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Klf5 Deletion Promotes Pten Deletion–Initiated Luminal-Type Mouse Prostate Tumors through Multiple Oncogenic Signaling Pathways

Abstract
Krüppel-like factor 5 (KLF5) regulates multiple biologic processes. Its function in tumorigenesis appears contradictory though, showing both tumor suppressor and tumor promoting activities. In this study, we examined whether and how Klf5 functions in prostatic tumorigenesis using mice with prostate-specific deletion of Klf5 and phosphatase and tensin homolog (Pten), both of which are frequently inactivated in human prostate cancer. Histologic analysis demonstrated that when one Pten allele was deleted, which causes mouse prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (mPIN), Klf5 deletion accelerated the emergence and progression of mPIN. When both Pten alleles were deleted, which causes prostate cancer, Klf5 deletion promoted tumor growth, increased cell proliferation, and caused more severe morphologic and molecular alterations. Homozygous deletion of Klf5 was more effective than hemizygous deletion. Unexpectedly, while Pten deletion alone expanded basal cell population in a tumor as reported, Klf5 deletion in the Pten-null background clearly reduced basal cell population while expanding luminal cell population. Global gene expression profiling, pathway analysis, and experimental validation indicate that multiple mechanisms could mediate the tumor-promoting effect of Klf5 deletion, including the up-regulation of epidermal growth factor and its downstream signaling molecules AKT and ERK and the inactivation of the p15 cell cycle inhibitor. KLF5 also appears to cooperate with several transcription factors, including CREB1, Sp1, Myc, ER and AR, to regulate gene expression. These findings validate the tumor suppressor function of KLF5. They also yield a mouse model that shares two common genetic alterations with human prostate cancer—mutation/deletion of Pten and deletion of Klf5.

Neoplasia (2014) 16, 883–899

Introduction
Prostate cancer is a common malignancy and a leading cause of cancer-related deaths. As in many other types of cancers, prostatic carcinogenesis is a multistep process that results from the accumulation of multiple genetic and epigenetic alterations. Identification and functional characterization of the cancer-causing alterations provides important molecular insights into how a cancer forms and improves cancer detection and treatment. While a number
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of genes have been identified with genetic/epigenetic alterations and causal roles in cancer, more such genes remain to be discovered and functionally examined. Chromosomal deletion is one of the most common genetic alterations in human cancer, and one common consequence of chromosomal deletion is the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes during carcinogenesis [1]. In prostate cancer, a number of commonly deleted chromosomal regions have been mapped, and one or more tumor suppressor genes have been identified for some of the commonly deleted regions, including phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) from 10q22, NKX3-1 from 8p21, and ATRFi from 16q22 [1-4]. Key evidence for the tumor suppressor activity of a gene is the induction of neoplastic alteration after its deletion in mice, as seen for a number of tumor suppressor genes including Pten, Nkx3-1, p53, Rb, and Smad4 [5-8].

Krüppel-like factor 5 (KLF5), also known as BTEB2, encoding a basic transcription factor and located at the q21 band of human chromosome 13 (13q21), centers the second most frequently deleted chromosomal region in human prostate cancer, as revealed by comparative genomic hybridization studies [1,9,10]. The deletion is exclusively hemizygous, causing the haploinsufficiency of KLF5 during cancer development [10-12]. In addition, KLF5 protein is degraded by the ubiquitin proteasome pathway, and one E3 ubiquitin ligase that degrades KLF5, WWPI, is amplified and overexpressed in human prostate and breast cancers, causing excessive protein degradation and functional insufficiency of KLF5 [13-15]. These findings indicate that KLF5 is frequently inactivated during human carcinogenesis and thus could be a tumor suppressor gene, and some functional studies indeed support a tumor suppressor function of KLF5. For example, KLF5 can inhibit the proliferation of epithelial cells including some cancer cell lines in culture [10,11,16,17] and suppresses the tumorigenesis of human prostate cancer cell lines in nude mice [18]. However, KLF5 has also been demonstrated to promote the tumorigenesis of a bladder cancer cell line in a xenograft model [19] and mediate or be necessary for intestinal tumorigenesis induced by other oncogenic events in genetically modified mice [20-23].

Our recent study indicates that KLF5 indeed can be both tumor suppressor function of KLF5. For example, KLF5 can inhibit the proliferation of epithelial cells including some cancer cell lines in culture [10,11,16,17] and suppresses the tumorigenesis of human prostate cancer cell lines in nude mice [18]. However, KLF5 has also been demonstrated to promote the tumorigenesis of a bladder cancer cell line in a xenograft model [19] and mediate or be necessary for intestinal tumorigenesis induced by other oncogenic events in genetically modified mice [20-23].

Our recent study indicates that KLF5 indeed can be both tumor suppressor function of KLF5. For example, KLF5 can inhibit the proliferation of epithelial cells including some cancer cell lines in culture [10,11,16,17] and suppresses the tumorigenesis of human prostate cancer cell lines in nude mice [18]. However, KLF5 has also been demonstrated to promote the tumorigenesis of a bladder cancer cell line in a xenograft model [19] and mediate or be necessary for intestinal tumorigenesis induced by other oncogenic events in genetically modified mice [20-23].

Mouse Strains

The Klf5 floxed mouse strain, in which the genomic DNA from exon 2 to exon 3 of Klf5 is flanked by loxP sites, was generated as described in our previous study [25]. The PB-Cre transgenic mouse strain, where expression of Cre recombinase is driven by the prostatic epithelial specific probasin promoter [30], was purchased from the NCI Mouse Models of Human Cancers Consortium (MMHCC, Frederick, MD; Cat. No. 01XF5). Pten floxed mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME; Cat. No. 004597). All mice were maintained in a mixed background of C57BL/6 J and 129Sv/J.

Mouse Breeding and Genotyping

The breeding strategy is shown in Figure 1A. Floxed-Klf5 mice (Klf5<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup>) were crossed with floxed-Pten mice (Pten<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup>), and the F1 mice were then crossed with P1-Cre mice to generate the following six desired genotypes: Cre+/Pten<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup>/Klf5<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup></sup>, Cre+/Pten<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup>/Klf5<sup>wt<sup>wt</sup></sup>, Cre+/Pten<sup>wt<sup>wt</sup>/Klf5<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup></sup>, Cre+/Pten<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup>/Klf5<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup>/Klf5<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup></sup>, Cre+/Pten<sup>wt<sup>wt</sup>/Klf5<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup></sup>, Cre+/Pten<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup>/Klf5<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup>/Klf5<sup>wt<sup>wt</sup></sup></sup>, Cre+/Pten<sup>wt<sup>wt</sup>/Klf5<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup>/Klf5<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup></sup></sup>, and Cre+/Pten<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup>/Klf5<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup>/Klf5<sup>fl<sup>ox<sup>ox</sup></sup></sup></sup>.

All mice were toe-clipped for identification and tail-clipped to collect tissues for genotyping at age 10 to 14 days. Freshly dissected tissues were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to clean blood and incubated overnight at 56°C with tissue lysis buffer (100 mM Tris- HCl, 5 mM EDTA, 200 mM NaCl, 0.2% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 500 μg/ml proteinase K, pH 8.0) to release DNA. Diluted tissue lysates were used as templates for polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based genotyping. PCR conditions were previously described [31]. Primer sequences and sizes of PCR products for genotyping the different genes are listed in Table S1.

RNA Extraction and Real-Time PCR Assay

For mouse tissues, freshly dissected prostate tissues were immediately immersed into the RNAlater solution (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) for at least 16 hours at 4°C. Total RNA was then
isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer’s instructions. For cultured cells, total RNA was isolated using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) following the procedures established previously [32]. The first strand of cDNA was synthesized using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

Real-time reverse transcription (RT)–PCR was performed using the SYBR Premix Ex Taq reagent (Takara Otsu, Shiga, Japan) with the ABI Prism 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The $2^{(-\Delta\Delta Ct)}$ method was used to calculate the relative fold change for a gene’s expression, with the expression level of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as an internal control. Primers for real-time PCR assays are listed in Table S1.

**Microarray-Based Expression Profiling and Signaling Pathway Analysis**

The microarray experiment was performed at the Emory Integrated Genomics Core using standard procedures. Briefly, total RNA was
extracted from dorsal prostates (DPs) of four pairs of 6-month-old mice with the genotype of *Pten*<sup>−/−</sup>;*Klf5*<sup>+/−</sup> or *Pten*<sup>−/−</sup>;*Klf5*<sup>−/−</sup>. The quality of total RNA was confirmed using an Agilent Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). After synthesis from the same amount of total RNA, the cDNA library for a tissue sample was hybridized to the Affymetrix Mouse Gene 1.0 ST Array, which covers 28,853 genes with approximately 27 probes per gene spread across the full length of each gene. Hybridization and washing and staining of probe arrays were performed according to Affymetrix’s protocol. GeneChips were scanned using the Affymetrix 3000 scanner and images were converted to digital data, which were then analyzed by using the GeneSpring GX program (Agilent Technologies). The initial list of genes was generated with the preliminary data using mean polish and robust multi-array average (RMA) with GC content adjustment.

Genes were sorted by their *P* values between the wild-type *Klf5* and *Klf5*-null groups, and those with *P* < .05 were considered differentially expressed. After ranking by the fold change of expression, differentially expressed genes were categorized into the following seven groups: 1.5 to 2.0, 2.0 to 2.5, 2.5 to 3.0, 3.0 to 4.0, 4.0 to 5.0, 5.0 to 10, and >10 fold change of expression. For each group, four to seven genes were chosen and subjected to real-time RT-PCR to validate their differential expression with four to eight RNA samples, including the four used for microarray hybridization. On the basis of published studies using the same gene arrays [33–35] and the validation of 31 of 33 genes, we set the threshold of fold change to 1.5 to establish the list of differentially expressed genes for pathway and ontology analyses. The original microarray data have been deposited in National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)’s Gene Expression Omnibus database and are accessible through Gene Expression Omnibus Series Accession No. GSE58719.

Differentially expressed genes and their expression levels were uploaded into the web-based MetaCore program (http://thomsonreuters.com/metacore), and different algorithms were run with MetaCore database to identify or build signaling pathways, gene ontology (GO)–based functional processes, and molecular networks that are modulated by *Klf5* deletion. For each of the 586 genes identified as prostate cancer–related genes by the Disease (by biomarkers) Ontology of MetaCore, a PubMed search with a gene’s name and “prostate cancer” as search terms indicated that 203 of the genes had been studied in prostate cancer. The publications were evaluated to identify those genes that have been shown to functionally impact prostate cancer cell behavior [proliferation, apoptosis, migration, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), metastasis, and so on], undergo promoter methylation, or have expression abnormalities that are associated with clinical or pathologic characteristics of prostate cancer. The resultant 172 genes and their normalized log2 values of intensity differences between *Klf5* wild-type and *Klf5*-null groups were then subjected to the R software to generate a heat map.

Genes dysregulated by *Klf5* deletion in this study were also compared to those identified in previous studies [24,33–35] to identify the genes that are regulated by *Klf5* in different tissues or experimental systems.

**Histopathologic Analysis**

Mice with different *Klf5* and *Pten* deletion status were sacrificed at various time points (4, 6, 9, 12, 15, and 18 months for the *Pten*<sup>−/−</sup>-group and 2, 4, and 6 months for the *Pten*<sup>−/−</sup>-group), and prostates were freshly collected and dissected in cold PBS. Tissues for histopathologic analysis were fixed in 3.7% neutral buffered formaldehyde overnight. Fixed tissues were then embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5-μm thickness, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) following standard protocols.

Pathologic diagnosis was performed by pathologists led by Dr Robert D. Cardiff through a paid service at the Center for Comparative Medicine, Department of Pathology, University of California at Davis. Published guidelines were followed [36,37].

**Immunohistochemical and Immunofluorescence Staining**

For immunohistochemical (IHC) staining, tissue sections on glass slides were deparaffinized in xylene, rehydrated in graded ethanol solutions, and washed in tap water. Antigen retrieval was performed by boiling the slides in a pressure cooker for 3 minutes in a citrate buffer (10 mM trisodium citrate, pH 6.0). After 10-minute treatment with 3% H2O2, tissue sections were then blocked with 5% normal goat serum in Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20 for 1 hour at room temperature, incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight, and then incubated with EnVision Polymer-HRP secondary antibodies (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) for 30 minutes at room temperature. After the application of DAB chromogen, tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin, dehydrated, and mounted. The slides were then scanned with a Hamamatsu NanoZoomer scanner (Hamamatsu Corporation, Bridgewater, NJ). For some proteins, cell numbers were counted to determine the positive rate.

For immunofluorescence (IF) staining, the same procedures of deparaffinization, rehydration, and antigen retrieval were followed. Tissue sections were then incubated with the blocking solution (10% normal goat serum and 1% BSA in PBS) for 1 hour at room temperature, with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight, and with secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor dyes; Invitrogen) for 30 minutes at room temperature. After 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining for nuclei, slides were mounted with anti-photobleaching mounting medium. Multichannel pictures were taken with a Zeiss Axioplan 2 Wide-Field Microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Thornwood, NY).

The primary antibodies used in this study (IHC or IF) and dilutions were given as follows: rabbit anti-KLF5 (IHC, 1:6000 dilution) [25], rabbit anti-PTEN (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA; IHC, 1:100), rabbit anti-Ki67 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA; IHC, 1:200), rabbit anti–phospho-Akt (Cell Signaling Technology; IHC, 1:150), mouse anti–phospho-Erk1/2 (Cell Signaling Technology; IHC, 1:200), rabbit anti–phospho-mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) (Cell Signaling Technology; IHC, 1:100), rabbit anti–phospho-S6 (Cell Signaling Technology; IHC, 1:200), rabbit anti-Phospho-Stat3 (Cell Signaling Technology; IHC, 1:1000; IF, 1:200), mouse anti-Ck14 (Thermo Fisher Scientific; IF, 1:200), mouse anti-Ck18 (GeneTex, Irvine, CA; IHC, 1:1500; IF, 1:400), mouse anti–smooth muscle actin (Sma) (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO; IHC, 1:5000), rabbit anti-EFGR (Abcam, Cambridge, MA; IHC, 1:400), and rabbit anti–phospho–Egf receptor (Egfr) (Cell Signaling Technology; IF, 1:1000).

**Knockdown of KLF5 and PTEN by RNA Interference**

The PNT2 human prostate luminal cell line, originated from SV40-immortalized normal prostate epithelium, was purchased from Sigma and cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS. PNT2 cells were transfected with siRNAs against *KLF5, PTEN*, or the control with the X-tremeGene HP transfection reagent (Roche, Nutley, NJ) to knock down the expression of *KLF5 or PTEN*. Sequences of siRNAs were given as follows: siKLF5, AAGCUCACCUGAGGACUCATT [38]; siPTEN,
Table 1. Numbers of Mice with Hyperplasia (HP) and mPIN in the AP, DP, LP, and VP at Different Ages (Months) with Hemizygous Deletion of Pten and Different Klf5 Deletion Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Klf5 Deletion</th>
<th>AP HP</th>
<th>Pten+/+</th>
<th>Cre+/Ptenfloxflox/Klf5wt/wt</th>
<th>Cre+/Ptenfloxflox/Klf5flox/wt</th>
<th>Cre−/Ptenfloxflox</th>
<th>Cre−/Ptenfloxflox/Klf5flox/wt</th>
<th>Cre+Ptenfloxflox/Klf5flox/wt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 to 6 months</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>2 1 3 1 3 4 3 4 5</td>
<td>1 2 1 2 1 2 3 3 2</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>2 3 5 4 6 7 8 9 10</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−/−</td>
<td>2 3 5 4 6 7 8 9 10</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 to 12 months</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−/−</td>
<td>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 18 months</td>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−/−</td>
<td>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Chi-square analysis was used to determine the statistical significance of a phenotype caused by Klf5 deletion. + and − indicate the presence and absence, respectively, of a Klf5 allele in the prostate.

Klf5 deletion promotes prostatic tumorigenesis Xing et al.

**Results**

### Western Blot Analysis

Western blot analysis was performed following previously established procedures [32]. The antibody against human KLF5 was prepared as previously described [14], and those against other proteins are given as follows: rabbit anti-PTEN, rabbit anti–phospho-AKT, rabbit anti–phospho-ERK, rabbit anti-AKT, rabbit anti-ERK, and rabbit anti–phospho-S6 were from Cell Signaling Technology; and rabbit anti–β-actin, rabbit anti-p15, and rabbit anti-mouse Klf5 were from Sigma, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, and Millipore (Temecula, CA), respectively.

### Detection of Apoptosis by the Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) Assay

The TumorTACS In Situ Apoptosis Detection Kit (Trevigen, Gaithersburg, MD) was used for the TUNEL assay following manufacturer’s instruction. Formalin-fixed prostate sections from 6-month-old mice were stained in triplicate for each genotype. Slides were then scanned, and the number of apoptotic cells and the number of total cells in the anterior and DPs were counted using ImageJ software to determine the percentage of apoptotic cells.

### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Instat statistical computer program (GraphPad Software, Inc, La Jolla, CA). One-way analysis of variance was used to determine statistical differences among groups in the analysis of Ki67-positive rate, while the Chi-square analysis was used for differences in phenotype changes among the three Klf5 genotypes in Table 1. P values less than .05 were considered statistically significant.

### Results

#### Simultaneous Knockout of Pten and Klf5 in Mouse Prostates

Three strains of mice, including floxed Klf5, floxed Pten, and PB-Cre4, were used to generate the following six desired genotypes: Cre+/Ptenfloxflox/Klf5wt/wt, Cre+/Ptenfloxflox/Klf5flox/wt, Cre−/Ptenfloxflox/Klf5flox/wt, Cre+/Ptenfloxflox/Klf5flox/wt, Cre−/Ptenfloxflox/Klf5flox/wt, and Cre−/Ptenfloxflox/Klf5flox (Figure 1A). These mice were divided into two groups according to Pten deletion status, i.e., hemizygous deletion (Pten+/−) and homozygous deletion (Pten−/−; Figure 1A). All three strains of mice have been successfully used in previous studies [5,25], and efficient gene knockout in the prostate was confirmed by examining the expression of Pten and Klf5 at the mRNA and protein levels by real-time RT-PCR and IHC staining. The mRNA levels for both Klf5 and Pten were reduced by about half in tissues with hemizygous deletion and the reduction was dramatic in tissues with homozygous deletion (Figure 1, C and E). The protein expression of Klf5 or Pten was also significantly decreased on genomic deletion of the respective gene (Figures 1, B and D, and W1, and data not shown). These results validated the knockout system for further analyses.

#### Klf5 Deletion Accelerated the Development and Severity of mPIN Induced by Pten Hemizygous Deletion

Deletion of one Pten allele causes mPIN [5], so we first examined whether Klf5 deletion affects mPIN development induced by hemizygous deletion of Pten. At 4 to 6 months of age, prostates with Pten hemizygous deletion alone (Pten+/−/Klf5+/+) began to show scattered hyperplasia, but no mPIN was noticeable. Simultaneous deletion of Klf5, either of one allele (Pten+/−/Klf5+/−) or both alleles (Pten+/−/Klf5−/−), caused the appearance of low-grade mPIN in both DP and lateral prostate (LP), which was characterized by multiple layers of larger cells with abundant pale cytoplasm (Figure 2, panels at the left). At 9 to 12 months of age, while single allele deletion of Pten (Pten+/−/Klf5+/−) caused low-grade mPIN (grades I and II), simultaneous deletion of Klf5 (Pten+/−/Klf5−/−) caused higher-grade (HG) mPIN (grades III and IV), as indicated by the filling of the lumens with excess cells that had an increased nucleus-to-cytoplasm ratio and small intraepithelial blood vessels (Figure 2, panels in the middle). At 15 to 18 months of age, while HG mPIN developed in some but not all prostates with Pten hemizygous deletion alone (Pten+/−/Klf5+/−), inactivation of one or both Klf5 alleles (Pten+/−/Klf5−/− and Pten−/−/Klf5−/−) caused more severe HG mPIN with higher nucleus-to-cytoplasm ratios. The large cell pattern seen with deletions of both Pten and Klf5 was typical for AKT/PTEN-related tumors, further indicating a more severe cancer-related phenotype (Figure 2, panels at the right).

We compared the incidence of mPIN between prostates with and without the deletion of Klf5 and found that deletion of one or both Klf5 alleles caused a statistically significant increase in the incidence of mPIN in mice 9 to 12 months old (Table 1). When all age groups were combined, the difference was still statistically significant (Table 1). We also noticed that Klf5 deletion caused more severe abnormalities in the DP and LP (Figure 2) but less in the anterior prostate (AP) and ventral prostate (VP). In addition, few differences were noticeable between hemizygous and homozygous deletions of Klf5 in the development and progression of mPIN (Figure 2), although hemizygous deletion of Klf5 only decreased Klf5 expression in some cells (Figure S1), indicating that Klf5 is haploinsufficient and its haploinsufficiency is sufficient for the acceleration of Pten deletion–induced mPIN and related alterations.

Klf5 deletion promoted Pten deletion–initiated prostatic tumorigenesis and disrupted the luminal architecture of tumors

In the original study of Pten deletion in mouse prostates [5], Pten homozygous deletion caused invasive prostate cancer by the age of 9 weeks. In our study, prostate cancer was not detectable until the age...
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Figure 2. Deletion of Klf5 accelerates the development and severity of mPIN induced by hemizygous deletion of Pten. H&E-stained tissue section images of DPs and LPs at 6, 12, and 18 months with Klf5 and Pten deletions indicated. + and − indicate wild-type and deleted Klf5 or Pten alleles, respectively.

of 16 weeks. We therefore focused on prostates at 6 months of age for analysis. Compared to prostates with Pten deletion alone at 6 months, those with both Klf5 and Pten deletions showed an increase in the size of prostate glands, as visualized in H&E-stained whole gland tissue section, and Klf5 homozygous deletion showed a more profound effect than Klf5 hemizygous deletion (Figure 3, upper row).

Histologically, prostate tumors induced by Pten homozygous deletion alone maintained a luminal architecture (Figure 3), and necrosis was frequently observed in the center of the tumors, which is a common feature of HG mPIN and human prostate cancer due to insufficient nutrients. Interestingly, Klf5 hemizygous deletion resulted in a decreased luminal space in the prostate gland, and Klf5 homozygous deletion led to complete filling of the luminal space with tumor cells and thus the elimination of luminal architecture (Figure 3, lower panels of the Klf5−/− group). In addition, necrotic areas in the tumors were decreased by deletion of one Klf5 allele and abolished by deletion of both Klf5 alleles. In prostates with hemizygous Pten deletion, Klf5 deletion–enhanced mPIN mainly occurred in the DP and LP and the deletions of one or both Klf5 alleles showed similar effects. In contrast, Klf5 deletion caused morphologic abnormalities in Pten-null prostates in all four lobes, and deletion of both Klf5 alleles clearly showed a more profound effect than the deletion of one Klf5 allele (Figure 3).

Molecular Characterization of Architectural Abnormalities in Prostate Tumors with Deletions of Klf5 and Pten

Since the luminal structure was almost eliminated in prostate tumors with Klf5 and Pten deletions (Figure 3) and Pten deletion alone caused the expansion of the basal type of tumor cells, as indicated by positive staining for the Ck5 basal cell marker [39], we further evaluated whether Klf5 deletion altered the luminal architecture of Pten-null tumors. We examined the expression of molecular markers for major components of prostatic architecture, including those for luminal cells (Ck18), basal cells (Ck5 and Ck14), and the fibromuscular stroma (Sma), in 6-month-old prostate tumors with both Klf5 and Pten deletions. Without Klf5 deletion, cells in Pten-null prostate tumors were mostly positive for either a luminal marker (Ck18) or a basal marker (Ck5 or Ck14), and few cells were positive for both markers (Figure 4, A and B), which is consistent with the findings in a previous study [39]. When Klf5 was deleted, the number of basal cells decreased dramatically as indicated by the staining of Ck5 or Ck14 basal cell marker, while luminal cells, as indicated by positive staining for the Ck18 luminal cell marker, expanded and almost occupied the entire tumor (Figure 4, A and B). In Pten deletion–induced prostate tumors, expression of p63, a bona fide basal cell marker [40], was still restricted to basal layers, but Ck5 was expressed in both basal layers and cancerous acini [39], which was confirmed in our results (Figure 4C, left row). Interestingly, analysis of tumors with both Klf5 and Pten deletions demonstrated that, while cells in basal layers still expressed both p63 and Ck5, almost no tumor cells in acini expressed Ck5 (Figure 4C), which is different from the effect of Pten deletion alone. These results indicate that Klf5 deletion disrupts the ratio of Ck18-positive luminal cells to Ck5-positive basal cells in Pten deletion–induced prostate tumors.

We also analyzed the expression of Sma, a marker for the layer of fibromuscular stroma often used to evaluate tumor invasion to the stroma [17]. Whereas loss of Sma expression with Pten deletion alone was reported in a previous study [5], our analysis demonstrated that in prostate tumors with Pten deletion alone, Sma was still expressed, and the layer of Sma-positive fibromuscular stroma was still intact for an acinus (Figure 4A, the lower panel in the left two rows). When one Klf5 allele was deleted (Pten−/−/Klf5−/−), the surrounding smooth muscle layer became dramatically thinner, although it was still continuous. When both Klf5 alleles were deleted (Pten−/−/Klf5−/−), the smooth muscle layer became discontinuous or totally absent for most acini in tumors (Figure 4A, the lower panel in the right two rows).

Klf5 Deletion Dysregulates a Large Number of Genes

To understand how Klf5 deletion promotes prostate tumorigenesis initiated by Pten deletion, we performed microarray analysis using DPs at 6 months with wild-type or homozygous deletion of Klf5 in the Pten-null background. At 6 months, tumors were larger and had more severe phenotypic abnormalities (Figure 3). Using the Affymetrix mouse whole genome chips and associated computer
programs, 7217 genes were identified on the basis of a significant \( P < .05 \) expression difference between Klf5 wild-type and Klf5-null groups. In previous publications using the same expression chips, a >1.5-fold change combined with \( P < .05 \) has been defined as the minimum meaningful fold change in the identification of differentially expressed genes [33–35]. Application of the same principle to our data resulted in a total of 1197 genes, 569 of which were upregulated and 628 were downregulated (Table S2).

To evaluate the quality of the microarray data, we selected 33 genes with different degrees of differential expression in the microarray analysis and performed real-time RT-PCR in four to eight wild-type or Klf5-null DPs. Differential expression was validated between the two groups for 31 of the 33 (94%) genes (Figure 5, A and B). In addition, almost all of the 33 genes showed similar fold changes between microarray and real-time RT-PCR analyses except for Klf5, which showed a much more dramatic decrease in the real-time RT-PCR assay (Figure 5B). These results indicate a good quality of the microarray data.

As a basic transcription factor, KLF5 could regulate a common set of genes among different types of tissues. Therefore, we compared our gene list with published data from other mouse tissues. Among the genes dysregulated by Klf5 deletion during post-eyelid opening maturation of mouse corneas [41], 96 upregulated genes and 41 downregulated genes showed the same trends of changes, while 73 genes showed opposite changes compared to our data (Table S3). Among the available partial list of genes dysregulated by Klf5 deletion in intestine villus and bladder, a previous study identified 112 genes that are dysregulated (12 up and 100 down) by Klf5 deletion in both tissues [35]. Twenty of the downregulated genes but none of the upregulated genes showed the same pattern of dysregulation in the prostate in our study (Table S3). Two of the 20 genes, Reep6 and Dgat2, were downregulated in all four mouse models.

In the DU 145 human prostate cancer cell line, in which one PTEN allele has been lost [42] and KLF5 expression is rather low [10], RNA-Seq and data analysis showed that ectopic expression of KLF5 or the acetylation-deficient K369R mutant of KLF5 changed the expression of many genes [24]. Seven of the 65 KLF5-regulated genes (39 up and 26 down) and 54 K369R-regulated genes were also dysregulated by Klf5 deletion in mouse prostates (Table S4). The CHGA gene, which encodes a neuroendocrine differentiation marker associated with higher Gleason score in human prostate cancer [43], was the only gene that was downregulated by Klf5 deletion and K369R expression but upregulated by KLF5 expression, suggesting an up-regulation by acetylated KLF5.

**Functional Processes and Molecular Pathways Modulated by Klf5 Deletion in Pten-Null Mouse Prostates**

To explore the molecular mechanisms underlying Klf5 deletion–promoted tumorigenesis in Pten-null mouse prostates, we uploaded the 1197 differentially expressed genes to the MetaCore platform to enrich genes by diseases and identify significant GO processes, signaling pathways, and molecular networks that are dysregulated by Klf5 deletion.

Using the “Disease (by biomarker)” enrichment of the MetaCore program, we first collected all the genes that were associated with the disease of “prostate neoplasms”, which included 586 genes. Searching the PubMed database with a gene name and “prostate cancer” as keywords for these 586 genes revealed that 203 of them had been reported in at least one publication on prostate cancer. On the basis of the publications, 104 of the 203 genes were positively associated with prostate cancer, as indicated by a promoting effect on various behaviors and up-regulation or DNA hypomethylation in prostate cancer cells; 68 of the 203 genes were negatively associated with prostate cancer, as indicated by an inhibitory effect on cancer cell behavior and down-regulation or DNA hypermethyltion in cancer cells (Table S5 and Figure S2), and the remaining 31 of the 203 genes had inconclusive information. Deletion of Klf5 upregulated 70 of the 104 (67%) genes positively associated with...
Knockout of **Klf5** disrupts the architecture of prostate tumors induced by **Pten** deletion. Expression of basal cell markers **Ck5**, **Ck14**, and **p63**, luminal cell marker **Ck18**, and smooth muscle marker **Sma** was detected by IHC staining (A) or IF staining (B and C) in consecutive tissue sections (panel A only) of mouse prostates at 6 months of age. Pictures of basal and luminal markers were taken from the same area of tissue for better comparison (panel A only). DAPI staining was used to show nuclei (blue). Gene deletion status is indicated at the top and marker names at the left. The magnification for images of basal and luminal markers is ×200, and two magnifications are shown for Sma (×10 in the upper and ×40 in the lower). + and − indicate the presence and absence of a **Klf5** or a **Pten** allele.

**Figure 4.** Knockout of **Klf5** disrupts the architecture of prostate tumors induced by **Pten** deletion. Expression of basal cell markers **Ck5**, **Ck14**, and **p63**, luminal cell marker **Ck18**, and smooth muscle marker **Sma** was detected by IHC staining (A) or IF staining (B and C) in consecutive tissue sections (panel A only) of mouse prostates at 6 months of age. Pictures of basal and luminal markers were taken from the same area of tissue for better comparison (panel A only). DAPI staining was used to show nuclei (blue). Gene deletion status is indicated at the top and marker names at the left. The magnification for images of basal and luminal markers is ×200, and two magnifications are shown for Sma (×10 in the upper and ×40 in the lower). + and − indicate the presence and absence of a **Klf5** or a **Pten** allele.
Figure 5. Bioinformatic identification and analysis of Klf5 deletion–dysregulated prostate cancer–related genes and molecular pathways in Pten-null mouse prostates. (A and B) Validation of differential expression for 13 upregulated (A) and 20 downregulated genes by real-time RT-PCR using the prostate samples including those used for microarray. White and black bars indicate microarray data and RT-PCR data, respectively. *P < .05; **P < .01. (C) Heat map of genes with >2.0-fold expression change between wild-type and Klf5-null mouse prostates and associated with prostate cancer based on PubMed publications. The four wild-type prostates and the four Klf5-null prostates were randomly paired for map drawing. Genes are clustered on the basis of their association with prostate cancer, with those positively affecting prostate cancer cell behavior or upregulated in prostate cancer marked as “positive”, and those negatively affecting prostate cancer behavior or downregulated in prostate cancer marked as “negative”. The number in the parentheses after each gene name indicates the number of PubMed publications available on that gene. The percentage of upregulated or downregulated genes is shown. (D) Klf5 deletion activates canonical mitogenic signaling pathway involving AKT and ERK, as revealed by the analysis of Klf5 deletion–dysregulated genes using the MetaCore program. Up-regulation of signal initiating growth factors, cytokines, chemokines, and receptors activates downstream effectors involved in different processes through multiple canonical signaling pathways. Different shapes of the nodes represent functional classifications of genes. Red and blue circles in the nodes indicate up-regulation and down-regulation, respectively, of genes. Lines between every two nodes indicate their interactions, with red for inhibition, green for activation, gray for unspecified interaction, and dashed for indirect interaction. Arrow indicates the direction of a regulation.
Upregulated MYC of the 172 (34%) genes. For these 58 genes, 35 and 23 were positively and negatively associated with prostate cancer, which is consistent with the heat map generated by the R program using the 172 genes and their expression fold changes (Figure S5). Among the overconnected pathways identified, six showed the highest fold change (2.97-fold). Considering the well-established role of EGF and its downstream signaling in cell proliferation and tumorigenesis, we performed additional experiments involving AKT and ERK to draw the pathway map of molecules that were dysregulated by Klf5 deletion, including the nine signal initiation molecules and some of their downstream effectors (p18, cyclin D2, p21, S100A6, and S100A8). These molecules are directly regulated by Klf5 – dysregulated genes as shown in Table S7 and Figure 5D. Whereas multiple growth factors and cytokines were significantly upregulated by Klf5 deletion (Table S7 and Figure 5D), there could be novel networks involved in Klf5 deletion. We therefore built molecular networks involving Klf5 deletion–dysregulated genes with the network analysis algorithm of MetaCore. Networks with 50 total nodes and > 4 seed nodes (differentially expressed genes) were considered instructive and meaningful. Among the 25 networks built, 20 centered around SP1 (ranked the first and second based on connectivity), and one each centered on Myc and Rhoa (Figure S3). Similarly, 21 transcription factor–centered networks were also identified, of which CREB1 and ER showed the highest connectivity (p18, cyclin D2, Sp1, S6, and 4E-BP1). Molecules that were between an initiating molecule and an effector and not affected by Klf5 deletion were eliminated from the map, resulting a schematic pathway map that suggests that Klf5 deletion activates AKT and ERK signaling in the regulation of cell cycle, invasion, and protein synthesis (Figure 5D).

**Table 2. Key Molecules Potentially Cooperating with Klf5 to Mediate Klf5 Deletion–Promoted Tumorigenesis, as Identified by the Network Analysis of MetaCore with Klf5 Deletion–Dysregulated Genes, and Those Differentially Expressed Genes that Are Also Direct Transcriptional Targets of These Key Molecules**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Molecules</th>
<th>Genes Regulated by Klf5 Deletion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CREB1</td>
<td>Upregulated: Sp1, Tac1, Slc1a2, Egf, Ccnd2, Arg2, Msr1, Sts2, Rgs2, Il1a, Gja1, Gmmb, Sfrp1, Selp, Vcam1, Cck, Tgtn1, Il1b, Pplfb3, Rgs1, Spry2, Mat2a, Pdg, and Map2. Downregulated: Ldrf, Bhlha40, Zfp667, Arpi1, Pmap1, Bcl2, Ndn, Cdo1, Ppp2rb, Kcnb2, Kcnme4, Cd14, Pparq1b, Ppar, Apg, Chga, Muc4, Famb3, Muc5b, and Pges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP1</td>
<td>Upregulated: Crabp1, Abn, Cho, Snp1, Slc1a2, Bhlha15, Chil1, Ccl20, Cnd2, Padi2, Nkx3-1, Cyp7b1, Klf5, Pdr, Trf, Ecdn, Raver2, Sts2, Slco6a2, Gpr1, Tnc, Fbn1, Rgs2, Anxa6, Il1a, Serpin1a, Gja1, Bmp7, Serpin3a, Cdh2, Pla2g7, Trem1, Crabp2, Selp, Vcam1, Cad, Pdgfd, Cck, Pdgfrb, Mgs1, Soa5, Tnn2, Tgm1, Colla1, Colla4, Timp1, Kcnn1, Flr1, Colla2, Colla4, Arg1, Slc5a8, Gsmn, Adm, Slc19a2, Apln, Col4a2, Angr2, Spry2, Igx, Mat2a, Cens, Hdc, Padi3, Pdgfb, Fgfr4, Slc1a1, Aox1, Col4a1, Hhex, Nrp1, Cited2, Mgp, Tphi2, Pldh, Mir365-1, Tril, and Eng. Downregulated: Star3d, Hcn2, Atp6ap2, Ldrf, Ihdh2, Mbp, Adam23, Cdhnc2, Bhlha40, Cyp21a1, Zfp667, Ghh, Akap12, Cdk6, Nkx1, Cdk2, Atp4a, Efnb2, Dcl2, Ppl, Tnmb, Ppp2rb, Aec1, Kcnb2, S100a6, Smpd3, F3, Acacb1, Msr1, Cd14, Timp2x2, Klf5, Ttufl0, Napepld, Upk1b, Chga, Cyp222, Cex1d, Cln2, Hpe, Muc4, Cknc1, Gpc3, Muc5b, Senna1a, Krt7, Ap2a3, C3en, Muc1, Pges, Lif, Hsd11b2, and Tfr3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYC</td>
<td>Upregulated: Chs, Spp1, Cnd2, Fah, A6k, Eif4ebp1, Bmp7, Sfrp1, Lox, Vcam1, Cad, Cck, Pdgfrb, Mgs1, Jag2, Adm, Arg1, Ix, Mt1, Cdh3, Sema6a, Angptd4, Slc1a1, Ndrg1, Cited2, Slc16a1, Bnp3, Sped2, and Alb. Downregulated: Mcm6, Abcd3, Vav2, Wnt5a, Gha, Pnai1, Rad51b, Cdk6, Nans, B2d2, Mir200a, Peg10, Apo7a, Ipr2, Hmgds2, Camk1d, Golm1, Ragfrf1a, Gldc, Mir429, Casp1, Wnt4, Ppargc1b, Setd1x, Sox2, Ppar, Fazh2, Gpc3, and Hsd11b2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>Upregulated: Tnp, Upp2b35, Ebb4, Slc1a2, Plod2, Cyp7b1, Prl1, Trf, Ccl2a, Stc2, Sst2, Mme, Mir181b-1, Stg3dk4, Gja1, Fam132a, Bmp7, Serpin3a, Thbs2, Cad, Rah31, Hrl, Arg1, Adm, Pplfb3, Mhr1b1b-2, Cdh3, Adamcs9, Padi3, Abhr2, Fgfr3, Mgarp, and Lamb1. Downregulated: Fabp6, Admcs3, Bca1, Anxa3, Rap1agp, Cob, Fosc1, Pnai1, Mzcz2, Syld2, Raf1b, Bld6, Klf6, Kip10, S100a6, Arg2, Mall, F3, Wn4, Spire, and Mpo. Raggf1, Galm4, Hsh2d, Arg, Ret, Suit1el, Elf3, Gsta4, Krt7, Anp2a3, Muc1, Pges, Lsf, Cyp2b10, Kr6, Tfr3, and Peca.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Upregulated: Sppl, Slc9a2, Upp2b35, Arg1k, Ank, Nrn1, Nkx3-1, Pic2, Mme, Eppn, Slep4, Cad2, Eiha3, Pdgfr, Slc19a2, Osbpl1a, Cxcl1, Cyp153, Slc3b3, Angptd4, Ndg1, Nrp1, and Cined2. Downregulated: Aa0986660, Pnai1p, Cld2, Rab3b, Piphp3b, Pog10, Arg2, F3, Mir51, Tmunps2, Klf5, Sox2, Pparg, Sub1el, Senna1a, Krt7, and Peca.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Klf5 increases cell proliferation in Egf by homozygous deletion of Pten-null background (Figure 6A). Hemizygous deletion of Klf5 did not show an effect though (Figure 6A). We also detected Egf protein by IHC staining in the same samples used for RNA detection and obtained consistent results: Egf protein showed an evident increase in Klf5-null prostates but no change in prostates with hemizygous deletion of Klf5 (Figure 6B). We further tested the effect of Klf5 on Egf expression in the context of PTEN using the PNT2 human prostate luminal cell line, in which proliferation signaling is activated by SV40 [44]. RNA interference was applied to knock down KLF5 and/or PTEN, and the knockdown effect was confirmed by Western blot analysis (Figure 6C). Real-time RT-PCR demonstrated that, while knockdown of PTEN alone did not cause an apparent change in Egf expression, knockdown of KLF5 significantly increased Egf mRNA level (P < .01), and the increase was further enhanced by the knockdown of PTEN (P < .002) (Figure 6D). We then examined whether Egf up-regulation by Klf5 deletion in Pten-null mouse tumors has a functional effect by measuring the activity of Egfr. IHC staining demonstrated that the Y1068 phosphorylation of Egfr, an indication of Egfr activity [45], was clearly increased in Klf5-null prostates (Figure 6E), indicating that Klf5 deletion further enhances the EGF/EGFR/MAPK signaling activity.

Deletion of Klf5 Increased Cell Proliferation with Consistent Changes in the Expression of Proliferation-Related Molecules

On the basis of the findings that Klf5 deletion significantly increased the size of Pten deletion–induced tumors (Figure 3), cell proliferation is increased by Pten deletion alone [5]. We determined whether Klf5 deletion causes more cells to proliferate. Expression of the Ki67 proliferation marker was determined by IHC staining in each of the prostate lobes, and the rate of Ki67 positivity in tumor cells was calculated. While Klf5 hemizygous deletion did not cause an obvious change in the rate of Ki67-positive cells, Klf5 homozygous deletion significantly increased the rate (Figure 7A), indicating that homozygous deletion of Klf5 increases cell proliferation in Pten deletion–induced prostate tumors.

Our microarray analyses indicated that Klf5 deletion increased the expression of genes positively associated with prostate cancer behaviors, activated mitogenic signaling pathways including AKT and ERK signaling pathways, and enhanced the EGF/EGFR signaling (Figures 5, C and D, and 6, A, B, and E). Loss of PTEN enhances PI3K/AKT signaling [46] to increase cell proliferation, KLF5 has been linked to Ras/MAPK proliferation signaling [20,22], and loss of KLF5 downregulates the p15 cell cycle inhibitor in the context of transforming growth factor–β (TGF-β) [38,47]. We therefore evaluated the expression of key players in the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway including phosphorylated Akt (p-Akt), phosphorylated Erk1/2 (p-Erk1/2), phosphorylated mTor (p-mTor), phosphorylated S6 (p-S6), and p15 cell cycle inhibitor by IHC staining and Western blot analysis in Pten-null prostate tumors at 6 months of age. While hemizygous deletion of Klf5 mildly increased the expression of p-Akt and p-S6, homozygous deletion of Klf5 led to much more dramatic increases in their expression (Figure 7, A and B), indicating that Klf5 deletion significantly enhances the effect of Pten deletion on PI3K/Akt signaling. Expression of p-Erk1/2 showed a similar pattern, with a much greater increase by Klf5 homozygous deletion than that by Klf5 hemizygous deletion (Figure 7, A and B). These results support the findings from MetaCore’s pathway analysis. For p15, hemizygous deletion of Klf5 decreased nuclear staining, and homozygous deletion of Klf5 led to a more significant decrease in p15 expression (Figure 7, A and B). Although mTor is one of the crucial players in PI3K/Akt signaling, we were unable to detect p-mTor by Western blot analysis and detected few cells that were positive in IHC staining for p-mTor in Klf5-null tumors (Figure S4), suggesting that p-mTor is less relevant in Klf5-null tumors.

**Discussion**

**Klf5 Deletion Promotes Pten Deletion–Induced Prostatic Tumorigenesis**

The current literature is somewhat inconsistent about the function of KLF5 in tumor development. On the one hand, KLF5 promotes tumor growth in xenograft models [19,48], is necessary for intestinal tumorigenesis in genetically modified mice [49], and mediates Ras activation–induced intestinal tumorigenesis [22,23]. On the other hand, KLF5 can also suppress tumorigenesis in xenograft models [18,50]. Using a tissue-specific knockout mouse model, which provides more definitive evidence for the function of a given tumor suppressor gene, we were able to prove the tumor suppressor activity of KLF5. For example, in mouse prostates with hemizygous deletion of Pten, which develop mPIN [5], simultaneous deletion of Klf5 shortened the latency of mPIN development and caused more severe phenotypic alterations in the mPIN (Figure 2). When both Pten alleles were deleted, which alone causes prostate cancer [5], Klf5 deletion caused more rapid tumor growth and more severe phenotypic and molecular alterations in cancer (Figures 3–7).

In various human cancers including prostate cancer, deletion of the KLF5 locus is the second most frequent chromosomal deletion, occurring in about 42% of tumors [9,51]. KLF5 is also inactivated in more than 20% of human cancers through excess protein degradation mediated by the amplification and overexpression of the WWP1 oncogenic ubiquitin E3 ligase [15,52–54]. The establishment of a tumor-promoting effect of Klf5 deletion in this study suggests that KLF5 inactivation could impact more than half of human cancers.

While Klf5 deletion clearly promoted the development of prostate cancer initiated by Pten deletion (Figures 2 and 3), our previous study indicated that deletion of Klf5 alone in prostatic epithelial cells does not have a pro-tumorigenic effect [25], as hemizygous deletion of Klf5 caused hyperplasia with increased cell proliferation but did not cause neoplasms, and homozygous deletion of Klf5 induced apoptosis without causing detectable cell proliferation [25]. Multiple genetic events are usually necessary for a tumor to form and progress, and it appears that at least another oncogenic event, such as Pten deletion, is necessary to release the pro-tumorigenic effect of Klf5 deletion.

In human prostate cancer, a number of chromosomal regions are deleted or amplified, and deletions of NKX3-1 at 8p21, KLF5 at 13q21, and PTEN at 10q23 are among the most common deletions [1], and at least some prostate cancers possess the deletions of both KLF5 and PTEN loci. For example, the LNCaP prostate cancer cell line has a 2-bp homozygous deletion of PTEN [29] and a hemizygous deletion of KLF5 [10], and prostate cancer xenografts PC82 and LuCaP 58 have lost both of PTEN and KLF5 loci [10,55–57]. Our findings in this study thus establish a positive interaction between KLF5 and PTEN deletions in prostatic carcinogenesis. They also provide a mouse model of prostate cancer involving two common genetic alterations in human prostate cancer.
In addition to the additive effect between \textit{Pten} and \textit{Klf5} deletions described above, \textit{Pten} deletion also interacts with \textit{Nkx3-1} deletion in prostatic carcinogenesis [58,59]. Deletions of \textit{Klf5} and \textit{Nkx3-1}, however, do not appear to have an additive effect [60]. \textit{PTEN} is an inhibitor of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway, and \textit{PTEN} loss leads to increased PI3K/AKT signaling activities that enhance both cell proliferation and survival [27,61].

While homozygous deletion of \textit{Klf5} alone increases cell death at least at age 18 months, as demonstrated in our previous study [25], it did not show a similar effect in \textit{Pten}-null prostate tumors at 6 months of age (Figure S5); it rather enhanced cell proliferation significantly in these tumors (Figure 7A). It is well established that \textit{Pten} loss leads to the activation of the PI3K/AKT signaling, which is pro-survival [62,63]. It is thus possible that enhanced survival by PI3K/AKT activation overcomes cell death induced by \textit{Klf5} homozygous deletion, thus unmasking the tumor-promoting effect of \textit{Klf5} homozygous deletion by activating multiple oncogenic signaling pathways including those described in our recent study [24]. The same mechanism could apply to other tumor suppressors that are part of the TGF-\(\beta\) signaling pathway and whose deletions have a positive interaction with \textit{Pten} deletion in tumor development, including Smad4 and p27 [8,64]. Furthermore, it is possible that other signaling pathways that prevent cell death could also unmask pro-tumorigenic functions of \textit{Klf5} deletion, which remains unexplored.

**Klf5 Deletion Promotes the Luminal but Attenuates the Basal Type of Pten Deletion–Induced Prostate Tumor Cells**

Most human prostate cancers have a luminal phenotype, and very few (<1%) human prostate cancer cells express basal cell markers...
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Klf5 deletion promoted prostate tumorigenesis (Table S4). A large number of the same genes dysregulated by KLF5 and metastasis. For example, KLF5 inactivation promoted EMT, a strong indicator of tumor invasion and metastasis, by downregulating miR-200 micro-RNAs [73]. KLF5 deletion also downregulated miR-200 genes in mouse prostates (Tables S2, S6, and S7). Therefore KLF5 deletion could still promote tumor invasion and metastasis, and the lack of metastasis in this study could be caused by multiple reasons, including insufficient time for tumor progression and variation in genetic background among different mouse strains.

Multiple Molecules Could Cooperate with KLF5 in Gene Regulation

Despite the fact that KLF5 functions in a variety of pathophysiological processes in a context-dependent manner in various tissues [24,38,51], a large number of the same genes dysregulated by KLF5 deletion in mouse prostate tumors were also regulated by KLF5 or its acetylation-deficient mutant K369R in xenograft tumors of the DU 145 human prostate cancer cell line (Table S4). A large number of the same genes were also dysregulated by KLF5 deletion across multiple types of tissues including cornea, villus, and bladder (Table S3), suggesting that there is a common set of genes that is regulated by KLF5 in different tissues or under different contexts.
In identifying novel pathways and networks affected by \textit{Klf5} deletion using the \textit{Klf5} deletion–dysregulated genes, we found that CREB1, SP1, and Myc were the most significantly affected (Figure S3 and Table 2), all of which are transcription factors. Network analysis for transcription factors identified five transcription factors that most significantly related to \textit{Klf5} deletion–dysregulated genes, and CREB1, SP1, and Myc were again among the five. The other two transcription factors among the top 5 were ER and AR (Tables 2 and S8). Interestingly, the same five transcription factors were also identified as the most relevant molecules to the function of KLF5 and K369R in the DU 145 human prostate cancer cell [24]. It is thus possible that, as a transcription factor, KLF5 cooperates with CREB1, SP1, Myc, ER, and AR, e.g., in a transcription complex, to maintain the normal homeostasis of prostate epithelium, and disruption of this complex could promote tumorigenesis.

Some published studies support this possibility. For example, in human prostate cancer cells, KLF5 has been demonstrated to suppress tumor growth by forming a transcriptional complex with CREB1 and ERβ to upregulate the FOXO1 tumor suppressor gene [18]. Phosphorylation of CREB1 is a classic mechanism of CREB1 activation [74], so it is worth testing whether CREB1 phosphorylation affects KLF5 function. ERα has also been shown to physically interact with KLF5 in gene regulation and cell proliferation in ERα–positive breast cancer cells [75]. Sp1 and KLF5 belong to the same family of Krüppel-like factors that bind to GC-rich promoter elements [76], so they may both bind to promoters of the same genes to cooperate, although this idea has not been tested. For Myc, not only is its gene transcription directly regulated by KLF5 [77], it also directly interacts with KLF5 in a TGF-β–dependent manner to regulate cell proliferation [47]. AR is essential for both the normal development and function of the prostate gland and the growth of prostate cancer [78]. Although it is unknown whether AR and KLF5 form a complex, some molecules including Smad3 and p300 have been demonstrated to physically interact with both AR [79,80] and KLF5 [47]. It is worth testing whether and how KLF5 cooperates with CREB1, Sp1, Myc, ER, and AR in gene regulation in epithelial homeostasis.

In summary, we examined the effects of \textit{Klf5} deletion on \textit{Pten} deletion–initiated prostatic tumorigenesis in genetically modified mice and found that \textit{Klf5} deletion promoted the development and increased the severity of \textit{Pten} deletion–induced mPIN and prostate tumor. Interestingly, \textit{Klf5} deletion increased the ratio of Cki1-negative luminal tumor cells to Cki5-positive basal tumor cells in tumors, suggesting a restricted promoting effect of \textit{Klf5} deletion on luminal tumor cells. \textit{Klf5} deletion in \textit{Pten}–null prostate tumors increased the cell proliferation rate, which involved multiple signaling pathways including the up-regulation of growth factor EGFr, activation of P13K/AKT and MAPK, and the inactivation of the p15 cell cycle inhibitor. Further pathway analysis suggests that KLF5 cooperates with other transcription factors including CREB1, Sp1, Myc, ER, and AR to regulate gene expression in the prostate. These findings validate the tumor suppressor function of KLF5 in genetically modified mice and also provide a mouse model that shares two common genetic alterations with human prostate cancer, deletions of \textit{PTEN} and \textit{KLF5}.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2014.09.006.
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