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ABSTRACT

The segmented nature of the influenza virus genome allows reassortment between coinfected viruses. This process of genetic exchange vastly increases the diversity of circulating influenza viruses. The importance of reassortment to public health is clear from its role in the emergence of a number of epidemiologically important viruses, including novel pandemic and epidemic strains. To gauge its impact on within-host genomic variation, we tracked reassortment in coinfected guinea pigs over time and given matched or discordant doses of coinfected viruses. To ensure unbiased detection of reassortants, we used parental viruses of equivalent fitness that differ only by noncoding nucleotide changes. These viruses were based on the isolate A/Panama/2007/1999 (H3N2). At a dose of $2 \times 10^2$ PFU, one parental virus was absent from each guinea pig throughout the time course, indicating the presence of a bottleneck. With an intermediate dose of $2 \times 10^3$ PFU, genomic diversity present in nasal lavage samples increased from 1 to 3 days postinfection (dpi) and then declined by 6 dpi. With a high dose of $2 \times 10^6$ PFU, however, reassortment levels were high (avg. 59%) at 1 dpi and remained stable. Even late in the course of infection, parental viruses were not eclipsed by reassortants, suggesting that a uniformly high multiplicity of infection was not achieved in vivo. Inoculation with ~10-fold discordant doses did not reduce reassortment relative to equivalent inputs but markedly changed the spectrum of genotypes produced. Our data reveal the potential for reassortment to contribute to intrahost diversity in mixed influenza virus infection.

IMPORTANCE

Influenza virus reassortment is prevalent in nature and is a major contributor to the diversity of influenza viruses circulating in avian, swine, human and other host species. This diversity, in turn, increases the potential for influenza viruses to evade selective pressures or adapt to new host environments. As examples, reassortment was key to the emergence of the 1957, 1968, and 2009 pandemics; the unusually severe influenza epidemics of 2003, 1951, and 1947; and the rise in adamantane resistance among currently circulating human H3N2 viruses. We reveal here the diversity of viral genotypes generated over time in a host coinfected with two influenza viruses. We found that intrahost diversity driven by reassortment is dynamic and dependent on the amount of each virus initiating infection. Our results demonstrate the readiness with which reassortant influenza viruses arise, offering new insight into this important mechanism of influenza virus evolution.
from the mixed population, precluding the identification of reassortant viruses. As a result, a significant gap remains in our understanding of how viral diversity develops over time within an infected individual.

We have recently developed a well-defined coinfection system designed to allow ready identification of reassortant influenza viruses (23). Here, we exploit this system to track reassortment within individual guinea pigs and thereby describe—to our knowledge for the first time—the intrahost dynamics of reassortment. Central to our approach is the use of two parental viruses that differ only by silent nucleotide changes introduced into each segment. By studying reassortment between these well-matched wild-type (wt) and silently mutated variant (var) viruses, we eliminate the confounding effects of fitness differences among parental and reassortant progeny viruses. In this way, we were able to study the process of reassortment itself rather than the genetic compatibility of a particular pair of influenza viruses. At the same time, the silent differences between wt and var gene segments allow us to be differentiated using high-resolution melt analysis (23, 24); thus, reassortants can be detected without full or partial sequencing of all eight gene segments. Using this system, we now describe the change in reassortment levels over time after infection and the impact on reassortment frequency of using discordant doses of wt and var viruses for inoculation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells. Madin-Darby canine kidney cells were maintained in minimum essential medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and penicillin-streptomycin.

Viruses. Recombinant A/Panama/2007/1999 (H3N2) (rPan/99wt or wt) and rPan/99var6 (var) viruses were described previously (23, 25). Briefly, these viruses were generated by reverse genetics and propagated in embryonated hens’ eggs for two (var) or three (wt) passages. rPan/99var6 virus contains the following silent mutations relative to rPan/99wt virus (nucleotide numbering is from the 5’ end of the cRNA): NS C329T, C335T, and A341G; M C413T, C415G and A418C; PB1 C288T and A424C; NP C537T, T538A, and C539G; HA T308C, C311A, C314T, C335T, and A341G; M C413T, C415G and A418C; NA C418G, T421A, and A424C; NP C537T, T538A, and C539G; HA T308C, C311A, C314T, A464T, C467G, and T470A; PA A342G and G333A; PB1 C288T and T297C; and PB2 C354T and C360T. Collectively, these mutations were shown not to attenuate the growth of rPan/99var6 virus relative to rPan/99wt virus in guinea pigs and to allow distinction of wt and var gene segments using high-resolution melt analysis (23).

Guinea pigs. Animal work was performed in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health and was approved by the Emory Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee under protocol number DAR-2000719-051614GA. Female, Hartley strain, guinea pigs weighing 300 to 350 g were obtained from Charles River Laboratories. Prior to intranasal inoculation, nasal lavage, or CO2 euthanasia, guinea pigs were sedated with a mixture of ketamine and xylazine (30 and 4 mg/kg, respectively). Inoculation and nasal lavage were performed as described previously (26), with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) as the diluent/collection fluid in each case.

Preparation of mixed inocula. To ensure the accuracy of the overall virus titer and of the relative amounts of wt and var viruses in mixtures used to infect guinea pigs, the following procedure was followed. High titer virus stocks of wt and var viruses were combined in the appropriate proportions (1:1 or 1:10 ratios of var PFU to wt PFU) and mixed. A portion of each mixture was divided into aliquots and stored at −80°C. A second portion was diluted serially in PBS to concentrations approximating those needed for the desired inoculation doses to be used in guinea pigs. The diluted virus mixtures were then divided into aliquots and stored at −80°C.

The var/wt ratios of the concentrated virus mixtures were verified by determining the genotypes of PB2 and NA segments of 36 to 40 plaque isolates from each mixture. Two, rather than eight, segments were screened since only intact wt and var viruses would be present in the mixed virus stocks.

Total virus titers of the wt-var mixtures were determined with at least three replicate plaque assays. Diluted mixtures with titers nearest to those needed for the desired inoculation doses were selected. A fresh aliquot of each mixture was thawed, diluted slightly where necessary to achieve the desired dose, and used to inoculate guinea pigs intranasally.

Genotyping of viral isolates. Virus genotypes were determined by high-resolution melt analysis essentially as described previously (23). Briefly, the following steps were performed. (i) Plaque isolates were obtained by plaque assay of guinea pig nasal wash fluids. (ii) RNA was extracted from agar plugs using the Qiagen QiaAmp viral RNA kit, with the following modifications to the manufacturer’s protocol: carrier RNA was not used, and 40 μl of water was used for the elution step. (iii) Twelve microliters of RNA was reverse transcribed using Maxima reverse transcriptase (Fermentas) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. (iv) cDNA was used as the template in qPCRs with the appropriate primers (23) and Precision Melt Supermix (Bio-Rad) in wells of a white, thin-well, 384-well plate (Bio-Rad). Quantitative PCR and melt analyses were carried out in a CFX384 real-time PCR detection system, in accordance with the instructions provided with the Precision Melt Supermix. The data were analyzed using Precision Melt Analysis software (Bio-Rad). Viruses were scored as reassortant if the genome comprised a mixture of wt and var gene segments in any proportion. Infrequently, unclear results were obtained for one or more gene segments. Isolates with one unclear segment were genotyped based on the remaining seven segments; isolates with >1 unclear segment were discarded from the analysis.

RESULTS

Variation in viral genomic diversity with time after coinfection. The diversity of viral genomes shed from coinfected guinea pigs was determined by genotyping viral isolates derived from nasal washings collected on days 1, 2, 3, and 6 postinfection. Groups of five guinea pigs were used, and three inoculation doses were evaluated. Animals 1 to 5 received a mixture comprising 1 × 10^7 PFU wt virus plus 1 × 10^6 PFU var virus for a total of 2 × 10^6 PFU, animals 6 to 10 received 1 × 10^6 PFU of each virus, and animals 11 to 15 received 1 × 10^5 PFU of each virus. Virus titers and genomic diversity present in nasal washings over the course of infection are presented in Fig. 1.

In the 2 × 10^7 PFU group, one of the five guinea pigs did not become productively infected, most likely because the dose used was near to the 50% infectious dose of Pan/99 virus in guinea pigs (25–27). The nasal washes of the remaining four animals in this group were negative by plaque assay at 1 dpi but positive at 2 to 6 dpi (Fig. 1D). Genotyping of viruses derived from these nasal washes indicated that only one parental virus was present in each case. Guinea pigs 1, 3, and 4 shed only wt virus throughout the time course, whereas guinea pig 2 shed predominantly var virus, with a reassortant containing one or two wt segments detected at each time point (Table 1 and Fig. 2). This highly limited viral diversity indicated that, although a total of 2 × 10^6 PFU was administered intranasally, only a small number of viruses initiated infection in each guinea pig. Thus, inoculation at a low dose revealed a bottleneck effect or a stochastic loss of diversity.

In the 2 × 10^5 PFU group, all animals were infected productively and peak virus titers were observed at 3 dpi (Fig. 1E). Genomic diversity was low 1 day after infection, with most guinea pigs shedding only parental viruses (Table 1 and Fig. 2). A small increase in the frequency of reassortant genotypes was seen at 2
dpm, and a significant increase in diversity was seen by 3 dpi (\(P = 0.015\), Student \(t\) test comparing diversity on d1 and d3) (Fig. 1B). The number of different genotypes present in these animals declined as the virus was cleared, such that wt, var, and/or certain reassortant genotypes were over-represented at 6 dpi (Table 1 and Fig. 2). Thus, when the inoculation dose was sufficient to overcome the bottleneck and initiate infection with both wt and var viruses, genomic diversity generated through reassortment first increased and then decreased with viral spread and clearance, respectively.

**TABLE 1** Variation in the frequency of reassortant progeny over time after infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guinea pig no.</th>
<th>Inoculum dose (PFU)*</th>
<th>Genotypes of virus isolates (%)</th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
<th>Day 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R wt var</td>
<td>R wt var</td>
<td>R wt var</td>
<td>R wt var</td>
<td>R wt var</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^2)</td>
<td>ND ND ND</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^2)</td>
<td>ND ND ND</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^2)</td>
<td>ND ND ND</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^2)</td>
<td>ND ND ND</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^2)</td>
<td>ND ND ND</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td>0 100 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Inocula contained 50% wt and 50% var viruses (n = 36). The total dose for wt + var is indicated.

b n = 18 to 21. R, any reassortant genotype. ND, virus was not detected in nasal washings at these time points (limit of detection = 50 PFU/ml).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d1</th>
<th>d2</th>
<th>d3</th>
<th>d6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Virus not detected</td>
<td>2x10^2 PFU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Virus not detected</td>
<td>2x10^3 PFU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Virus not detected</td>
<td>2x10^6 PFU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Virus not detected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Virus not detected
Guinea pigs coinfected with $2 \times 10^6$ PFU shed high virus titers already at 1 dpi and cleared the infection rapidly, by 4 or 6 dpi (Fig. 1F). This sharp decline in shedding titers was in marked contrast to the more prolonged course seen with $2 \times 10^5$ or $2 \times 10^4$ PFU infections and allowed the analysis of genomic diversity only at 1, 2, and 3 dpi (Fig. 1C). The proportion of reassortant viruses present in nasal washes collected at 1 to 3 dpi was high, ranging from 59 to 65% (Table 1). In addition, the reassortant genotypes identified were not frequently detected multiple times; thus, viral genomic diversity was high throughout the course of shedding in this group of guinea pigs (Fig. 1C and Fig. 2). Nevertheless, in each guinea pig, either the wt or var parental genotype was the predominant genotype detected at all three time points and the proportion of viruses carrying an intact parental gene constellation did not decline over the time course (Table 1). These data demonstrate that a $2 \times 10^6$ PFU dose is sufficient to achieve coinfection of an appreciable proportion of cells early after infection. Conversely, the persistence of intact parental genotypes indicates that uniformly high multiplicity conditions are not achieved in vivo, even following multiple cycles of replication.

Reassortment remains efficient following coinoculation with discordant doses. To test the importance to reassortment efficiency of the relative doses of two incoming viruses, we measured reassortment levels following coinfection of guinea pigs with mixtures containing $\sim 10$-fold more var virus than wt virus. Because we anticipated a reduction in reassortment relative to that seen with 1:1 inocula, we chose to perform this experiment with high total doses of $2 \times 10^6$ or $1 \times 10^5$ PFU. The genotypes of viruses isolated at 2 dpi were determined and are reported in Fig. 3. In contrast to our expectation, discordant doses of wt and var viruses did not decrease the overall level of reassortment in guinea pigs infected with $2 \times 10^6$ PFU ($P = 0.09$ by test comparing day 2 results of guinea pigs 11 to 15 in Table 1 to guinea pigs 6 to 10 in Table 2). Also, at the lower dose of $1 \times 10^5$ PFU, reassortants were detected in all guinea pigs. Two clear effects of discordant doses did emerge: (i) among the parental viruses identified, var outnumbered wt in each animal, and (ii) when all reassortant genotypes identified in a given animal were considered together, var genome segments outnumbered wt genome segments in all but one animal (Table 3). We assessed whether these two measures revealed significant differences between viruses shed from the 1:7 discordantly infected guinea pigs compared to those shed from animals infected at the same dose with a 1:1 mixture of wt and var

---

**TABLE 2 Frequency of reassortment in guinea pigs administered discordant doses of two influenza A viruses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guinea pig no.</th>
<th>Inoculum dose (PFU)$^a$</th>
<th>Input mixture$^b$</th>
<th>Genotypes of virus isolates (%)$^c$ at day 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% wt</td>
<td>% var</td>
<td>R wt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Total for both wt and var viruses.
$^b$n = 39 to 40.
$^c$n = 20 to 21.
viruses. As reported in Table 3, the differences were highly significant for both parameters, indicating that inoculation with a 7-fold greater proportion of var than wt virus resulted in overrepresentation of var parental progeny and enrichment of var gene segments in reassortant viruses. Thus, while the administration of discordant doses of wt and var did not significantly reduce the proportion of progeny that carried a reassortment genome, it did have a marked effect on the type of parental and reassortant genomes formed.

DISCUSSION

Our data indicate that the dynamics of influenza virus reassortment are very much dependent on the dose of the coinfecting viruses.

At a relatively low dose, a population bottleneck was observed. Such a bottleneck could arise due to innate host defenses, including mucus shielding target epithelia and the action of mucociliary clearance. Population bottlenecks are known to be potent factors in determining viral genetic diversity (28, 29). Our data underscore the potential of bottlenecks to limit influenza virus reassortment: clearly, if one or more variant viruses present in a mixed inoculum are lost upon transmission, these variants will not contribute to reassortment in the newly infected individual. Such tight bottlenecks may not, however, be the norm for influenza viruses in nature. For equine and swine influenza viruses transmitting among their natural hosts, bottlenecks have been found to be relatively loose, allowing the transfer between animals of several viral variants (20, 21, 30). The relatively tight bottleneck observed in our experiment is likely a function of dose: the $2 \times 10^2$ PFU used in our study group was near to the 50% infectious dose for guinea pigs. It is unclear what amount of infectious virus is typically transferred between horses or pigs and whether these doses may significantly exceed the minimal infectious dose. Infection studies in humans furthermore suggest that viral infectivity and therefore bottleneck severity may differ with the route of inoculation (or, by extension, the mode of transmission). The human 50% infectious dose of A/Bethesda/10/1963 (H2N2) virus was approximately 0.6 to 3 50% tissue culture infective doses when individuals were exposed to infectious aerosols, but ~10-fold higher when standard intranasal inoculation was used (33, 34).

Another consideration is that looser bottlenecks may occur when an influenza virus is paired with its natural host. However, multiple variants of influenza A virus were found to transmit among guinea pigs, indicating that this species supports a loose bottleneck under conditions of both respiratory droplet and contact transmission (31, 32).

When an intermediate dose of $2 \times 10^2$ PFU was used for co-infection, reassortment was low early after infection, presumably due to a low incidence of coinfected cells. Genomic diversity and shedding titers peaked at the same time point, 3 days after inoculation. Clearance of virus then coincided with a decline in genetic diversity, suggesting a nonhomogenous model of clearance in which the residual virus sampled at 6 days after infection is derived from a small number of infected cells rather than many cells producing a small amount of virus. Importantly, these data suggest that genomic diversity is highest at times when the host is shedding large amounts of virus, and therefore most likely to transmit to contacts (35, 36).

When a high dose of $2 \times 10^5$ PFU of wt and var viruses was administered, reassortant viruses were recovered at high levels throughout the course of shedding. In this group the duration of shedding was brief, however, with titers at or below the limit of detection at 4 dpi. More rapid cessation of shedding, relative to that seen with low-dose inoculations, may be due to more immediate induction of innate immune responses or exhaustion of target cells in the presence of a higher viral load. Under these conditions of rapid clearance, a decline in genomic diversity among residual viruses was not detected. This difference relative to the $2 \times 10^5$ PFU group may be due to a more concerted clearance of virus from the respiratory tract following high dose infection or a lack of sampling at the appropriate time point due to the accelerated course of the $2 \times 10^5$ PFU infections.

Coinfections occurring in nature frequently involve virus mixtures comprising major and minor subpopulations (17, 20). We therefore determined the efficiency of reassortment following coinfection with discordant doses of wt and var viruses. Given a total dose of $2 \times 10^6$ PFU, we found that reassortment was similarly efficient when wt and var viruses were combined in a 1:1 ratio or a 1:17 ratio. Indeed, reassortant viruses were detected in all guinea pigs infected with discordant mixtures of var and wt viruses, both with the $2 \times 10^5$ PFU and the $1 \times 10^5$ PFU doses. However, when discordant doses were used, reassortant progeny viruses comprised a majority of segments from the predominant parental strain, whereas more random mixing was seen with 1:1 coinfections. Thus, at least when coinfecting viruses are of equivalent fitness, an ~10-fold difference in abundance does not prevent their reassortment in vivo but does alter the range of genotypes produced.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio (wt/var), inoculum (PFU)</th>
<th>No. of var parental/total parental isolates&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>No. of var segments/total segments in reassortant isolates&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:12.5, 1 $\times 10^5$</td>
<td>0.89 (P = 0.0026)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:7, 2 $\times 10^6$</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1, 2 $\times 10^6$</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Data are derived from day 2 nasal washes of guinea pigs 11 to 15 shown in Fig. 2 (1:1 group) and the guinea pigs shown in Fig. 3. A Student t test was used to compare guinea pigs inoculated with 1:1 and 1:7 mixtures at the $2 \times 10^5$ PFU dose. The P values are indicated in the respective column headings.

<sup>b</sup> The number of var parental isolates, divided by the sum of wt + var parental isolates, is shown.

<sup>c</sup> The number of var gene segments included in reassortant genomes, divided by the sum of wt + var gene segments in reassortant genomes, is shown.
Our data demonstrate the vast potential for influenza virus reassortment to generate diversity within a single host and indicate that the extent of diversity varies with total dose, relative dose, and time after infection.
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