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Hongwei Chen1,*, Liya Wang1,2,†, Qiqi Yu1,2, Weiping Qian3, Diana Tiwari1, Hong Yi4, Andrew Y Wang5, Jing Huang6, Lily Yang3, Hui Mao1,2

1Department of Radiology and Imaging Sciences, 2Center for Systems Imaging, 3Department of Surgery, Emory University School of Medicine, 4Robert A. Karpian Electron Microscopy Core, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, 5Ocean NanoTech LLC, Springdale, AK, USA

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract: Antifouling magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs) coated with block copolymer poly(ethylene oxide)-block-poly(γ-methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane) (PEO-b-PγMPS) were investigated for improving cell targeting by reducing nonspecific uptake. Conjugation of a HER2 antibody, Herceptin®, or a single chain fragment (ScFv) of antibody against epidermal growth factor receptor (ScFvEGFR) to PEO-b-PγMPS-coated IONPs resulted in HER2-targeted or EGFR-targeted IONPs (anti-HER2-IONPs or ScFvEGFR-IONPs). The anti-HER2-IONPs bound specifically to SK-BR-3, a HER2-overexpressing breast cancer cell line, but not to MDA-MB-231, a HER2-underexpressing cell line. On the other hand, the ScFvEGFR-IONPs showed strong reactivity with MDA-MB-231, an EGFR-positive human breast cancer cell line, but not with MDA-MB-453, an EGFR-negative human breast cancer cell line. Transmission electron microscopy revealed internalization of the receptor-targeted nanoparticles by the targeted cancer cells. In addition, both antibody-conjugated and non-antibody-conjugated IONPs showed reduced nonspecific uptake by RAW264.7 mouse macrophages in vitro. The developed IONPs showed a long blood circulation time (serum half-life 11.6 hours) in mice and low accumulation in both the liver and spleen. At 24 hours after systemic administration of ScFvEGFR-IONPs into mice bearing EGFR-positive breast cancer 4T1 mouse mammary tumors, magnetic resonance imaging revealed signal reduction in the tumor as a result of the accumulation of the targeted IONPs.
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Introduction

Nanomaterials are of great interest for biomedical applications as imaging probes,1–4 phototherapy agents,5 and drug delivery carriers.6 Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs) functionalized with biomarker targeting ligands offer promising applications as novel and more sensitive magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast enhancing agents for biomarker-specific and noninvasive detection of cancers as well as image-guided drug delivery.2,7,8 In order to achieve an effective concentration of nanoparticles in the target tissue or tumor site after systemic delivery, accumulation, retention, and eventually cellular internalization of the nanoparticles entail the targeted nanoparticles navigating from the circulation to the tissue of interest and binding to their molecular target.9 However, many types of systemically delivered nanoparticles are rapidly cleared from the circulation by the reticuloendothelial system.10 Nonspecific or “off-target” uptake by the reticuloendothelial system and macrophages results in reduced bioavailability of...
the targeting agents, a low therapeutic index, and potential toxicity to normal organs.\textsuperscript{11} For both passive tumor targeting via the enhanced permeability and retention effect in highly vascularized tumors and active tumor targeting via ligand-target interactions, systemically administered nanoparticles are expected to have pharmacokinetic properties that maintain a high plasma concentration for a certain period of time. Therefore, reducing nonspecific binding of biomolecules and uptake of nanoparticles by the reticuloendothelial system is an important strategy for improving the sensitivity and specificity of biomarker targeted nanoparticles and for sufficient delivery of the imaging probes or therapeutic agents to the desired targets. Thus, nanoparticles with antibiofouling or “stealth” coating, which may alleviate the rapid clearance of systemically delivered targeting nanoparticles from the blood circulation and prolong the blood retention time, are desirable candidates for development of molecular imaging and drug delivery applications.

Traditionally, poly (ethylene glycol) (PEG) or poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) molecules with an optimal molecular weight are used to modify the nanoparticle surface through different anchor groups to reduce nonspecific interaction of nanoparticles with cells.\textsuperscript{12–17} Although PEGylation has been demonstrated to be useful for preventing aggregation of nanoparticles in physiologic conditions, obtaining a sufficient PEG density on the nanoparticle surface to prevent blood opsonization may be limited by the weak affinity of anchor groups.\textsuperscript{16,17} Other surface functionalizations, such as conjugation of targeting moieties or crosslinking payload materials, may also interfere with PEGylation. Recently, polysiloxane-based block copolymers have been developed to coat and stabilize nanocrystals.\textsuperscript{18–21} These polysiloxane polymer-coated nanoparticles exhibit inept responses to macromolecules in blood and macrophage cells, likely attributable to protection of the hydrophilic layer and neutral surface from both PEO and silanol groups. In addition, these polysiloxane polymers are readily available for reacting with different functional groups through various siloxane-based molecules.

In previous work, we have shown that PEO-\textsuperscript{block}-poly (γ-methacryloyloxypropyltrimethoxysilane) (PEO-b-PγMPS)-coated magnetic IONPs enhance cancer cell targeting as well as reduce nonspecific binding compared with PEG-coated IONPs, when functionalized with the small tripeptide ligand RGD.\textsuperscript{21} Here we report the investigation of their blood retention time in mice and further development of antibiofouling PEO-b-PγMPS-coated magnetic nanocrystals for targeting and imaging of breast cancer using much larger targeting moieties, ie, the anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody (trastuzumab, Herceptin\textsuperscript{®}, Roche, Basel, Switzerland) or single chain antibody fragment (ScFv) against epidermal growth factor receptor (ScFvEGFR) in order to broaden the applications of this new material with traditional and readily available antibody ligands. PEO-b-PγMPS diblock copolymer-coated IONPs are reported to have “stealth” properties and a long blood circulation time, which enables active targeting of breast cancer cells and receptor-targeted imaging of xenografted breast tumors in nude mice using MRI.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

Sulfo-\textsuperscript{succinimidyl 6-[3′(2-pyridyldithio)-propionamido]} hexanoate (Sulfo-LC-SPDP), and diithiothreitol were purchased from Pierce Biotechnology (Rockford, IL, USA). Seventy percent concentrated nitric acid, (3-amino[propyl] triethoxysilane (APTES), and all reagents for spectrophotometric determination of iron concentration, including hydroquinone, o-phenanthroline, and ammonium iron (II) sulfate hexahydrate, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Herceptin\textsuperscript{®} (145 kDa) was purchased from Emory University Hospital pharmacy. ScFvEGFR (25–28 kDa) was prepared using a procedure reported previously.\textsuperscript{22} Human breast cancer cells, ie, SK-BR-3, MDA-MB-231, MCF-7, and MDA-MB-453, 4T1 mouse mammary tumor cell line was provided by Dr Fred Miller (Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA), along with a RAW264.7 mouse macrophage cell line were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA). SK-BR-3 or MDA-MB-231 are breast cancer cells with known overexpression of HER-2 or EGFR, respectively. For comparison, MCF-7 with a low level of HER2 expression and MDA-MB-453 with a low level of EGFR expression were used as negative control cell lines. Cells were maintained as monolayer adherent cell cultures in a humidified incubator (95% air, 5% CO\textsubscript{2}) at 37°C in medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum. The SK-BR-3, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-453, and 4T1 cancer cell lines and the RAW264.7 macrophage cells were kept in Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 medium, while the MCF-7 cancer cell were incubated in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium.

**Magnetic IONP synthesis and antibody conjugation**

PEO-b-PγMPS diblock copolymer-coated IONPs were synthesized using previously reported methods.\textsuperscript{20,21,23} The method for coating single core nanocrystals was similar to that reported previously with slight modification.\textsuperscript{20}
Briefly, the purified nanocrystals (100 mg) were dispersed in 10 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran and then mixed with the newly synthesized copolymer (1 g) in 10 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran. After being aged for 4 days, the mixture was added dropwise into 100 mL of water with gentle magnetic stirring. The tetrahydrofuran in the solution was removed by dialysis using deionized water. The resulting solution was purified using a magnetic barrier laboratory separator (SG Frantz Company Inc, Tullytown, PA, USA). This wash-resuspend cycle was repeated three times. The humanized anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody (Herceptin) and ScFvEGFR were conjugated to IONPs as breast cancer-targeting ligands. The conjugation was carried out using a two-step procedure. First, 1 mL of aqueous IONPs (1 mg Fe) was washed thoroughly with ethanol to remove any water residue. The particles were dispersed in 0.9 mL of ethanol, and 1.0 µL of APTES in 0.1 mL of ethanol was added dropwise into the suspension. The suspension of PEO-b-PγMPS diblock copolymer-coated IONPs was heated at 60°C for 2 hours with gentle stirring and then rinsed thoroughly with distilled water. The amine-modified particles were redispersed in 1 mL of phosphate-buffered saline with EDTA (20 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.5). The IONP suspension was then added with 25 µL of fresh prepared 20 mM Sulfo- LC-SPDP solution and incubated for 40 minutes at room temperature. Overnight dialysis using 12–14,000 molecular weight cutoff tubing was performed to remove excess nonreacted Sulfo-LC-SPDP reagent. Next, 0.3 mg of ScFvEGFR was washed by centrifugation using a 10 K Nanosep® centrifuge tube (Pall Corporation, Putnam, CT, USA). This antibody was then modified with Sulfo-LC-SPDP using the same procedure described above. Sulfo-LC-SPDP-modified antibody was treated with dithiothreitol (50 mM), a reducing reagent, for 30 minutes followed by use of a desalting column (Zeba desalt spin columns, Pierce Biotechnology) to remove the excess dithiothreitol. Sulphhydryl-modified antibody was then mixed with Sulfo-LC-SPDP-modified IONPs and the mixture was incubated for 18 hours at 4°C, then dialyzed again using 100,000 molecular weight cutoff tubing to remove unconjugated antibody. The same method was applied to conjugate anti-HER2 antibody to IONPs, except that the resulting sample was purified using an Easysyep® magnet (STEMCELL Technologies Inc, Vancouver, BC, Canada) three times to get rid of residual unconjugated HER2 antibody. The final iron concentration was determined by spectrophotometry. The antibody concentration was estimated by the Bradford method. The average hydrodynamic diameter and zeta potential of the composite nanoparticles were measured using a dynamic light scattering instrument (Malvern Zeta Sizer Nano S-90, Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). A transmission electron microscope (TEM, H-7500 instrument, 75 kV, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) was used for evaluating and visualizing the prepared nanoparticles for their core size and uniformity.

**Receptor-targeted cellular uptake of IONPs**

To test for receptor-mediated uptake of anti-HER2 or anti-ScFvEGFR-conjugated IONPs by breast cancer cells with overexpression of HER2 or EGFR, the cells were seeded in eight-well culture chamber slides overnight before incubating with the nanoparticles. Next, 100 nM antibody-conjugated IONPs or non-antibody-conjugated IONPs in cell culture medium were added into the culture chamber, and incubation was performed at room temperature for 6 hours with gentle shaking. Afterwards, the cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline to remove excess particles and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. Prussian blue staining was used to determine the presence of iron in all the cells followed by counterstaining with Nuclear Fast red solution. The results of Prussian blue staining were assessed using a light microscope. For HER2 or ScFvEGFR inhibition experiments, before incubating with anti-HER2 or ScFvEGFR-IONPs, the cells were treated with 100 times excess of free HER2 or ScFvEGFR (molar ratio) for one hour followed by three washes with phosphate-buffered saline. For testing nonspecific uptake, anti-HER2-IONPs, ScFvEGFR-IONPs, and nonconjugated IONPs were incubated with macrophages (RAW264.7). After each well was exposed to a 0.1 mg/mL IONP in Hanks solution for one hour at 37°C, the cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline and then fixed for 20 minutes with 0.5 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde. Subsequently, the cells were stained with Prussian blue and Nuclear Fast red, and then mounted to be visualized.

**Electron microscopy of uptake of ScFvEGFR-conjugated IONPs**

After 8 hours of incubation (95% air, 5% CO₂, 37°C) with ScFvEGFR-conjugated IONPs, MDA-MB-231 cells were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2) and embedded in epoxy resin. Monolayer cells on the surface of the resin block were then sectioned into 70 nm ultrathin sections and imaged without contrasting stain on a TEM (JEM-1400, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a Gatan Orius 832 charge-coupled device (CCD).
Serum half-life and biodistribution of IONPs in mice

All animal experiments in this work were approved by the Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee at Emory University. The blood circulation time and organ biodistribution of PEO-b-PγMPS diblock copolymer-coated IONPs in mice were determined by measuring iron concentrations in the serum and tissue samples.  BALB/c mice aged 4–6 weeks (n = 4/group) were injected intravenously via the tail vein with PEO-b-PγMPS diblock copolymer-coated IONPs (10 mg Fe/kg of body weight) in phosphate-buffered saline solution. At different time points, ie, 0.25, 1, 2, 4, 8, 24, and 48 hours after administrating the nanoparticles, the animals were euthanized. Blood samples were collected by terminal heart puncture and centrifuged for 10 minutes at 5,000 rpm to separate the plasma. Tissue samples of liver, spleen, kidney, lung, brain, and muscle were collected, followed by weighing and freeze drying. To determine the iron concentrations in the sera or specimens, 100 µL of serum or weighted organ tissue samples were digested in 1 mL of nitric acid (2 mL for the liver). Iron concentrations in mouse blood and organs as well as in the IONP solution were determined colorimetrically using 1,10-phenanthroline. A calibration curve was created using standard solutions containing the iron-1,10-phenanthroline complex in water with iron concentrations ranging from 0.4 µg/mL to 4 µg/mL. Nitric acid solutions of decomposed serum (400 µL) and decomposed organ tissue (200 µL) were used to measure the iron mass. Absorption spectra were obtained using a scanning spectrophotometer (UV-2401PC, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) with a slit width of 1.0 nm.

MRI of tumor-bearing mice administered IONPs

The mouse mammary tumor model was generated as described elsewhere.  Briefly, 1 × 10⁷ of 4T1 mouse mammary tumor cells with EGFR overexpression were inoculated subcutaneously on the upper back of female Balb/c mice aged 4–6 weeks. Tumors were allowed to grow for 10–14 days after inoculation before imaging experiments. Tumor-bearing mice were scanned using a 4.7 Tesla horizontal bore (33 cm) MRI scanner (Unity INOVA, Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The mice were placed in a custom-built volume coil (5 cm inner diameter and 8 cm long) and anesthetized using 2% isoflurane delivered via a mask throughout the MRI experiments. The animals were kept warm in the scanner using a circulating water blanket. A set of survey images was obtained using a T2-weighted fast spin echo imaging sequence with a repetition time of 5,000 msec and an echo time of 82 msec. A multi-echo T2-weighted fast spin echo sequence was followed by high-resolution images of a selected field of view covering the full extent of the tumor, with a T2-weighted fast spin echo sequence having a repetition time of 5,000 msec, a multiple effective echo time of 20, 40, 60, and 80 msec, and a 256 × 256 matrix to obtain T2 relaxometry of the whole mouse. Typically, a field of view of 40 × 70 mm, a slice thickness of 1 mm, and no gap were used.

The mice were imaged before and 24 hours after being injected with ScFvEGFR-IONPs or non-targeted IONPs (10 mg Fe/kg mouse body weight) in phosphate-buffered saline (100 µL) through the tail vein. All pre-contrast and post-contrast MRI images were compared to evaluate enhancement by the target-specific contrast agent. ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/, 1997–2011) was used to analyze the magnetic resonance images quantitatively. The regions of interest were selected by tracing the tumor and other organs. The outlines of all regions of interest were defined by two experienced readers based on magnetic resonance images. The regions of interest were used to evaluate and quantify the contrast agent-induced signal or T2 value changes in the tumor and other organs. The averaged signal intensity of the regions of interest was then analyzed quantitatively using ImageJ for comparing the signal intensity before and after injection of ScFvEGFR-IONPs.

Histologic analysis

The mice were sacrificed following MRI at 24 hours after injection of the contrast agent. Tumors and major organs were collected. The selected tissue blocks were embedded in Tissue-Tek OCT compound (Sakura Finetek Inc., Torrance, CA, USA) and frozen immediately using liquid nitrogen. The frozen tissue blocks were sectioned into slices 5 µm thick and examined by Prussian blue staining to confirm the presence of IONPs in the tissue sections. After counterstaining with Nuclear Fast red, the slides were examined under light microscopy.

Results and discussion

Functionalization of PEO-b-PγMPS-coated IONPs with antibodies

PEO-b-PγMPS diblock copolymer-coated IONPs (core size 10 nm) were made using a previously developed method, and amine groups with further silylation of
APTES were introduced. Functionalization of PEO-\(b\)-PyMPS-coated IONPs with targeting ligands was performed using a covalent conjugation method, as shown in Figure 1. Both the aminated IONPs and antibodies (ie, anti-HER2, ScFvEGFR) were first activated by Sulfo-LC-SPDP, which is a commercially available and widely used bifunctional crosslinker for bioconjugation. Free thiol groups on antibodies were generated by further reduction with dithiothreitol, and then conjugated with pyridyldithiol-activated IONPs. After conjugation, the overall hydrodynamic size increased from 23 nm to 35 nm. The zeta potential of the resulting nanoparticles was reduced from \(-9.7\) mV to \(-4.2\) mV. The conjugated nanoparticles retained their morphologic features, as demonstrated by TEM (Figure S1A). The Bradford assay was applied to quantify the amount of antibody on the particle surface (Figure S1B). Based on the Bradford calibration curve, it was estimated that approximately 3–5 anti-HER2 antibodies or approximately 28 ScFvEGFR molecules had been conjugated to each IONP.

### Specificity binding and targeting of HER2 by anti-HER2-IONPs

To confirm the specificity of HER2 targeting, anti-HER2-IONP conjugates were incubated with HER2-overexpressing SK-BR-3 cells, with HER2-underexpressing MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cancer cell lines used as controls. Strong uptake of anti-HER2-IONPs by HER2-positive cells was demonstrated on Prussian blue staining, as shown in Figure 2A. Inhibiting the HER2 receptor with 100 times excess of free HER2 antibody effectively reduced the amount of blue staining (Figure 2B), suggesting that uptake of targeted IONPs is specifically mediated by HER-2 receptors on the cancer cells. Additionally, Prussian blue staining was negative in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cancer cell lines after treatment with anti-HER2-IONPs, as shown in Figure 2C and D, further

![Figure 1 Antibody conjugation of nanoparticles with polysiloxane copolymer coating.](image)

**Abbreviations:** PEO, poly(ethylene oxide); IONPs, iron oxide nanoparticles; APTES, (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane; Sulfo-LC-SPDP, Sulfosuccinimidyl 6-[\(\beta\)-(2-pyridyldithio)- propionamido] hexanoate; DTT, dithiothreitol.
confirming the binding specificity of anti-HER2-IONPs. In contrast, when treated with nonconjugated IONPs under the same conditions, Prussian blue staining was negative in all cancer cells (Figure 2E and F), suggesting reduced nonspecific binding in the condition when active targeting was taking place. To test further if the bioconjugation process itself or exposed ligands may cause the nonspecific uptake by macrophages, Prussian blue staining was carried out to detect the presence of IONPs in macrophages after incubation of the cells with the targeted IONPs. As shown in Figure 2G and H, HER2 antibody-conjugated IONPs and ScFvEGFR-conjugated IONPs did not show any cellular uptake by macrophages after one hour of incubation, similar to the PEO-\(b\)-P\(γ\)MPS copolymer-coated nontargeted IONPs (Figure 2I). This result suggests that antibody modification does not significantly increase nonspecific binding by cancer cells or uptake by macrophages.

Differences in the expression of cellular receptors between normal and tumor cells represent a great opportunity for targeting nanoparticles to cancer cells. Using antibodies as tumor-targeting ligands for magnetic or photosensitive nanoparticles has been studied extensively in vitro and in vivo in the area of cancer imaging. Given that HER2, a well known antibody against the HER2/neu receptor overexpressed in breast cancer cells, has been widely used in the clinic for treating patients with HER2 overexpression, developing a potential clinically applicable HER2-targeting nanoparticle imaging probe and drug delivery platform has been an active research area. Previous investigations have shown the efficiency of bioconjugation of the HER2 antibody to nanoparticles, including iron oxide nanoparticles and dumb bell-like Au-Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles for specific targeting of breast cancer cells and targeted delivery. Our development of HER2-targeted PEO-\(b\)-P\(γ\)MPS copolymer-coated IONPs should provide improved HER2 targeting with reduced nonspecific uptake by macrophages and the reticuloendothelial system as well as a prolonged blood circulation time.

**Specific binding and targeting of EGFR by ScFvEGFR-IONPs**

EGFR serves as an important anticancer therapeutic and imaging target because of its overexpression in a variety of cancers. Here we employed ScFvEGFR as another approach to evaluate further the feasibility of delivery of the receptor-targeted nanoparticle into tumor cells. ScFvEGFR are the
variable region of antibody against EGFR, which retains the specificity of the original immunoglobulin and avoids Fc-mediated uptake of antibody-conjugated magnetic nanoparticles by phagocytic cells. Recent work has shown that ScFvEGFR could be used to target EGFR, resulting in molecular imaging of EGFR-overexpressing tumors with excellent tumor-to-background contrast. In the current work, cell lines with high-level or low-level expression of EGFR were used to test specific targeting of ScFvEGFR-IONPs. Figure 3 shows Prussian blue staining of IONPs in different cells treated with ScFvEGFR-IONPs, indicating significant uptake of ScFvEGFR-conjugated IONP in the EGFR-positive MDA-MB-231 cell line (Figure 3A). As described above, the binding of EGFR-targeted nanoparticles could be extensively inhibited by an excess amount of free ScFvEGFR (Figure 3B). In comparison, there was no cellular uptake observed in two cell lines (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-453) with no or low EGFR expression (Figure 3C and D). As a control, the PEO-b-PγMPS copolymer-coated IONPs without targeting ligands showed no uptake in any of the positive or negative cell lines (Figure 3E and F).

To examine further the cellular uptake of EGFR-targeted IONPs, TEM was used to visualize nanoparticles in the cellular compartments, given the high electron density of metal nanoparticles. Figure 4 shows TEM imaging of MDA-MB-231 cells treated with ScFvEGFR-conjugated IONPs that shows the cellular uptake of ScFvEGFR-conjugated nanoparticles and successful receptor-mediated endocytosis of the targeted nanoparticles. Major cellular organelles, such as the nucleus, mitochondria, Golgi apparatus, and endoplasmic reticulum are clearly observed in the TEM images. The overall view of Figure 4A shows that most of the ScFvEGFR-conjugated IONPs are located in multivesicular bodies and secondary lysosomes throughout the cytoplasm, with excellent preservation of membrane structures. No particles are observed in the cytoplasm. At higher magnification of a region in Figure 4A, multivesicular bodies loaded with ScFvEGFR-IONPs can be visualized in greater detail (Figure 4B–D). EGFR is a single membrane spanning protein with an extracellular amino terminus and intracellular carboxyl terminus. Upon ligand binding, EGFRs are activated, which results in rapid and efficient internalization of the receptors via endocytosis. The resulting endocytic vesicles round and pinch off, and are then carried into cells for processing, disassembly, or recycling. The results from TEM experiments confirm that antibody-conjugated IONPs could be incorporated into cells via this receptor-mediated endocytosis.

Serum half-time and biodistribution of PEO-b-PγMPS-coated IONPs in normal mice

The blood retention time and biodistribution of PEO-b-PγMPS-coated IONPs was investigated by measuring

![Figure 3](prussian_blue_staining.png)

**Figure 3** Prussian blue staining of MDA-MB-231 cancer cells bound with ScFvEGFR-IONPs (A). 100 times free ScFvEGFR inhibited the binding of EGFR-positive MDA-MB-231 cancer cells (B), negative MCF-7 and MDA-MB-453 cancer cells (C and D) treated with ScFvEGFR-IONPs, and MDA-MB-231, and MDA-MB-453 treated with nonconjugated IONPs (E and F). Scale bar is 10 µm.

**Abbreviations:** IONPs, iron oxide nanoparticles; ScFvEGFR, single chain fragment of antibody against epidermal growth factor receptor.
the iron concentrations in serum and selected organs at various time points after intravenous injection of a dose of 10 mg Fe/kg (mouse body weight) of IONPs in mice (n = 4 per time point). Iron concentration was determined by spectrophotometry (Figure S2). Figure 5A shows a plot of the time-dependent change in mean serum iron concentration. The mean iron concentration in mouse serum at 15 minutes after injection of IONPs was 0.323 ± 0.039 mg Fe/g, which was 6.9 times higher than that (0.047 ± 0.009 mg Fe/g) in the control mice not receiving IONPs, followed by gradual clearance of nanoparticles from the blood. Forty-eight hours after injection of PEO-b-PγMPS-coated IONPs, the iron concentration in serum approached the background level of 0.059 ± 0.014 mg Fe/g. By fitting iron concentrations obtained at different time points to a monoexponential decay model, an estimated serum half-life (t₁/₂) of 11.6 hours (R² = 0.993) was obtained for PEO-b-PγMPS diblock copolymer-coated IONPs. This blood half-time is in the range generally considered optimal for nanoparticles to reach and accumulate in the target tumor tissue.

This blood circulation time is comparable with the IONP organ distribution, in which the same analytical method was used to determine iron concentrations in the selected organs at different time points after injection of PEO-b-PγMPS-coated IONPs. Although the endogenous iron content is high in the liver and spleen, the current method is still sensitive enough to detect the change in iron content over time in these organs after intravenous injection of IONPs at dosage of 10 mg Fe/kg body weight. The biodistribution data shown in Figure 5B indicate that the iron concentrations in the liver, spleen, and lung increased initially and then decreased after peaking at about 8 hours. Twenty-four hours after injection, iron concentrations in the liver, spleen, and lung reduced further to levels close to those in normal controls, eg, the mean iron concentration in the spleen was 6.5 ± 1.0 mg Fe/g and 6.8 ± 1.0 mg Fe/g dry tissue for the experimental and control groups, respectively. Most IONPs, including commercially available Feridex, take at least several weeks to be cleared out of the body from the liver and spleen. The observation of a rapid reduction in liver iron 24 hours post injection of PEO-b-PγMPS diblock copolymer-coated IONPs suggests that these PEO-b-PγMPS diblock copolymer-coated IONPs have a lower level of uptake by the reticuloendothelial system. The data were further analyzed as percentages of injection dose after subtraction of the background iron level, as shown in Figure S3. After 24 hours, 42% of the IONP injection dose was still circulating in the blood as calculated from the data in Figure 5A, assuming that the total blood weight is about 7.8% of the mouse body weight. While about 34% of the injection dose was detected in the liver, small amounts of IONPs were also detected in the kidney (6.1% injection dose), the lungs (3.4% injection dose), and the brain (3.1% injection dose).
cells that primarily exist in the liver and spleen. The results of this study further validate the antifouling properties of PEO-\(b\)-P\(\gamma\)MPS diblock copolymer-coated IONPs.\(^{21}\) While the reticuloendothelial system provides a major route for nanoparticle clearance, other mechanisms and organs may also be involved.\(^{49}\) Nevertheless, further investigations on the clearance of these long circulating and antifouling nanoparticles is necessary in the future.

## EGFR-targeted MRI of a breast tumor mouse model

After confirming receptor-specific targeting in vitro, an in vivo study was carried out to compare the differences between targeting and nontargeting nanoparticles. MRI was performed in the mice bearing subcutaneous tumors grown from 4T1 cells before and 24 hours after intravenous injection of EGFR-targeted ScFvEGFR-IONPs or nontargeted IONPs as control (10 mg Fe/kg mouse body weight). Figure 6 shows an example of T2-weighted magnetic resonance images of selected axial slices from a mouse bearing a 4T1 tumor recorded before and 24 hours after administering ScFvEGFR-IONPs. A significant signal drop can be found in various areas of the tumor in comparison with images from the tumor before injection of IONPs. The change in MRI signals from the region suggests accumulation of magnetic nanoparticles which cause substantial reduction in T2 relaxation time and susceptibility effect, leading to T2-weighted MRI contrast. At 24 hours after intravenous injection of ScFvEGFR-IONPs, the averaged signal intensity of the tumor dropped 28% comparing to pre-injection \((P < 0.005)\). In comparison, the MRI signal change was not significant in the tumors of mice injected with nonconjugated IONPs \((P > 0.05,\) Figure 6E). The presence of ScFvEGFR-IONPs in tumor tissue was further confirmed by Prussian blue staining of tumor tissue slices (Figure 6F). Consistent with the MRI images, there was no obvious Prussian blue staining in tumor tissue from the control mice (Figure 6G). It should be noted that the current in vivo MRI experiment was done using a rather small sample size, limiting further quantitative analysis and comparison of different IONPs. The example shown in Figure 6 demonstrates the feasibility of using ScFvEGFR-IONPs for MRI contrast enhancement of targeted tumors with histologic validations.

It is proposed that targeted nanoparticles are facilitated by both a “passive” mechanism and an “active” mechanism to accumulate at the tumor site.\(^{50}\) In the passive mode, nanoparticles are accumulated and retained in the tumor interstitial space mainly via the enhanced permeability and

---

**Figure 5** (A) Serum half-life of nanoparticles after tail vein injection of 10 mg Fe/kg of mouse body weight (open squares), where iron concentration was measured by spectrophotometry. Triangle represents serum iron concentration in noninjected control mice. The error bar is the standard deviation with four mice in each time point. (B) Biodistribution of PEO-\(b\)-P\(\gamma\)MPS-coated IONPs in the major organs of BALB/c mice, including liver, spleen, kidney, lung, brain, and muscle. The data were recorded from the whole organ taken at indicated time points after tail vein injection and were determined by spectrophotometry. Each group contains four mice, and the error bar is the standard deviation.

**Abbreviations:** IONPs, iron oxide nanoparticles; PEO-\(b\)-P\(\gamma\)MPS, poly(ethylene oxide)-block-poly(\(\gamma\)-methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane); h, hours.

---

injection dose) at 24 hours post injection. It is worth noting that the percent injection dose value is negative in the spleen, which is likely due to low accumulation of administered PEO-\(b\)-P\(\gamma\)MPS diblock copolymer-coated IONPs, so that it is within the variation of the averaged spleen iron concentration obtained from different animals large variation in iron concentrations in the spleen as well as in the liver as measured by chemical analysis of tissue samples can be attributed to the significantly higher basal iron concentrations of the liver and spleen (eg, 6.8 ± 1.0 mg Fe/g dry tissue). Taken together, our in vivo biodistribution data show reduced uptake by immune
IONPs with antifouling “stealth” coating may facilitate active targeting by reducing nonspecific uptake and prolonging blood circulation time, both of which can benefit passive targeting for more effective delivery of IONPs into tumor tissue.

**Conclusion**

Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles coated with an antifouling “stealth” polysiloxane-containing PEO-b-PγMPS copolymer have a long blood circulation time with reduced nonspecific uptake by the reticuloendothelial system and macrophages. With covalent conjugation of the antibody against HER2 or ScFvEGFR to PEO-b-PγMPS-coated IONPs, HER2-targeted or EGFR-targeted IONPs are capable of efficiently targeting breast cancer cells that overexpress HER2 or EGFR, respectively. In contrast, nontargeted IONPs do not show cellular uptake in these cell lines. Furthermore, receptor-specific cell binding and internalization can be effectively inhibited by pretreatment with excess amounts of free anti-HER2 antibody or ScFvEGFR. With the “stealth” properties demonstrated in this study, these IONPs facilitate effective targeting of cancer cells. Such antifouling polymer-coated magnetic nanoparticles with their biomarker-targeting ability are promising candidates for the development of molecular imaging probes and image-assisted drug delivery carriers.
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Supplementary materials
Iron concentrations in mouse blood and organs as well as in iron oxide nanoparticle solution were determined colorimetrically using 1,10-phenanthroline. A calibration curve was created using standard solutions containing the iron-1,10-phenanthroline compound in water with iron concentrations ranging from 0.4 µg/mL to 4 µg/mL.

Reagents
The reagents used were hydroquinone 10 g/L in water; o-phenanthroline 2.5 g in 100 mL of ethanol and 900 mL of water; trisodium citrate 50 g/L in water; standard Fe solution 0.281 g of Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂·6H₂O in a 1,000 mL flask with 1 mL of 98 wt% H₂SO₄; standard solution is 0.04 mg Fe/mL.

Standards
1. Five dilutions from standard solution (0.04 mg Fe/mL): 10 mL, 5 mL, 2 mL, and 1 mL of standard solution, and one non-Fe control solution (eventually diluted to 100 mL)
2. All solutions are adjusted to pH 3.5 with sodium citrate solution
3. Add 2 mL of hydroquinone and 3 mL of o-phenanthroline to each solution and dilute to the specific volume with water; wait 2 hours before measuring it; the solution is stable for over one year
4. Measure absorbance for each solution at 508 nm and make graph of [Fe²⁺] versus absorbance.

Measurement of Fe concentrations in iron oxide nanoparticle solution
1. Take 10–50 µL of iron oxide nanoparticles (1–5 mg/mL) stock solution
2. Adjust pH to 3.5 using sodium citrate
3. Add 2 mL of hydroquinone and 3 mL of o-phenanthroline to each solution and dilute to the specific volume with water; wait 2 hours before measuring it; the solution is stable for over one year
4. Measure absorbance for each solution at 508 nm and compare absorbance with those of standard Fe solutions.

Measure Fe concentrations in serum and organ tissue samples
Blood was collected by terminal heart puncture and centrifuged for 10 minutes at 5,000 rpm to separate the serum (100 µL). Mouse organs were freeze-dried for 2 days. The organs were weighed before decomposition. Next, 1 mL of concentrated nitric acid was added to each sample (2 mL for liver) in a 15 mL centrifuge tube for 3 days. After filtration using a syringe filter (Catalog number 6782-1302), 200 µL of decomposed solution (400 µL of serum) was used to measure the iron, repeating steps 2–5 shown above. These solutions are not stable for a long time. Wait 2 hours and record the absorption as soon as possible (not overnight).

Figure S1 (A) Transmission electronic microscopic image of iron oxide nanoparticles conjugated with single chain fragment of antibody against epidermal growth factor receptor. (B) Calibration curve for measuring protein concentrations based on the Bradford protocol.

Abbreviations: Abs, absorbance (arbitrary unit); BSA, bovine serum albumin.
Figure S3 Percentages of the injection dose in mice serum and main organs at 24 hours post injection of PeO-b-PγMPs-coated IONPs. The values shown here have been subtracted with the averaged background iron concentrations obtained from control mice. It should be noted that the negative % ID value in spleen is likely due to the fact that the accumulation of administered PeO-b-PγMPS diblock copolymer-coated IONPs in spleen is so low that it is within the variation from the spleen iron concentration averaged from different animals. The large variation of iron concentration in the spleen as well as liver measured by chemical analysis of tissue samples can be attributed to the significantly higher basal iron concentrations in the liver and spleen (eg, 6.8 ± 1.0 mg Fe/g dry tissue).

Abbreviations: ID, injection dose; IONPs, iron oxide nanoparticles; PeO-b-PγMPS, poly(ethylene oxide)-block-poly(γ-methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane).
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