macaque trial. Two groups of Indian rhesus macaques (all negative for Mamu-B*08 and -B*17 alleles) were inoculated intramuscularly at weeks 0 and 8 with 3 mg of DNA/SIV (n = 8) or DNA/SIV-40L (n = 12) and then boosted with MVA/SIV at weeks 16 and 24. The MVA immunogen expressed SIV239 Gag, protease (PR), reverse transcriptase (RT), and Env. The DNA/SIV group is referred to here as the “DM” group, and the DNA/SIV–CD40L group is referred to as the “D40L” group. Six macaques in the CD40L group were positive for Mamu-A*01 allele. A group of 15 SIV-naïve macaques served as the control group. Of these 15, 9 were Mamu-A*01 negative, and 6 were Mamu-A*01 positive. All macaques were negative for the Mamu-B*08 and -B*17 haplotypes. Twelve weekly moderate dose intracerebral challenges of SIVE660 (91% related in Gag and 83% related in Env to the SIV239 immunogens) were initiated at 22 to 24 weeks after the final MVA inoculation. Within the CD40L group, all immune analyses except SIV-specific CD8 T cell responses were comparable between the Mamu-A*01-positive and negative animals and thus we presented the data as a single group. For convenience, we distinguished Mamu-A*01-positive animals (triangles) from the Mamu-A*01-negative animals (circles) with a different symbol in the figures. The immunizations for the DM and D40L groups were conducted in parallel. Some of the results for the DM animals and the nine Mamu-A*01-negative unvaccinated control animals were described previously (43).

CD40L enhances the magnitude and functional quality of humoral immunity. After the two DNA primes, the titer of SIV239 Env-specific binding antibody in serum was low, close to our limit of detection (Fig. 2A). These titers were boosted significantly after the two MVA immunizations. At 2 weeks after the first MVA boost, we saw low but measurable levels of anti-SIV239 Env-specific antibodies in both DM and D40L groups (Fig. 2A).
These binding antibody titers were boosted another 5- to 10-fold by the second MVA, during which the D40LM group displayed 2-fold-higher anti-SIV239 Env antibodies (estimated 13/9262 g/ml) than in the DM group (estimated 6.5/9262 g/ml) (P/H11005 0.005). Similarly, the D40LM group tended toward higher anti-SIVE660 Env binding antibodies than the DM group at the same time point (P/H11005 0.057; data not shown).

We checked whether the CD40L-adjuvant improved the functional quality of anti-Env antibody responses because CD40L-CD40 interactions promote germinal center formation and antibody affinity maturation. Using a 1.5 M sodium thiocyanate displacement assay, we measured the avidity of binding antibody specific for SIV239 and SIVE660 Env. The addition of CD40L enhanced the avidity of SIV239 Env-specific antibody, which was significantly higher in the D40LM group than in the DM group (Fig. 2B). Similarly, the avidity of SIVE660 Env-specific antibody was higher in the D40LM group compared to DM group (Fig. 2B).

We also measured the neutralization potential of anti-Env antibody against tier 1 (easy to neutralize) and tier 2 (harder to neutralize) SIVE660 pseudovirus isolates. Both vaccines elicited strong neutralizing titers against the tier 1 isolate, and there was no difference between the two groups (Fig. 2C). However, we found that while most animals in the DM group (7 of 8) failed to generate detectable levels of neutralizing antibody titers against the tier 2 isolate (Fig. 2C), in the CD40L group, 5 of 6 Mamu-A*01-negative animals developed measurable levels of neutralizing antibody titers against the tier 2 isolate (Fig. 2C). None of the Mamu-A*01-positive animals (6 of 6) in the CD40L group elicited measurable levels of neutralizing antibody titers against the tier 2 isolate.
CD40L adjuvant enhances the breadth of SIV-specific CD8 T cell immunity. To study the adjuvant effects of CD40L on elicited CD8 and CD4 T cell response, we measured the magnitude, breadth, and cytokine coexpression profiles of SIVGag- and Env-specific T cells in blood. Despite seeing no differences in the magnitude of SIV-specific CD8 T cell responses, we saw an enhancement of CD8 T cell breadth and in vitro proliferative capacity (Fig. 3).

Since Mamu-A*01-positive animals were present only in the CD40L group and due to the presence of immunodominant Gag CM9 epitope, we compared CD8 T cell responses primarily between Mamu-A*01-negative animals to evaluate the adjuvant activity. As observed from our previous trials (38, 44, 45), the frequency of SIV (Gag + Env)-specific CD8 or CD4 T cell responses remained below our detection limit after two DNA vaccinations (data not shown). However, strong SIV-specific CD8 T cell responses were observed in both groups after two MVA boosts and were not significantly different between the two groups (Fig. 3A).

A geometric mean frequency of ~0.08% SIV-specific IFN-γ-producing CD8 T cells was observed in the blood after the first MVA boost, and these responses were boosted by ~2.6-fold following the second MVA boost. We measured the breadth of SIV-specific CD8 T cell responses using 13 Gag and 11 Env pools, each containing 10 to 20 peptides. These analyses revealed a higher breadth of CD8 T cell responses in the Mamu-A*01-negative animals from the D40LM group (Fig. 3B). The breadth of CD8 T cell responses in the D40LM group (mean of five pools) was ~1.6-fold higher than in the DM group (mean of three pools) (Fig. 3B). The breadth of CD8 T cell responses in the Mamu-A*01-positive animals of the CD40L group was low (mean of 2.3 pools), presumably due to the presence of the immunodominant Gag CM9 epitope strongly restricting the presentation of other CD8 epitopes.

To understand the proliferative capacity of memory (1 week after the second MVA boost) CD8 T cells in vitro after antigen stimulation, we conducted a carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE)-based proliferation assay using SIV Gag peptide pool as stimulation as described previously (42). We found that the CD8 T cells in the D40LM group proliferated better than CD8 T cells in the DM group, suggesting that memory CD8 T cells in the adjuvanted group may have better proliferative capacity (Fig. 3C).

CD40L adjuvant changes the functional quality and enhances the breadth of SIV-specific CD4 T cell immunity. Similar to the CD8 T cell response, the CD40L adjuvant did not increase the magnitude of SIV-specific CD4 T cell responses but enhanced the breadth (Fig. 3). Strong SIV (Gag + Env)-specific IFN-γ⁺ or TNF-α⁺ CD4 T cell responses were observed in both groups at 1 week after the first MVA boost, and these responses tended to be lower after the second MVA boost (Fig. 3D). At the peak response (one week after the first MVA), the magnitude of IFN-γ⁺ CD4 T cell responses was marginally higher in the DM group (Fig. 3D). This difference was not observed for the magnitude of TNF-α⁺
CD4 T cell responses (data not shown). However, the breadth of SIV-specific IFN-γ+ CD4 T cell response was significantly higher in the CD40L group (mean of 14.8 pools) than in the DM group (mean of 11.7 pools) (Fig. 3E).

Using a Boolean analysis, we compared the groups to assess for qualitative differences in CD4 T cells that produced IFN-γ/H9253/H11001, TNF-α/H9251/H11001, and/or IL-2 cytokines (Fig. 3F). Both groups did not differ in the proportion of triple cytokine-producing cells. However, within the double- and single-cytokine-producing populations, the response in the CD40L group was biased toward IFN-γ+ TNF-α+ double-positive cells and TNF-α+ single-positive cells, and the response in the DM group was biased toward IFN-γ+ IL-2+ double-positive cells (Fig. 3F). These results demonstrated that CD40L changes the quality of SIV-specific CD4 T cell response by biasing toward higher TNF-α expression, a phenotype that was seen for vaccinia virus-specific CD4 T cells in humans vaccinated with smallpox vaccine (31).

**CD40L adjuvant delays acquisition of SIV infection.** At between 20 and 24 weeks after the last MVA boost, we subjected all vaccinated macaques to 12 weekly intrarectal challenges with SIVE660 at 5,000 50% tissue culture infective doses to test the level of protection conferred by these vaccines. Nine Mamu-A*01-negative and six Mamu-A*01-positive unvaccinated macaques served as the unvaccinated control group (Fig. 4). This challenge dose consistently infected 30% of the unvaccinated macaques after the first exposure and resulted in 100% infection of unvaccinated animals by five challenges (32–34,43). At the end of 12 challenges, 25% of the DM animals and 33% of the D₄₀₀M animals remained uninfected (Fig. 4A). However, higher number of challenges was required to infect CD40L-adjuvanted animals. It took just three challenges for 50% infection in the unvaccinated group and five challenges for 50% infection in the DM group (Fig. 4A and D). Impressively, it took 12 challenges for 50% infection in the CD40L group for both non-Mamu-A*01 and Mamu-A*01 animals. By challenge 11, 6 of 8 (75%) macaques in the DM group were infected, whereas only 5 of 12 (42%) macaques in the CD40L group were infected. However, at challenge 12, three macaques became SIV+ in the CD40L group. Overall, the level of protection against acquisition of SIV infection observed in vaccinated macaques was statistically significant against the unvaccinated group for both DM and CD40L groups. The CD40L group showed the lowest per-challenge infection rate of 0.08 compared to the DM (0.12) and unvaccinated (0.31) groups (Fig. 4D). The protection was not significantly different between the two vaccine groups, presumably due to small group sizes. These data demonstrate that CD40L enhances protection from acquisition of heterologous mucosal SIV infection.

We next looked for correlates of protection against acquisition of SIV infection. Impressively, the enhanced protection from acquisition of SIV infection was strongly associated with the avidity of binding antibody against the challenge virus Env (SIVE660) (Fig. 4B) and neutralization titers against tier-2 E660 isolate (Fig. 4C). The avidity of vaccine-elicited binding antibody against the immunogen Env (SIVmac239) did not correlate with enhanced protection (data not shown). The protection was not associated with a specific TRIM5α genotype (data not shown). We also did not find a significant correlation between prevention of infection and vaccine-elicited SIV239-specific CD8 and CD4 T cell responses (magnitude, breadth, and polyfunctionality) or with the SIV239 or E660 Env-specific binding antibody titers (data not shown).
shown). These results demonstrate that the avidity of challenge virus-specific binding antibody serves as a correlate for protection against acquisition of SIV660 infection and that the inclusion of CD40L adjuvant enhances protection by enhancing the functional quality of anti-Env antibody.

CD40L adjuvant blunts viral load and enhances postinfection viral control. We next examined the plasma viral load in macaques that were productively infected after challenge (Fig. 5). The plasma viral load in the unvaccinated animals generally peaked at 1 week after the first positive detection at a geometric mean of \(3.4 \times 10^3\) copies/ml of plasma. These levels contracted to \(5.9 \times 10^2\) copies during the set point phase (postinfection week 24). The viral load at week 2 postinfection was significantly lower in the D40LM group than in the control group, whereas there was no difference for the D40LM group compared to the DM group (Fig. 5A). However, at 24 weeks postinfection, the plasma viral load was lower in all (except one) of the D40LM animals compared to the DM group (Fig. 5A). This observation was consistent with the week 2 plasma viral load, viral RNA levels in the gut were also lower in the D40LM animals compared to unvaccinated controls (Fig. 5B). In addition, the CD40L-adjuvanted animals showed a marked decrease in CD8 T cell immune activation in the gut (as measured by proliferating CD28−CD95+ memory CD8) compared to DM and unvaccinated controls at 2 weeks postinfection (P = 0.01) (Fig. 5C). Interestingly, animals with higher avidity of antibody against the challenge virus Env postvaccination (2 weeks after the second MVA) and with higher proliferating SIV-specific memory CD8 T cells prechallenge (13 weeks after the second MVA) showed lower viral load during set point, suggesting that antibody avidity and antiviral CD8 T cells contributed to viral control (Fig. 5D).

At 2 weeks postinfection in the vaccinated groups, anamnestic anti-SIV IgG responses were observed in blood (Fig. 6A), and previously undetectable anti-SIV IgA was observed in mucosal secretions (Fig. 6B). Anti-SIV IgA responses were first detected for the control group at 6 weeks postinfection. Anamnestic expansions of SIV specific CD4 and CD8 T cells were also observed postinfection (Fig. 6C and D). These responses were generally higher in the vaccinated animals compared to unvaccinated controls. However, no significant differences were observed between the two vaccinated groups. An expansion of Gag-CM9 tetramer-positive T cells was also observed in the blood and gut postinfection in infected Mamu-A*01+ animals (Fig. 6E). None of the measured postinfection responses correlated with viral control.

DISCUSSION

It remains a challenge to develop a successful HIV vaccine that is capable of preventing infection. We tested the ability of CD40L to adjuvant the humoral and cellular immunity primed by a DNA vaccine prior to a MVA boost. Our results demonstrated that the CD40L adjuvant enhanced the functional quality of anti-Env antibody response and the breadth of anti-SIV CD8 and CD4 T cell responses, significantly delayed the acquisition of heterologous mucosal SIV infection, and improved the control of acute and set point viremia. Notably, the CD40L adjuvant enhanced the control of viral replication in the gut at the site of challenge and was asso-
Associate with lower mucosal CD8 immune activation, one of the strong predictors of disease progression (35). Collectively, our results highlight the adjuvant activity for both humoral and cellular immunity of CD40L coexpressed with the DNA prime of a DNA/MVA vaccine. To our knowledge, a single adjuvant that enhances both humoral and cellular immunity is rare and thus underlines the importance and practicality of CD40L as an adjuvant for vaccines against many infectious diseases, including HIV-1 infection.

The mechanisms for protection against SIV acquisition are not completely clear. In our study, we found that antibody avidity strongly stands out as a parameter that significantly correlated with protection, further supporting findings from previous studies (36,37,43). Alternative mechanisms for protection could include neutralizing anti-SIV antibodies (against tier 2 virus), particularly in animals that had low avidity anti-SIV antibodies. The mechanisms by which CD40L enhances antibody avidity are not completely clear. The results from our ongoing work suggest that CD40L expressed on VLPs can enhance CD4 helper T cell responses favoring germinal center formation and avidity maturation of Ab responses. In the present study, CD40L-induced SIV-specific CD4 T cells produced lower levels of IFN-γ while producing similar levels of TNF-α. T follicular helper cells generally produce lower levels of IFN-γ while producing similar levels of TNF-α compared to Th1 cells. Unfortunately, we did not measure the production of IL-21, a cytokine made preferentially by T follicular helper cells. Another possible mechanism is that CD40L and Env on the VLPs could directly engage CD40 and Env-specific BCRs on antigen-specific B cells, influencing their proliferative capacity, maturation, and survival.

An important finding from our study is that the CD40L adjuvant enhanced the breadth of SIV-specific CD8 and CD4 T cell responses. This is particularly advantageous for an HIV vaccine given the enormous diversity of the virus. CD40L could enhance the breadth of SIV-specific T cell response by providing costimulation to DCs for subdominant epitopes. The costimulatory effect of CD40L could also have enhanced cytokine production, altering the polyfunctionality of CD4 T cells elicited by the DNA/MVA SIV vaccine.

Studies have recently used SIVE660 for mucosal challenges to test the efficacy of various vaccines. It is difficult to compare the level of protection between these studies and ours, since different challenge stocks, challenge doses, and numbers of challenges were used (39,40). In addition to these differences, the rate of SIV acquisition with our challenge stock was not significantly influenced by the TRIM5α genotype of the animal, whereas acquisition was dependent on TRIM5α genotype in studies using two other challenge stocks (39,41). Nevertheless, we used a challenge dose that infects >30% of the unvaccinated controls at the first challenge and impressively protected nearly 70% of the vaccinated animals after 11 challenges with our CD40L-adjuvanted vaccine, highlighting the potential use of CD40L as an adjuvant for an HIV vaccine.

The protection we achieved with the CD40L adjuvant is similar to what we achieved using a granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF)-adjuvanted DNA/MVA vaccine (43). Both of these studies were performed in parallel, and we used the same challenge stock and dose for weekly intrarectal challenges. Interestingly, in both of these studies, higher avidity of vaccine-elicted antibody against the E660 Env correlated with enhanced protection. However, there were some differences for immune responses elicited by these two adjuvants. The CD40L adjuvant but not GM-CSF enhanced the breadth of SIV-specific CD4 and CD8 T cell responses and influenced the cytokine coex-

![FIG 5 Postinfection viral load, immune activation, and correlations. (A) Plasma viral load of non-A01 and A01 infected animals. (B) Viral RNA copies per 500 ng of total RNA in the colorectum at 2 weeks postinfection. (C) CD8 T cell immune activation in the gut (as measured by Ki67 expression on CD28+CD95+ effector memory CD8). (D) Correlation between viral load at week 24 postinfection and the avidity index against the SIVE660 at 2 weeks after the second MVA or the percentage of proliferating Gag-specific CD8 T cells at week 13 after the second MVA.](jvi.asm.org)
expression profile of SIV-specific CD4 T cells. Whereas the GM-CSF adjuvant but not CD40L enhanced the neutralization against tier 1 E660 isolate. More in-depth qualitative analysis of T and B cell responses will need to be performed to further understand how similar or dissimilar these two adjuvants are in their effects on anti-SIV immunity.

The novelty in our approach is in achieving targeted adjuvant activity by presenting CD40L on the surface of the SIV VLP. In addition, our study is the first demonstration of the biological effects of CD40L adjuvant on vaccine responses in nonhuman primates. Because we did not compare the immunogenicity and efficacy of VLP-expressed CD40L with other forms of CD40L, such as a secreted multimeric form, it is difficult to conclude that adjuvant activity requires the expression of multimeric CD40L on the surface of VLP. However, we consider cis expression important because SIV VLPs containing CD40L both target antigen and stimulate DCs and B cells. In conclusion, these results reveal the potential of CD40L expressed with viral antigens in VLPs to serve as an adjuvant for enhancing the maturation of humoral immunity and the breadth of cellular immunity and suggest that CD40L can serve as an important adjuvant for HIV vaccines.
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FIG 6 Anamnestic expansion of anti-SIV immunity postinfection in infected animals. (A) Titer of SIVmac239 Env-specific antibodies in serum. The mean is shown for each group. (B) Levels of Gag-Pol- or Env-specific IgA activity in rectal secretions. The geometric mean is shown for each group. (C) Levels of IFN-γ CD4+ T cells in the blood. The geometric mean is shown for each group. (D) Levels of IFN-γ CD8+ T cells in non-A01 and A01 animals. The geometric mean is shown for each group. (E) Frequency of Gag CM9 tetramer-positive CD8 T cells in the blood and colorectum. The mean is shown for each group. The legend for panels B to E is shown in panel A. The error bars indicate the standard errors.
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