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Modification-dependent restriction endonuclease, MspJI, flips 5-methylcytosine out of the DNA helix
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ABSTRACT

MspJI belongs to a family of restriction enzymes that cleave DNA containing 5-methylcytosine (5mC) or 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC). MspJI is specific for the sequence 5(h)mCNNR and 5(h)mCGNR (R = purine, A or G) and cleaves with some variability 9/13 nucleotides downstream. Earlier, we reported the crystal structure of MspJI without DNA and proposed how it might recognize this sequence and catalyze cleavage. Here we report its co-crystal structure with a 27-base pair oligonucleotide containing 5mC. This structure confirms that MspJI acts as a homotetramer and that the modified cytosine is flipped from the DNA helix into an SRA-like-binding pocket. We expected the structure to reveal two DNA molecules bound specifically to the tetramer and engaged with the enzyme’s two DNA-cleavage sites. A coincidence of crystal packing precluded this organization, however. We found that each DNA molecule interacted with two adjacent tetramers, binding one specifically and the other non-specifically. The latter interaction, which prevented cleavage-site engagement, also involved base flipping and might represent the sequence-interrogation phase that precedes specific recognition. MspJI is unusual in that DNA molecules are recognized and cleaved by different subunits. Such interchange of function might explain how other complex multimeric restriction enzymes act.

INTRODUCTION

Cytosine in eukaryotic DNA can occur in several chemical forms, including ordinary cytosine (C), 5-methylcytosine (5mC), 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC), 5-formylcytosine (5fC) and 5-carboxylcytosine (5caC) (1–7). There is much interest in understanding where these forms occur in genomes, how they differ between cell types and change with time and circumstances and how they affect gene expression. Recently discovered ‘modification-dependent’ restriction enzymes such as MspJI/AspBHI (8,9), and PvuRts1I/AbaSI (10,11), provide a way of answering some of these questions at single-base resolution. These enzymes bind to duplex DNA at sequences containing certain modifications and cleave the DNA a short distance away, generating fragments that can be sequenced and analyzed by bioinformatics (7,12,13). MspJI, for example, recognizes 5mCNNR and 5hmCNNR (R = purine, A or G) and cleaves downstream ∼9 bases away on the modified, ‘top’, strand, and ∼13 bases away on the complementary, ‘bottom’, strand, thus: 5(h)mCNNR 9/13 (12,14). At CpG dinucleotide sequences—the principle sites of eukaryotic modification—when the cytosine in both DNA strands is appropriately modified, MspJI can cleave on both sides to produce short fragments of the form 13/9 YN5(h)mCGNR 9/13 (Y = pyrimidine, C or T). These fragments capture some, although not all, of the (hydroxymethylated sites in the genome (12).

In common with many restriction enzymes (REases) that cut outside of their recognition sequence, cleavage by MspJI is stimulated by the addition of oligonucleotides (oligos) that contain its recognition sequence (12,14). This suggests that cleavage takes place cooperatively, catalyzed by two or more allosterically regulated enzyme molecules acting together. Earlier, we determined the structure of MspJI in the absence of DNA and found that it forms an unusual homotetramer, the subunits of which possess two different conformations (8). Each subunit comprises an N-terminal putative DNA-binding domain and a C-terminal putative DNA-cleavage domain joined by an ∼10-residue linker. In subunits A and B of the tetramer, the binding and cleavage domains are close together (‘closed’ conformation), and in subunits C and D they are farther apart with respect to each other (‘open’ conformation).

Based on structural and biochemical observations, we propose a complex model for MspJI in which the tetramer binds two DNA molecules at once, one subunit recognizing...
each duplex and two other subunits cooperating to cleave it (8). Awkward as this organization might seem, further structural analysis we have now performed, of MspJI bound to DNA, largely confirms it. We report this bound structure here and our interpretation for how MspJI acts. The new structure extends our understanding of restriction enzyme mechanisms and provides a precedent for how cleavage by other REases that act as complexes might also take place (15–18).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Crystallography

MspJI wild type (WT) was expressed and purified as previously described (8,12). For co-crystallization, final concentrations utilized were ∼12 mg ml⁻¹ MspJI (0.24 mM monomer or 0.06 mM tetramer) in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol (v/v), 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and 0.13 mM 27-bp oligo, in the presence of 4 mM CaCl₂ (to avoid cleavage of the oligo). Crystals were grown at 16°C by the hanging-drop vapor-diffusion method using equal amounts of protein/DNA mixture and well solutions with 10–15% polyethylene glycol MME 2000, 12.5–15% Tacsimate™ (Hampton Research), pH 6.4–6.6. The Tacsimate solution (100% at pH 7.0) was adjusted with HCl to lower the pH to 6.4–6.6. Crystals large enough to acquire with a nylon loop (Hampton) were quickly transferred to the well solution containing 20% ethylene glycol before being flash-frozen directly in liquid nitrogen, stored and later used in X-ray diffraction experiments.

The data set was processed using the program HKL2000 (19). During initial processing, data first appeared to be P6₁cc, but were determined to be P6₁ by merohedral twin-previous analysis of double-stranded (ds) activator oligo containing a fully methylated Dcm target site, C₅mCWGG (12).

RESULTS

We crystallized MspJI in the presence of a 27-bp asymmetric dsDNA oligo containing the hemimethylated recognition site, 5mCGGG, close to the 5’ end of the top strand. Previous experiments indicated that this was the shortest oligo MspJI could cleave. The structure was solved by molecular replacement and refined to a resolution of 3.0 Å (Table 1). The crystallographic asymmetric unit contained one MspJI tetramer and one DNA duplex (Figure 1a), even though crystallization was carried out under conditions of two duplexes per tetramer. This discrepancy stemmed from crystal packing. Although each tetramer interacted with two symmetry-related DNA molecules (Figure 1b and c), every DNA molecule also interacted with two symmetry-related tetramers, a consequence of crystal packing. The proximal portion of each duplex, containing the methylated MspJI recognition sequence (Figure 1e), interacted specifically with the DNA-binding domain of subunit C of one tetramer, and the distal portion interacted...
Figure 1. Packing interaction of the MspJI–DNA complex in the crystal lattice. (a) Three tetramer–DNA complexes packed together in space group P6₁. Each complex is rotated 120° along the crystallographic c-axis. (b) One tetramer interacts with two symmetry-related DNA molecules. (c) Only the C-D dimer of the tetramer interacts with DNA. (d) Alternatively, one DNA molecule interacts with two symmetry-related tetramers. (e) The 27-bp DNA oligo used for crystallization. (f) The subunits C and D of two different tetramers interact with one DNA molecule.

non-specifically with the DNA-binding domain of subunit D of the symmetry-related tetramer (Figure 1f), mediating crystal lattice interactions along the crystallographic c-axis (Figure 1a).

The MspJI tetramer

The DNA-bound form of the MspJI homotetramer is similar in overall structure to the unbound form that we described previously (8). Each subunit comprises an N-terminal DNA sequence-recognition domain (residues 1–260) and a C-terminal DNA strand-hydrolysis domain (residues 271–456), with an ~10-residue connecting linker (amino acids 261–270). The catalytic domains of all four subunits are structurally similar (root-mean-squared deviation = 1.3 Å across 186 Ca atoms), as too for the most part are the recognition domains (rmsd = 1.7 Å across 251 Ca atoms). The spatial relationship between the two domains differs among the subunits, however. In subunits A and B, they are close together (‘closed’ conformation) and in subunits C and D, they are separated and rotated with respect to each other (‘open’ conformation) (Figure 2a). The recognition domains of the A:B dimer are held close to the catalytic body of enzyme, while those of the C:D dimer are swung out (Figure 2a). Only the latter, ‘open’ recognition domains of the C:D dimer interact with DNA in the cocystal (Figure 2a).

The catalytic sites of the A:B dimer face away from one another, in locations where they cannot cooperate to accomplish cleavage of dsDNA (Figure 2b). Those of the C:D dimer do the same. Pairing of the A:B dimer with the C:D dimer to form the tetramer brings these catalytic sites together in positions suitable for cleavage. The catalytic site of subunit A juxtaposes that of D, and catalytic site of subunit B, that of C (Figure 2b). Together, the four catalytic domains form the central, box-shaped, body of the enzyme comprising two catalytic centers for dsDNA cleavage (Figure 2b). These centers are located on opposite sides of the box, accessible from solution and with geometries appropriate for dsDNA cleavage generating 4-nt 5′-overhangs. The DNA molecule bound specifically by subunit C in the structure is aligned with, and closest to, the catalytic center formed by subunits A and D (Figure 2a and b). Modeling showed that if DNA were bound specifically by subunit D, it would be aligned with, and closest to, the catalytic center formed by subunits B and C, whereas DNA bound by subunits A and B would be misaligned, and far from, either
Figure 2. General features of MspJI–DNA interaction. (a) One tetramer interacts with two DNA molecules. The A-B dimer is in closed conformation (bottom), while the C-D dimer is in the open conformation (top). The DNA bound specifically by the recognition domain of subunit C (in blue on the left side of the tetramer) is aligned with, and closest to, the catalytic center formed by subunits A (green) and D (see panel (b)). (b) Simplified tetramer formation mediated by the four catalytic domains (after removing all four DNA recognition domains). The DNA molecule on the left side of the tetramer is close to the catalytic center formed by subunits A and D (dashed red circle). In our structure, the DNA is moved away, due to its interactions with the adjacent tetramer. (c, d) Two views of the recognition domain of subunit C binding DNA specifically. The side chains of Gln33 and Glu65 are located in the minor DNA groove, while Lys173 is in the next major groove.

catalytic center. The MspJI tetramer thus appears to have two centers for dsDNA cleavage (the paired catalytic sites of A+D and the paired catalytic sites of B+C); two DNA-binding sites for productive recognition and cleavage (recognition domains C and D); and two DNA-binding sites for non-productive recognition but, potentially, allosteric activation (recognition domains A and B).

Protein–DNA interactions

The 27-bp oligo with which MspJI co-crystallized interacts directly with only two of the four subunits of the tetramer (Figure 1f). Base pairs 5–8 of the top strand of the oligo correspond to a hemimethylated MspJI recognition sequence, 5mCNNR (Figure 1e). The N-terminal domain of subunit C binds this sequence intimately, centered on the 5mC. We refer to this as the ‘specific’ protein–DNA interaction, which involves the proximal section of the oligo (subunit C in Figure 1f). Thirteen base pairs downstream from the 5mC, the N-terminal domain of subunit D of the neighboring tetramer binds the same DNA molecule less intimately, centered on a guanine in the complementary strand at base pair 18 (Figure 1e). We refer to this as the ‘non-specific’ interaction, which involves the distal section of the oligo (subunit D in Figure 1f). We discuss these interactions and their significance below.

MspJI–DNA-specific interactions

The N-terminal recognition domain of each MspJI subunit resembles the eukaryotic SET and RING-associated (SRA) domain (22–24), which binds to hemimethylated 5mCpG dinucleotide sequences. It contains a striking arch-like structure of seven β-strands, central to which is the highly curved, 20-residue β8 strand (amino acids 175–194; Figure 2c and d). Helices pack on the outer surface of the arch. The arch of subunit C surrounds the DNA and forms the DNA-binding surface. The methylated cytosine is
Resolution (˚A) 3.06 (3.16–3.06)
Wavelength (˚A) 1.0000
Beamline (SERCAT) APS 22-ID

percentage completeness

Table 1. Summary of X-ray data collection and refinement statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>MspJI/DNA (27 bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P6_3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Cell dimensions | α = 90, β = 90, γ = 120 (°)
|                 | a = 88, b = 88, c = 512 (˚A) |
| Beamline (SERCAT) | APS 22-ID    |
| Resolution (˚A)a | 35.03–3.06 (3.16–3.06) |
| Wavelength (˚A) | 1.0000           |
| Reflections     | 42 723 (4314)   |
| Unique reflections | 201 684 |
| Redundancya     | 4.7 (4.8)       |
| Rmergea         | 0.117 (0.698)   |
| Fmergea         | 10.8 (2.3)      |
| Completeness (%)a | 99.8 (100.0) |
| Redundancya     | 4.7 (4.8)       |
| Unique reflections | 42 723 (4314) |

Rwork/Rmerge = 0.227/0.258
Twinning fraction (operator) 0.49 (h,-h,-k,-l)
No. atoms
Protein 13 193
DNA 1042
B-factors (˚A^2)
Protein 95.7
DNA 96.9
Rms deviations
Bond lengths (˚A) 0.002
Bond angles (°) 0.56

flipped out from the helix into a slot-like pocket in the under surface of the arch. Phosphate contacts involving both the recognition (modified) strand and the complementary strand span several base pairs flanking the flipped 5mC (Figure 3a and b).

The 5mC-binding pocket

The extra-helical 5mC is bound by a combination of hydrophobic and acidic residues (Figure 3c and d). Trp101 and Tyr114 sandwich the base and contribute to stability through hydrogen bonding and ionic interactions. The aromatic residues of Trp101 and Tyr114 interact with the flipped 5mC via π–π stacking. The hydrophobic face of Trp101 forms a hydrophobic layer of the 5mC-binding pocket. The 5mC-binding pocket of MspJI is not static, but changes shape when the methylated base is present. Comparison of the binding pocket of subunit C with the empty binding pockets of subunits A and B shows that the dimensions of the pocket change as Trp101 rotates onto the base to form one layer of the π-stacked sandwich.

Sequence recognition

Outside of the 5mC-binding pocket, residues from three loops interact with DNA bases from the binding surface of the arch: Gln33 (Loop-2B between strand β2 and helix αB), Glu65 (Loop-B3 between helix αB and strand β3) and Lys173 (Loop-7B between strands β7 and β8) (Figure 2c and d). Gln33 and Glu65 are located in the minor DNA groove, and Lys173 in the major groove (Figure 2d). Glu65 forms a minor groove H-bond with the 2-amino group of the flipped 5mC (Figure 3e), and if it were closer, it could also interact with the ring N3 atom of the succeeding guanine. The interaction(s) with Gln33 are unlikely to play a role in specificity determination since any base (N) can occupy these two positions in the MspJI recognition sequence, 5mCNNR. To assess the importance of Gln33, we changed this residue to alanine (Q33A) to eliminate the H-bond(s), and to asparagine (Q33N) to reduce the length of the side chain without otherwise altering its properties. Both mutants displayed WT specificity and cleavage behavior (data not shown), confirming that Gln33 is enzymatically unimportant.

MspJI has a strong preference for the third base down from the flipped 5mC to be a purine (A or G). Specificity for purine is usually associated with a major groove H-bond to the N7 ring atom, often donated by the electropositive side chain of an amino acid such as lysine (29–31). Lys173 of subunit C is positioned to function in this way in MspJI, but is slightly too far (4.3 ˚A) from the purine N7 atom to form a strong H-bond. It is possible that this is a crystallization consequence due to non-specific binding of the distal portion of the oligo (see the later section) and that Lys173 is closer in solution. It is also possible that the structure observed represents a post-recognition stage and that the transition from recognition to catalysis involves small conformation adjustments that dissolve the earlier contact at this position. To assess the importance of Lys173, we replaced it with all other 19 amino acids. Most of the resulting proteins proved to be completely inactive, indicating that Lys173 is enzymatically essential. Three mutants with large side chains, in which the Lys173 was replaced by glutamate (K173E), tyrosine (K173Y) and arginine (K173R), displayed slight nicking activity on substrate plasmid DNA, but otherwise were also inactive (data not shown).
Figure 3. Details of MspJI–DNA interaction. (a) The recognition domain of subunit C binds specifically to 5mCNNG. The flipped 5mC is in an acidic slot-like pocket. The surface charge distribution at neutral pH is displayed as blue for positive, red for negative and white for neutral. (b) Schematic MspJI–DNA interactions via subunit C (blue) close to the 5′ end of the modified strand (at base-pair position 5) and subunit D of second tetramer (green) at base-pair position 18. (c, d) Two views of specific interactions involving the flipped 5mC in the slot-like pocket. Inter-atom distances are shown in Å. (e) DNA base interactions involving Gln33, Glu65 and Lys173. (f) The recognition domain of subunit D binds non-specifically GNNG sequence. The orientation is similar to that of subunit C–DNA interaction (panel (a)). The flipped Gua is on the edge of an open pocket. (g) The flipped Gua is surrounded by side chains of Trp92, Trp101 and Lys119 (which interacts with the 5′ phosphate). (h) Superimposition of 5mC-bound subunit C (in blue) and Gua-bound subunit D (in green) indicates that conformational changes (red arrows) occur between specific (yellow DNA) and non-specific interactions (gray DNA).

MspJI–DNA non-specific interactions

The distal portion of the crystallization oligo was found to interact with the binding site of subunit D of the next tetramer in the crystal lattice (Figure 1f). The nucleotide sequence of this portion of the oligo does not contain an MspJI recognition site, and so this interaction is sequence non-specific. Despite the absence of 5mC at this position, a base is nevertheless flipped from the helix, we observe, leaving behind an orphan base in the other DNA strand. This non-specific binding and concomitant base flipping were entirely unexpected.

The flipped base, guanine at position 18 of the complementary strand (Figure 3b) is partially, but not fully, within the 5mC-binding pocket of subunit D (Figure 3f–h). Because the C and D subunits have opposite orientations in the tetramer, and the flipped 5mC and G bases occur in opposite strands, the strand specificity of base flipping is the same at the non-specific site as it is at the specific site. The flipped guanine stacks against Trp92, Trp101 and the aliphatic portion of side chain of Lys119 (Figure 3g). The pocket is more open than in subunit C mainly due to Trp101, the side chain of which is mid-way between the unlated (subunits A and B) and latched (subunit C) configurations (Figure 3h). Trp92 and Trp101, from the loop between strands β4 and β5, both undergo large conformational changes in conjunction with specific binding of the flipped 5mC (indicated by red arrows in Figure 3h).

The fact that the base is flipped in the non-specific interaction implies an unexpected stability for the flipped conformation. It also suggests that flipping might be an integral part of the sequence-discrimination mechanism of MspJI, the way in which it distinguishes the ‘right’ sequence to bind from all of the other sequences that are ‘wrong’. Most sequence-specific proteins discriminate between bases...
while they are intra-helical, through contacts in the major, and to some extent the minor, DNA grooves. Lacking any major groove contacts with which to discriminate 5mC while intra-helical, MspJI might do this instead by flipping every base in turn as it interrogates DNA, and assessing whether or not it fits in the pocket. Those that fit—5mC and 5hmC—are then accepted as ‘right’, and those that do not fit—everything else—are rejected as ‘wrong’. Trp101 might be the key determinant in this regard, latching down on the right bases and capturing them, but not latching down on the others.

A similar interrogation mechanism involving the trapping of sterically correct flipped bases has been proposed for DNA repair enzymes, such as human uracil DNA glycosylase (32). These enzymes distort DNA by bending, interrogate it intra-helically to detect lesions, flip potential substrate nucleotides to varying degrees and reject non-substrates back to DNA helices allowing only the appropriate ones to reach the catalytic site (reviewed in (33, 34)). The HhaI methyltransferase has also been found to flip ‘wrong’ bases into its catalytic site pocket at mismatched target sites within its recognition sequence (35).

In contrast to the large movements of the 5mC binding-pocket residues, those of base-interacting residues, Lys173, Gln33 and Glu65, are small. The third base down from flipped guanine at the non-specific site is also guanine (Gua 15; Figure 3b), as it is too at the specific site. Lys173 in the major groove is farther from the guanine O6 atom at this site (4.3 Å, compared to 4.0 Å), and closer to the purine N7 atom (3.1 Å), sufficiently close to form a strong H-bond with the latter. Lys173 is thus better positioned to discriminate A or G (R) from C or T (Y), here, than it is at the proximal site. This might imply that once sequence recognition has occurred, this particular contact is lost due to subsequent conformational changes. Or, it might just indicate that the DNA at the specific site is pulled away in the crystal by its interaction with the neighboring tetramer. The position of Glu65 in the minor groove barely changes between proximal and distal sites. It does not interact with the orphan partner (Cyt 18) of the flipped base, as it does at the proximal site, and this base assumes an unusual perpendicular orientation within the helix. Gln33, also in the minor groove, forms an H-bond with the 2-amino group of Gua 17 located in the opposite strand to the flipped base, rather than in the same strand, as occurs at the proximal site (Figure 3b). In AbaSI, another modification-dependent REase we recently studied, Gln209 interacts with either a G:C or an A:T base pair in the DNA minor groove (11), again indicating that glutamine-mediated minor groove interactions can be non-specific.

MspJI mutagenesis

The properties of a number of point mutants of MspJI (8), and of a related enzyme, AspBHI (9), were reported earlier. Additional mutations, described below, were constructed during this study. Loop-45 (residues 90–103), between strands β4 and β5, includes Ser90 and Trp92 at the beginning of the loop, and Trp101 and Asp103 at the end, which perform critical functions in the 5mC-recognition pocket (Figure 3c and d). This loop adopts a somewhat different conformation according to whether the pocket is occupied (subunit C), partially occupied (subunit D) or empty (subunits A and B). Deletion derivatives were constructed that lacked residues 95 and 96 (mutant ∆95–96) or residues 95 through 98 (∆95–98) (Figure 4a). Both mutant proteins were almost inactive, confirming the importance of the loop. The residual activity they displayed resulted mainly in nicked substrate plasmid DNA (Figure 4b).

Loop-78 (residues 162–174), between strands β7 and β8, partially occupies the DNA major groove downstream of the 5mC and includes Lys173, the likely determinant of the purine (A or G) specificity of MspJI (Figure 3e). Deletion derivatives were constructed that lacked residues 167 and 168 (∆167–168), 167 through 170 (∆167–170) and 167 through 173 (∆167–173) (Figure 4a). Proteins ∆167–168 and ∆167–173 were completely inactive. Protein ∆167–170 displayed very low activity, and like the loop-56 mutants resulted mainly in nicked substrate DNA (Figure 4b). These results confirm the importance of this loop, too, in enzyme function.

Lys173 is the likely determinant of purine (R) specificity in the MspJI recognition sequence, 5(h)mCNNR. As mentioned above, all of the Lys173 mutants we constructed proved to be inactive or nearly-so, indicating that this residue is enzymatically essential. Alone among these, the phenylalanine replacement, K173F, displayed a striking change in behavior. Its endonuclease activity was also much reduced, but rather than generating a fragment pattern, or only nicking DNA, K173F generated a smear at high enzyme concentration, signifying random dsDNA cleavage (Figure 4c). This suggests that Lys173 might couple DNA cleavage to sequence recognition in the WT enzyme, making the one dependent on the proper functioning of the other. In K173F, cleavage and recognition appear to have become uncoupled, such that cleavage can now occur anywhere within the DNA instead of only at recognition sites.

DISCUSSION

The catalytic residues of MspJI comprise Asp334, Gln355, A356 and Lys357 of the DX3QAK motif (8, 14), and represent a variation of the common ‘PD-(D/E)KK’ class of endonuclease sites that require Mg2+-ions for activity (36). To avoid cleavage of the oligo, we co-crystallized MspJI in the presence of Ca2+-ions. This precaution might not have been necessary because the non-specific binding interaction with the adjacent tetramer in the crystal lattice prevents the oligo from engaging with the catalytic centers (Figure 2b). Because MspJI cleaves some distance from its recognition sequence, the distal section of the oligo that must interact with the catalytic residues in order for cleavage to occur was found, instead, to interact with the recognition residues of the neighboring binding domain (Figure 1e). The base pairs involved in the non-specific interaction were the same ones that would otherwise interact with the catalytic sites. This is a coincidence of crystal packing, in our view, rather than a ‘bona fide’ aspect of the restriction mechanism. The non-specific interaction is nevertheless interesting because it exemplifies, perhaps, the initial phase of MspJI activity in which the enzyme diffuses along the DNA checking for the ‘correct’ recognition sequence to bind.
Cleavage by MspJI is strongly affected by substrate concentration. Maximal activity occurs at a molar ratio of approximately four substrate DNA molecules to one MspJI tetramer, and declines markedly when enzyme is present in excess (8). This suggests that MspJI is active only when all four SRA-like DNA recognition domains are specifically bound to recognition sequences. In the co-crystal structure reported here, only the binding domains of the ‘open’ subunits, C and D, interact with DNA. We consider this a consequence of crystal packing rather than protein structure. Modeling suggests that DNA could interact specifically with the binding domains of the ‘closed’ A and B subunits in solution, but due to steric conflicts, not in the crystal lattice. DNA modeled into the A and B binding domains is not properly oriented relative to the catalytic centers to undergo cleavage, we find. It seems likely, then, that the recognition domains of subunits A and B do not participate in catalysis, but instead might act indirectly by triggering allosteric activation. Previously, we tested whether non-methylated CNNG oligos would act as activator, instead of 5mCNNG, and no stimulation effect on activity was observed (14).

Comparisons with other restriction enzymes

The individual subunits of MspJI are reminiscent of the Type IIS restriction enzyme, FokI. FokI (specificity: GGATG 9/13) also comprises an N-terminal DNA-recognition domain connected to a C-terminal DNA-cleavage domain through a short linker. FokI cleaves DNA a similar distance away from its recognition sequence as MspJI, and also generates 4-nt 5’-overhangs. Its catalytic domain contains a single PD-(D/E)XK catalytic site, and pairing between two such domains is needed for cleavage. FokI is thought to bind to its recognition sequence as a monomer, and then to cleave following ‘transient’ dimerization of its catalytic domain with that of another FokI molecule bound to a second site, or less efficiently, free in solution (40, 41). Many Type IIS restriction enzymes appear...
to act in this way, in fact, and require two recognition sites for cleavage (42).

Two crystal structures of FokI have been reported, one with DNA (43) and one without (44). In the former, the protein is monomeric; the DNA is bound specifically by the recognition domain, but the catalytic domain is far from the DNA, in a ‘sequestered’ position nestled against the binding domain. This conformation is comparable to the ‘closed’ forms of the MspJI A and B subunits. In the other FokI structure, without DNA, the catalytic domains of two subunits—both in sequestered conformation—pair to form a catalytic center for dsDNA cleavage. Modeling and electron microscopy (41,45) suggest that at the time of DNA cleavage, one of the FokI monomers adopts an alternative conformation that brings its catalytic domain close to the DNA molecule to which it is bound. Following transient dimerization, this domain then hydrolyzes the bottom DNA strand 13 bases from the recognition sequence, and the other, ‘recruited’ domain, which remains sequestered, hydrolyzes the top strand 9 bases away (46). The alternative conformation of FokI has not been observed directly, and remains speculative. It might resemble in a general way the alternative, ‘open’, form of the MspJI C and D subunits.

A number of combined, restriction-and-modification enzymes act as large multimers that bind and cleave several recognition sequences at once. These enzymes, variously referred to as ‘Type IIB, IIC or IIG’ (reviewed in (37)), cleave outside of their recognition sequences and occur in a variety of oligomeric forms, some comprising separate subunits (15,47), others joined into multi-domain, single-chain proteins (48–50). The structures of their individual restriction, modification and specificity components are fairly well understood, but precisely how these fit together into the active forms of the enzymes is unclear. The structure of the MspJI tetramer sheds some light on this matter. It shows that the domains of otherwise identical subunits can assume different conformations with respect to each other in the assembled enzyme. This might help to explain how enzymes such as TstI compensate for the otherwise puzzling numerical imbalance in their specificity and catalytic domains (18). The MspJI structure also shows that subunits do not necessarily cleave the same DNA molecule they bind, but can cleave those bound by other subunits, instead. This might explain how enzymes such as BglI cleave apparently upstream of their recognition sequence, while structurally similar enzymes such as MneI cleave with identical geometry apparently downstream (16,51). What emerges from these considerations is that MspJI, which appears to be a strange restriction enzyme indeed, might not be so unusual after all. As we learn more about the structures of complex restriction enzymes, it might become clear that MspJI shares features with many of them.
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