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Proinflammatory cytokine-induced tight junction remodeling through dynamic self-assembly of claudins

Christopher T. Capaldo, Attila E. Farkas, Roland S. Hilgarth, Susanne M. Krug, Mattie F. Wolf, Jeremy K. Benedik, Michael Fromm, Michael Koval, Charles Parkos, and Asma Nusrat

INTRODUCTION

Tight junctions (TJs) are dynamic, multiprotein intercellular adhesive contacts that provide a vital barrier function in epithelial tissues. TJs are remodeled during physiological development and pathological mucosal inflammation, and differential expression of the claudin family of TJ proteins determines epithelial barrier properties. However, the molecular mechanisms involved in TJ remodeling are incompletely understood. Using acGFP-claudin 4 as a biosensor of TJ remodeling, we observed increased claudin 4 fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) dynamics in response to inflammatory cytokines. Interferon γ and tumor necrosis factor α increased the proportion of mobile claudin 4 in the TJ. Up-regulation of claudin 4 protein rescued these mobility defects and cytokine-induced barrier compromise. Furthermore, claudins 2 and 4 have reciprocal effects on epithelial barrier function, exhibit differential FRAP dynamics, and compete for residency within the TJ. These findings establish a model of TJs as self-assembling systems that undergo remodeling in response to proinflammatory cytokines through a mechanism of heterotypic claudin-binding incompatibility.

ABSTRACT

Tight junctions (TJs) are dynamic, multiprotein intercellular adhesive contacts that provide a vital barrier function in epithelial tissues. TJs are remodeled during physiological development and pathological mucosal inflammation, and differential expression of the claudin family of TJ proteins determines epithelial barrier properties. However, the molecular mechanisms involved in TJ remodeling are incompletely understood. Using acGFP-claudin 4 as a biosensor of TJ remodeling, we observed increased claudin 4 fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) dynamics in response to inflammatory cytokines. Interferon γ and tumor necrosis factor α increased the proportion of mobile claudin 4 in the TJ. Up-regulation of claudin 4 protein rescued these mobility defects and cytokine-induced barrier compromise. Furthermore, claudins 2 and 4 have reciprocal effects on epithelial barrier function, exhibit differential FRAP dynamics, and compete for residency within the TJ. These findings establish a model of TJs as self-assembling systems that undergo remodeling in response to proinflammatory cytokines through a mechanism of heterotypic claudin-binding incompatibility.
RESULTS
Proinflammatory cytokines IFN-γ and TNF-α increase claudin 4 TJ dynamics
To investigate mechanisms by which proinflammatory cytokines IFN-γ and TNF-α compromise IEC TJs, we used the fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) technique to analyze the dynamic behavior of claudin 4 in TJs (Sasaki et al., 2003; Shen et al., 2008; Yamazaki et al., 2011). We generated an N-terminal–tagged fluorescent claudin 4 fusion construct using Aequorea coerulescens green fluorescent protein (acGFP), due to its photostability, brightness, and monomeric properties (Shen et al., 2008; Raleigh et al., 2011). Custom expression vectors were also designed, as conventional vectors contain viral promoter elements that increase activity in response to cytokines (Kline et al., 1998; Gilham et al., 2010). Four nuclear factor κB (NFκB) cis-elements were mutated within a cytomegalovirus promoter sequence, thereby ablating cytokine-responsive stimulation of protein expression (pRoI; Supplemental Figure S1, a and b). This construct was stably expressed in the model intestinal epithelial cell line Caco-2 BBE and used in subsequent experiments unless otherwise indicated. AcGFP-claudin 4 expression and TJ targeting were then confirmed by confocal microscopy (Figure 1a).

As shown in Figure 1a, acGFP-claudin 4 is targeted to cell contacts and colocalizes with the TJ plaque protein ZO-1. Both cell–cell contact and ZO-1/acGFP-claudin 4 colocalization were maintained after 48-h treatment with the proinflammatory cytokines IFN-γ/TNF-α, subsequently referred to as cytokine treatment (Figure 1a). Despite the maintenance of TJ integrity, Caco-2 BBE barrier function was compromised in cytokine-treated epithelial cells (Figure 1b). IEC barrier function was measured by transepithelial electrical resistance (TER), and a significant reduction in barrier function was found after cytokine exposure. Consistent with previous reports, expression of claudin 4 enhanced IEC barrier function under baseline conditions (Van Itallie et al., 2001). Surprisingly, expression of exogenous resulting in increased paracellular permeability (Capaldo and Nusrat, 2009). However, the molecular mechanisms that drive TJ reorganization in such inflammatory states are not well understood. Intestinal epithelial cells differentially express claudin protein family members along crypt luminal axis. Claudin 4 is expressed in luminal epithelial cells, which have a tight barrier, whereas basal crypt epithelial cells express claudin 2 (Rahner et al., 2001). Such claudin protein gradients are perturbed in compromised epithelial barriers during mucosal inflammation. For example, claudin 2 is increased during mucosal inflammation and confers a leaky junction phenotype, whereas claudin 4 has been reported to be reduced and promotes a tight barrier (Prasad et al., 2005; Zeissig et al., 2007; Wray et al., 2009; Mitchel et al., 2011). To study the mechanisms of proinflammatory cytokine-mediated compromise of TJs, we focused on a TJ claudin protein family member, claudin 4. Claudin 4 was selected because its expression correlates with mature TJs and tight tissue barriers. Furthermore, mucosal inflammation is associated with decreased claudin 4 (Prasad et al., 2005; Hering et al., 2012). We therefore hypothesized that cytokine-induced TJ remodeling is associated with increased claudin 4 dynamics at the TJ.

To test this hypothesis, we generated model intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) stably expressing Aequorea coerulescens green fluorescent protein (acGFP)–claudin 4 and assessed claudin 4 mobility by fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) as a biosensor of TJ remodeling. Although previous studies investigated the dynamics of TJ proteins by FRAP, the relationship between epithelial TJ structure/function, TJ protein kinetics, and remodeling during mucosal inflammation is not understood (Sasaki et al., 2003; Shen et al., 2008, 2011; Piontek et al., 2011; Yamazaki et al., 2011). In this study we analyzed the influence of interferon γ (IFN-γ) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), proinflammatory cytokines that are elevated in inflamed mucosal tissues (Mankertz and Schulzke, 2007). By FRAP analysis, IFN-γ/TNF-α increased the mobile fraction of TJs localized acGFP-claudin 4, yet cytokine signaling did not alter acGFP-claudin 4 mobile pool exchange dynamics. Simultaneous up-regulation of claudin 4 protein levels during IFN-γ/TNF-α treatment stabilized the immobile claudin 4 subpool, suppressed claudin 2 levels, and rescued IEC barrier defects. In contrast to claudin 4 dynamics, the pore-forming claudin 2 were decreased after cytokine treatment, showing claudin isoform–specific sensitivity. From our data we conclude that proinflammatory cytokines remodel TJs, and contribute to epithelial barrier dysfunction, by decreasing assembly of claudin 4 into TJs.
Cytokines act to decrease TJ-integrated immobile claudin 4

To investigate mechanisms that regulate the partitioning of claudin 4 into mobile/immobile FRAP subpools, we explored the nature of these subpools in greater detail. We speculated that an increase in mobile claudin 4, without a change in immobile claudin 4, would be reflected in an increase in total protein levels. However, an assessment of claudin 4 protein levels by Western blot revealed a decrease in total endogenous claudin 4 after cytokine treatment (Figure 3a and Supplemental Figure S4). This finding would suggest that cytokine-induced mobility changes are due in part to decreased immobile claudin 4. Recent reports on TJ protein dynamics proposed that the immobile fraction (f) represents claudin protein that is stably integrated into the TJ; conversely, mobile fraction (M) subpools are peripheral to the junction (Shen et al., 2008). To test this possibility directly, we analyzed claudin 4 mobility after inhibition of protein synthesis, which we hypothesized would result in reduced mobile claudin 4. Endogenous claudin 4 protein degradation kinetics was evaluated after protein synthesis inhibition with cycloheximide. As shown in Figure 3b, endogenous claudin 4 protein is rapidly degraded to ~50% of initial levels, (2–4 h), after which protein levels stabilize. Similar results were obtained for claudin 7, whereas claudin 2 degrades to ~10% of initial levels (Supplemental Figure S3). In contrast, cyclin D1 degrades completely and rapidly, with a half-life of 35 ± 7min. Exogenously expressed claudin 4 exhibited similar degradation kinetics (Figure 3c). Of interest, IEC barrier properties, as measured by TER, are not perturbed by cycloheximide treatment. Indeed, a significant portion of cellular claudin does not appear to be required for barrier maintenance (Figure 3d and Supplemental Figure S1). Indeed, kymograph analysis of bleached TJs did not show evidence of planar claudin 4 mobility in either condition, indicating that fluorescence recovery proceeds from a lateral plasma membrane subpool (Supplemental Figure S2a; see later discussion of Figure 8).

Claudin 4 shows limited mobility within the junction under control conditions, with a low proportion of mobile claudin 4 (Mf, Figure 2c, Supplemental Table S1). However, cytokine treatment induced a significant increase in the proportion of mobile claudin 4. Of interest, no significant difference in t½f could be determined between nontreated control and cytokine-exposed IECs (Figure 2d). Complementary results were obtained using an additional model IEC cell line, SKCO15, which exhibited a higher t½f than Caco-2 BBES, indicating slower recovery rates. However, we determined dramatic alterations in SKCO15 claudin 4 mobile/immobile pool proportions and no determinable change in mobile pool t½f values between control and cytokine-treated cultures. Unlike Caco-2 cells, SKCO15 cells respond to IFN-γ in the baseline state (Chavez et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2005). Indeed, in SKCO15 cells, treatment with either cytokine alone was sufficient to increase the mobile pool of claudin 4 within the TJ (Supplemental Figure S2b). Whereas we observed cell type variability in t½f between Caco-2 and SKCO15 cells, the t½f of mobile pool exchange in response to cytokine treatment was similar to controls in both model intestinal epithelial cell lines (Supplemental Figure S2, c and d). In agreement with our Caco-2 BBE data, planar diffusion was not observed under these experimental conditions (Supplemental Figure S2a). The foregoing data indicate that cytokine exposure alters the proportion of immobile/mobile claudin 4 within the TJ but does not alter the rate of mobile pool exchange. On the basis of these findings, we focused on understanding the nature of cytokine-induced changes to the claudin 4 immobile/mobile fraction, which could be due to 1) an increase in mobile claudin 4, 2) a decrease in immobile claudin 4, or 3) a combination of these two events.

Cytokine exposure decreases the ratio of immobile to mobile claudin 4

To explore mechanisms of cytokine-induced increases in claudin 4 mobility, we recorded photobleached TJ regions of interest (ROIs) for pixel intensity over the extent of the experiment and plotted them as shown in Figure 2a. Mathematical modeling and nonlinear regression analysis were then performed. Half-time of recovery (t½f) and maximum recovery plateau (Imax, dashed line) of the resulting pixel intensity profiles are illustrated in Figure 2b. Pixel intensity maximum recovery values were then used to calculate the proportion of mobile (recovered subpool, Mf) and immobile (nonrecovered subpool, If) acGFP-claudin 4 within the TJ in both the baseline state and after cytokine exposure (Figure 2c). Similar to previous studies of claudin mobility (Raleigh et al., 2011), claudin 4 ameliorated cytokine-induced barrier loss (Figure 1b). The above findings show that acGFP-claudin 4 is targeted to TJ structures and acts to regulate barrier function. To assess the effects of cytokine stimulation on claudin 4 dynamics, we treated acGFP-claudin 4–expressing Caco-2 BBE cells with IFN-γ/TNF-α for 48 h, after which we assessed claudin 4 mobility by live-cell confocal microscopy and FRAP (Figure 1c). We observed increased claudin 4 fluorescence recovery in cytokine-treated cells as compared with nontreated controls, indicating cytokine-induced changes in claudin 4 protein dynamics. However, this was not due to increased diffusion of claudin 4 within the plane of the junction (planar diffusion; Supplemental Video S1). Indeed, kymograph analysis of bleached TJs did not show evidence of planar claudin 4 mobility in either condition, indicating that fluorescence recovery proceeds from a lateral plasma membrane subpool (Supplemental Figure S2a; see later discussion of Figure 8).

Cytokine exposure decreases the ratio of immobile to mobile claudin 4

To explore mechanisms of cytokine-induced increases in claudin 4 mobility, we recorded photobleached TJ regions of interest (ROIs) for pixel intensity over the extent of the experiment and plotted them as shown in Figure 2a. Mathematical modeling and nonlinear regression analysis were then performed. Half-time of recovery (t½f) and maximum recovery plateau (Imax, dashed line) of the resulting pixel intensity profiles are illustrated in Figure 2b. Pixel intensity maximum recovery values were then used to calculate the proportion of mobile (recovered subpool, Mf) and immobile (nonrecovered subpool, If) acGFP-claudin 4 within the TJ in both the baseline state and after cytokine exposure (Figure 2c). Similar to previous studies of claudin mobility (Raleigh et al., 2011), claudin 4 shows limited mobility within the junction under control conditions, with a low proportion of mobile claudin 4 (Mf, Figure 2c, Supplemental Table S1). However, cytokine treatment induced a significant increase in the proportion of mobile claudin 4. Of interest, no significant difference in t½f could be determined between nontreated control and cytokine-exposed IECs (Figure 2d). Complementary results were obtained using an additional model IEC cell line, SKCO15, which exhibited a higher t½f than Caco-2 BBES, indicating slower recovery rates. However, we determined dramatic alterations in SKCO15 claudin 4 mobile/immobile pool proportions and no determinable change in mobile pool t½f values between control and cytokine-treated cultures. Unlike Caco-2 cells, SKCO15 cells respond to IFN-γ in the baseline state (Chavez et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2005). Indeed, in SKCO15 cells, treatment with either cytokine alone was sufficient to increase the mobile pool of claudin 4 within the TJ (Supplemental Figure S2b). Whereas we observed cell type variability in t½f between Caco-2 and SKCO15 cells, the t½f of mobile pool exchange in response to cytokine treatment was similar to controls in both model intestinal epithelial cell lines (Supplemental Figure S2, c and d). In agreement with our Caco-2 BBE data, planar diffusion was not observed under these experimental conditions (Supplemental Figure S2a). The foregoing data indicate that cytokine exposure alters the proportion of immobile/mobile claudin 4 within the TJ but does not alter the rate of mobile pool exchange. On the basis of these findings, we focused on understanding the nature of cytokine-induced changes to the claudin 4 immobile/mobile fraction, which could be due to 1) an increase in mobile claudin 4, 2) a decrease in immobile claudin 4, or 3) a combination of these two events.
highly stable claudin 4 subpool that is sufficient to maintain IEC barrier function. However, we did not observe cytokine-induced changes in claudin 4 solubility (Supplemental Figure S3c). We next subjected cycloheximide-treated cells to FRAP analysis. Cycloheximide-treated IECs were dramatically depleted of mobile acGFP-claudin 4 fraction (Figure 3). Similarly, pretreatment with cytokines contributed to a further decrease in mobile claudin 4. We conclude that the barrier-forming, highly-stable claudin 4 subpool represents the FRAP immobile claudin 4 pool. Conversely, mobile claudin 4 has low stability and does not contribute to barrier function. Therefore, cytokine treatment reduces the proportion of claudin 4 in the immobile fraction.

Tight junctions are required for cytokine-induced changes in claudin 4 dynamics

The foregoing data show that IFN-γ/TNF-α alter integration of claudin 4 into TJs. Thus our model predicts that cytokine treatment would not affect acGFP-claudin 4 mobility in the absence of organized TJs. To test this hypothesis, we incubated IECs overnight in LCM containing low calcium (LCM), which resulted in TJ disassembly, disruption of cell-cell contacts, and loss of epithelial barrier function (Figure 4a). Cells cultured in LCM retained acGFP-claudin 4 within the plasma membrane, where it colocalized with F-actin. Cells in LCM were responsive to cytokine treatment, as shown by increased guanylate binding protein 1 (GBP-1) expression (Figure 4b). Monitoring GBP-1 expression served as a positive control for cytokine response (Capaldo et al., 2012). LCM IECs were then subjected to FRAP, both with and without cytokine treatment. As shown in Figure 4C, claudin 4 mobility was unaffected by cytokine exposure in the absence of TJs. Indeed, no significant differences were observed in either the proportion of mobile claudin 4 or the half-life of recovery from bleaching (Figure 4, c and d). acGFP-claudin 4 was transfected into Chinese hamster ovary cells and HeLa cells, which lack TJs even when cultured in normal calcium growth medium. In agreement with the foregoing findings, claudin 4 membrane dynamics in Chinese hamster ovary cells and HeLa cells was similar to that of IECs in LCM (Figure 4, c and d). Taking the results together, we conclude that the mobile claudin 4 subpool has low stability, does not participate in barrier-forming TJs, and has protein dynamics that is not regulated by cytokine signaling. Conversely, FRAP immobile fraction represents claudin 4 that is stably integrated into TJ structures. These observations support a model in which IFN-γ/TNF-α compromises IEC barrier function by decreasing the amount of immobile claudin 4 in the TJ.

Increased claudin 4 protein levels rescue cytokine-induced changes in FRAP dynamics

Cytokine exposure is known to disrupt TJ strand architecture. For example, airway epithelial cells have a decrease in TJ depth and strand number after exposure to proinflammatory cytokines (Coyne et al., 2002). Similar results were found in rat parotid Par-C10 and HT-29/B6 epithelial cells (Schmitz et al., 1999b, Baker et al., 2005). To investigate the role of claudin 4 protein levels in these processes, we assessed claudin protein dynamics using Caco-2 BBE cells stably...
investigated the effects of increased claudin 4 protein levels on cytokine-induced barrier loss. Claudin 2 and 4 competition contributes to this regulation of exogenous protein expression was found after cytokine treatment (asterisk in Figure 6, c and d). We then analyzed claudin 2 protein levels rescued cytokine-induced change in claudin 4 mobility by FRAP revealed that increased acGFP-claudin 4 protein levels rescued cytokine-induced change in claudin 4 mobility (Figure 5b). Of interest, the t1/2 of claudin 4 recovery was substantially slower than under control conditions (Supplemental Table S1). These findings are in sharp contrast to those in Figure 2, where IFN-γ/TNF-α treatment increased the proportion of mobile claudin 4. We conclude that IFN-γ/TNF-α regulation of acGFP-claudin 4 FRAP dynamics is dependent on claudin 4 protein levels, particularly in directing the proportion of claudin 4 that is integrated into stable TJ.

Claudin 2 and 4 competition contributes to cytokine-induced barrier loss

Because claudin 4 protein levels play a role in TJ dynamics, we next investigated the effects of increased claudin 4 protein levels on cellular claudin protein composition. Cellular claudin composition was assessed under control and cytokine-treated conditions by Western blotting (Figure 6a and Supplemental Figure S4). Previous reports in canine kidney epithelial cells indicate that exogenous expression of claudin 2 is sufficient to decrease both epithelial barrier function and claudin 4 protein levels (Furuse et al., 2001). Furthermore, in HT-29 cells, claudin 4 function has been implicated in rescuing IFN-γ-induced barrier loss (Hering et al., 2011). On the basis of these reports, as well as on our findings, we explored in greater detail the relationship between claudin 2 and 4 protein levels and barrier function. Consistent with previous reports, we identified a reciprocal relationship between the protein levels of claudin 2 and 4, with cytokine-induced increases in claudin 2 and commensurate decreases in claudin 4 (Figure 4a and Supplemental Figure S1). A reciprocal regulation of exogenous protein expression was found after cytokine treatment (asterisk) is induced by cytokine treatment in pCMV-acGFP-claudin 4 cells. (b) Densitometric analysis of relative claudin 4 overexpression (exogenous/endogenous). (c) FRAP analysis shows decreased mobile claudin 4 in cells with inducible claudin 4 expression (SEM, n = 4; *p < 0.05, pCMV IFN-γ/TNF-α vs. control; ***p < 0.01, pRol IFN-γ/TNF-α vs. control).

FIGURE 4: TJs are required for cytokine-induced changes in claudin 4 dynamics. (a) Immunofluorescence imaging of SKCO15 acGFP-claudin 4 and actin, demonstrating colocalization under both normal and low-calcium cell culture conditions. Cell–cell contacts are lost in low-calcium conditions, for which cells were subjected to FRAP (ROI, white box, inset; bar, 10 μm). (b) Cytokine signaling is maintained under low-calcium conditions, as demonstrated by high GBP-1 protein levels. (c) SKCO15 FRAP analysis of acGFP-claudin 4 mobility in cells, low-calcium medium. No change in mobility is seen due to IFN-γ/TNF-α stimulation. (d) Mobile fraction and half-time of recovery for Caco-2 BBE and SKCO15 in low-calcium medium, as well as for cells that do not form TJs, HeLa and Chinese hamster ovary cells.

FIGURE 5: Induction of acGFP-claudin 4 expression rescues IFN-γ/TNF-α-induced increases in FRAP dynamics. (a) Western blot analysis of Caco-2 BBE parental cells or cells stably transfected with either pCMV-acGFP-claudin 4 (pCMV) or pRol-acGFP-claudin 4 (pRol). Exogenous acGFP-claudin 4 expression (asterisk) is induced by cytokine treatment in pCMV-acGFP-claudin 4 cells. (b) Densitometric analysis of relative claudin 4 overexpression (exogenous/endogenous). (c) FRAP analysis shows decreased mobile claudin 4 in cells with inducible claudin 4 expression (SEM, n = 4; *p < 0.05, pCMV IFN-γ/TNF-α vs. control; ***p < 0.01, pRol IFN-γ/TNF-α vs. control).
changes in cellular claudin composition correlated with a progressive resistance to cytokine-induced barrier loss, with high claudin 4–expressing cells showing limited barrier loss (Figure 6f). Given the influence of claudin composition on barrier function, we investigated the dynamics of claudin 2 after cytokine treatment. IECs expressing tagRFP-claudin 2 were subjected to FRAP in either nontreated conditions or after 48 h of cytokine treatment (Figure 6g). Of interest, tagRFP-claudin 2 HeLa cells, when cocultured with acGFP-claudin 4 cells, exhibit a dramatic redistribution of claudin 2 into an intracellular compartment. Consistent with our earlier observations, analysis of heterotypic cell–cell interactions between claudin 2 and 4 cells failed to show claudin accumulation at cell contacts. However, abundant colocalization of claudins 2 and 4 was observed in HeLa cells expressing claudins 2 and 4 in the same cells (Figure 7b). In contrast to our findings in IECs, claudin 2 expressed in HeLa cells exhibited planar diffusion, as shown by kymographic analysis (Figure 7c). This likely indicates that these claudins do not form TJs in HeLa cells. Together these findings show that claudins 2 and 4 are incompatible trans-binding partners and interact poorly between adjacent cells.

Heterotypic claudin 2/4 incompatibility presents a mechanism for both cytokine-induced increases in claudin 4 mobility and reduced barrier function; namely, that claudins 2 and 4 compete for TJ integration. Because the foregoing studies were performed in model intestinal epithelial cell lines, we analyzed the relationship of these proteins in primary intestinal epithelial cell cultures. Murine small intestinal epithelial cells were isolated and expanded ex vivo into primary enteroid cultures in a three-dimensional matrix, where they develop as polarized epithelial cysts (Figure 7d). Enteroids under these conditions are similar to intestinal crypt base cells, which express claudin 2 but not claudin 4 protein (Figure 7, d and e). Lentiviral transduction of acGFP-claudin 4 was used to induce its expression in enteroids. As shown in Figure 7,
Inflammatory bowel diseases, bronchial inflammation in asthma and contributes to a multitude of pathological conditions, including in the intestinal mucosa, coincident with high levels of the proinflammatory cytokines IFN-γ and TNF-α (Nakamura et al., 1992; Mankertz and Schulze, 2007). The pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease is multifactorial and not well understood. Several lines of evidence implicate a failure to maintain TJ-based paracellular barriers under inflammatory conditions as an important contributing factor to chronic mucosal inflammation and continued epithelial damage (Schmitz et al., 1999a; Laukoetter et al., 2007; Xavier and Podolsky, 2007). Of importance, proinflammatory cytokine exposure of epithelial cells is sufficient to effect changes in TJ claudin composition and barrier function (Capaldo and Nusrat, 2009).

To investigate the molecular mechanisms that underlie TJ remodeling during proinflammatory cytokine exposure, we generated IECs stably expressing acGFP-claudin 4, which serves as a marker of TJ remodeling. We chose to investigate claudin 4 dynamics because it is expressed in TJs of differentiated intestinal epithelial cells, which exhibit tight barrier properties. Furthermore, decreased claudin 4 has been observed in inflamed mucosa (Oshima et al., 2008). Our studies indicate that the proinflammatory cytokines IFN-γ/TNF-α act to regulate TJ integrity by altering the proportional distribution of claudin 4 between mobile and barrier-forming immobile TJ-associated subpools (Figure 8). We contend that this is through competition with claudin 2 for residency within the immobile TJ fraction. Claudin 4 protein levels appear to be key determinants of protein dynamics, barrier function, and cytokine sensitivity. However, it is interesting to note that claudin 4 was previously shown to be heterobinding, incompatible with a number of claudins, including 1, 3, and 5 (Daugherty et al., 2007). This is consistent with our findings in Supplemental Figure S4. Therefore our findings may reflect claudin 4 competition with a number of claudin isoforms. This mechanism should be contrasted with cytokine-induced TJ restructuring, such as internalization of TJ constituents, which occurs after extended cytokine exposure (Bruewer et al., 2003). Taken together, the foregoing findings show that cytokine-induced TJ remodeling results in decreased claudin 4 assembly into TJs that is mediated by incompatibility of claudin isoforms (Figure 8). In the event of increased claudin 2 expression, claudin 4 is excluded from the TJ. Owing to the pore-forming capability of claudin 2, the result is lowered TJ barrier function.

Several studies investigated the dynamics of TJ proteins in live cells by FRAP (Sasaki et al., 2003; Shen et al., 2008; Yamazaki et al., 2011). Our study addressed the relationship between TJ structure/function and TJ protein kinetics. In SF7 cells that lack TJs, expression...
of select claudin isoforms produce TJ strands, resulting in unique isoform-specific stand architecture (Yamazaki et al., 2011). These features are complex, and cell type–specific FRAP dynamics were observed for many of the claudin isoforms that have been examined (Yamazaki et al., 2011). Therefore, the ultimate determinant of tight junction strand organization and barrier function is likely dependent on the complement of claudins within the cell. To discern mechanisms of IFN-γ/TNF-α-mediated compromise of IEC barrier function, we investigated the nature of FRAP-defined claudin 4 immobile and mobile fractions. Of importance, we did not detect a claudin 4 immobile fraction in TJ-free cell culture systems. These cells fail to form barriers, as determined by TBM measurement, and we observed a negative correlation between claudin 4 mobile fraction value and TER. In addition, protein stability assays point to a highly stable subpool of claudin proteins that are sufficient for barrier maintenance. From these data we contend that the FRAP immobile fraction represents TJ-integrated claudin 4, whereas mobile claudin 4 is not TJ associated and does not participate in IEC barrier function. Of importance, exchange between the mobile and immobile subpools was not detected by FRAP at the time scales tested, and further studies will be required to elucidate immobile pool dynamics. However, our study indicates that IFN-γ/TNF-α reduces immobile claudin 4 without influencing its mobile pool dynamics. This is in contrast to the TJ protein occludin, whose mobility in the presence of TNF-α increases without a significant change in the mobile/immobile fraction distribution (Buschmann et al., 2013). Unlike claudin 4, analysis of the pore-forming claudin 2 FRAP mobility revealed that cytokine treatment decreases claudin 2 mobile pool dynamics. These findings suggest that cytokines have differential effects on mobility of claudin proteins in TJs.

Current theories concerning the molecular mechanisms of cytokine-mediated TJ compromise include disruption of Rho/MLC-dependent actin contractility and claudin exchange (Capaldo and Nusrat, 2009). Recent studies of TJ protein FRAP dynamics suggest a close interplay between actin tension and TJ mobility (Yu et al., 2010). Actin tension also plays a significant role in cytokine-induced and subsequent TJ strand incorporation. In theory, by increasing protein levels of claudin 4 in cytokine-treated cells, we are enhancing the probability of forming claudin 4 homotypic interactions. Finally, HeLa cell FRAP studies show planar diffusion of acGFP-claudin 4 and similar mobile pool dynamics to that observed in TJ-free systems such as calcium-switch FRAP assays. These may indicate that claudin 2/4 incompatibility occurs at some point before TJ assembly.

Taken together, our findings show that TJ remodeling by proinflammatory cytokines acts through decreased long-term kinetics of claudin 4 assembly into TJs, thereby impairing IEC barrier function. In conclusion, TJ remodeling occurs after cytokine exposure through mechanisms of heterotypic 2/4 claudin incompatibility. Claudin sorting at the TJ by heterobinding preferences is consistent with the concept of TJs as self-assembling systems.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**FRAP microscopy**

FRAP was performed on fluorescent claudin–expressing cells (with or without IFN-γ/TNF-α treatment, 100 U/ml/10 ng/ml, 48 h) using a Nikon A1R confocal microscope with temperature control/CO2 chamber stage (60x oil lens, numerical aperture 1.4). ROI pixel intensities were recorded with NIS-Elements AR 4.0 software (Nikon, Melville, NY). FRAP conditions used in the analysis include 1) FRAP of TJ between adjacent claudin-expressing cells, 2) 4-s bleach, 3) 80 ± 10% photobleach of initial intensity, 4) maintenance of z-plane resolution throughout assay, 5) correction for bleaching due to imaging conditions, and 6) adjustment of recovery maxima to estimate the fraction of total fluorophore bleached. Data were analyzed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

**In silico TJ modeling**

Mathematical modeling of FRAP pixel intensity was performed using Prism (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA). FRAP was modeled as follows: pixel intensity $I_t = \left( I_0 - I_{\text{max}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{t}{t_{1/2}} \right) + I_{\text{max}}$. FRAP pixel intensities were fitted with the following functions: 1) IFN-γ/TNF-α-induced TJ restructuring (Wang et al., 2005; Capaldo and Nusrat, 2009; Ivanov et al., 2009). Although we have not tested a role for actin contractility in the regulation of claudin 4 mobility, our data suggest a prominent role for claudin exchange in inflammation-induced TJ dysfunction. Of interest, depletion of claudin 4 by siRNA results in a striking increase in claudin 2. Complementary findings indicate that exogenous expression of claudin 4 decreases claudin 2 levels. Conversely, IFN-γ/TNF-α treatment results in an increase in claudin 2 and a decrease in claudin 4. These findings lead us to conclude that, in Caco-2 BBE cells, balanced claudin 2/4 protein expression is required to maintain TJ barrier homeostasis. Previous studies described protein–protein interaction preferences by claudin isoforms. Indeed, our studies show that, in HeLa cells, claudin 2 does not accumulate with claudin 4 at TJs. We contend that this is due to incompatible extracellular heterotypic interactions. Claudin 4 has only been shown to exhibit homotypic interactions. In addition, incompatibility in trans-binding regulates subpool partitioning.
ι (fraction that is stable in the TJ) = 1 – M, respectively. The FRAP model was applied after time t₀, and postbleach intensities (ι₀) were set as baseline. Best-fit curves were generated for experimental and control samples and evaluated by goodness-of-fit analysis. Half-life of recovery (τ₁/₂) and Ιₚ₅₀ values were then determined by nonlinear regression analysis.

**Stable cell lines**
Cells were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). IECs with pCMV-acGFP-claudin 4 cells were a gift from David Lo, University of California, Riverside. Claudin-expressing vectors were linearized, transfected into various cell lines (SKCO15, Caco-2 BBE, HeLa, Chinese hamster ovary), and cultured in selection media. GFP-expressing cells are sorted at the Emory University Cell-Sorting Facility.

**Primary murine IEC enteroid cultures**
Mouse intestine (jejunum) was dissected and flushed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and transferred to chelation buffer (2 mM EDTA, PBS) for 30 min. The intestine was shaken to remove crypt cells and then incubated in PBS with 43 mM sucrose and 55 mM sorbitol and filtered through a 70-μm filter. Crypts were resuspended in Matrigel (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA) containing 50 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), 100 ng/ml Noggin (R&D Systems), and 500 ng/ml R-spondin (R&D Systems). Crypts were then incubated in DMEM/F12 with N2 and B27 supplement (R&D Systems, Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). All experimental protocols used male C57BL/6 mice (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME). All procedures using animals were reviewed and approved by the Emory University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and were performed according to National Institutes of Health criteria.

**Freeze-fracture electron microscopy**
In a blinded study, freeze-fracture electron microscopy was performed on cells grown on permeable supports that were fixed with phosphate-buffered glutaraldehyde (2%). Preparations were incubated in 10% (vol/vol) and then in 30% (vol/vol) glycerol and finally frozen in liquid nitrogen–cooled Freon 22. Cells were frac

**Immunofluorescence staining and microscopy**
Cells were fixed in 3.7% paraformaldehyde or 100% methanol for 20 min. Primary antibody reactions were performed in Hank’s balanced salt solution (+/−) with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 1 h. Secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 546 conjugated) were incubated in 3% BSA and for 45 min. Nuclei were detected with TOPRO-3. Confocal microscopy was performed using a Zeiss LSM 510 microscope (Carl Zeiss).

**Western blot analysis**
Cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 10% glycerol, 2% SDS) supplemented with phosphatase inhibitor cocktails I and II and protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich), incubated at 60°C for 30 min, and subjected to SDS-PAGE. Primary antibodies were incubated for 1 h at room temperature, followed by a 3× wash with TTBS (0.1% Tween 20, 50 mM Tris-Cl, and 150 mM NaCl). HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were incubated at room temperature for 45 min. TX-100 extraction was performed as previously described (Bruwer et al., 2003). Insoluble fractions were then processed as described earlier.

**Transepithelial electrical resistance**
IECs were grown on permeable supports, and monolayers were monitored for electrical resistance using an epithelial volt-ohmmeter (EVOM/EndOhm; World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL).

** Constructs**
Lentivirus was produced in HEK293TLA cells by transfection of pLex-acGFP-claudin 4 or pLex-acGFP with viral packaging vector pSPAX2 and pMD2.G. Virus was harvested after 48 h and concentrated by ultracentrifugation at 83,000 × g for 2 h and titered as described (Salmon and Trono, 2006). Site-directed mutagenesis was conducted on pDNA3.1 hygro as described previously (Gustems et al., 2006).

**Statistics**
Statistics was performed as indicated. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) included Bonferroni posttest, Student’s t-test, or Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test as indicated. Error is reported as SEM.
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