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Background. HIV continues to be a major concern among MSM, yet Black MSM have not been enrolled in HIV research studies in proportionate numbers to White MSM. We developed an HIV prevention research brand strategy for MSM. Methods. Questionnaires and focus groups were conducted with 54 participants. Descriptive statistics and chi-square analyses were performed and qualitative data were transcribed and content analyzed to identify common themes. Results. Formative research results indicated that younger Black MSM (18–29 years) were less likely to think about joining prevention studies compared to older (≥30 years) Black MSM ($\chi^2 = 5.92, P = 0.015$). Qualitative and quantitative results indicate four prominent themes related to brand development: (1) communication sources (message deliverer), (2) message (impact of public health messaging on perceptions of HIV research), (3) intended audience (underlying issues that influence personal relevance of HIV research), and (4) communication channels (reaching intended audiences). Conclusion. The findings highlight the importance of behavioral communication translational research to effectively engage hard-to-reach populations. Despite reservations, MSM in our formative study expressed a need for active involvement and greater education to facilitate their engagement in HIV prevention research. Thus, the brand concept of “InvolveMENt” emerged.

1. Introduction
An estimated 1.1 million individuals, diagnosed and undiagnosed, have HIV in the United States [1]. Individuals of ages 20–24 (36.4 per 100,000) and 25–29 (35.4 per 100,000) have higher diagnosis rates than any other age category [1]. In 2010, there were 48,078 new diagnoses of HIV infection among adults and adolescents [1]. Of those cases, the majority were men (37,910; 79%) [1]. Seventy-seven percent of those men reported male-to-male sexual contact as the risk-factor that attributed to their diagnosis [1]. In 2011, Black/African Americans carried the largest burden of disease with a new diagnosis rate of 60.4 per 100,000, followed by Hispanic/Latinos (19.5 per 100,000) and Whites (7.0 per 100,000) [2]. Moreover, Black men who have sex with men (MSM) are disproportionately affected by HIV than any other group [2].

The southern part of United States (comprised of Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia) has the highest HIV prevalence rate per 100,000 than any other region [2]. In the South, 20.9 cases per 100,000 persons were newly diagnosed with HIV compared
The study to the target population [12]. As venue-based as the recruitment strategy did not enable us to advertise our team immediately with potential participants as the recruitment strategy did not enable us to advertise or interact with potential participants as the recruitment strategy did not enable us to advertise. Recognized the need to develop a brand that would enable other HIV prevention campaigns, particularly those promoting MSM [10, 11]. As we planned to understand how to efficiently recruit the population in a time-limited period. Therefore, in an environment where HIV studies recruiting MSM are many, we needed a brand that could compete against advertised studies in places they frequented such as clubs, bars, gyms and spas, and other locations. Our approach conforming to the development of a public health communication campaign that is considered to be focused in its aims, time-limited, and conducted within an organized, coherent manner [23]. Because the decision to participate in an HIV research study may be promoted by factors aligned with the conceptual pathways such as message content, issue relevance, and source and situational cues (i.e., heuristics), our study highlights how we evaluated these issues to create a successful strategy for the successful enrollment of 803 men (454 Black, 349 White) in the longitudinal “InvolveMENt” study from July 2010 to December 2012.

2. Methods

2.1. Data Collection. The formative study was conducted from late 2009 through early spring 2010, prior to launching venue-based recruitment in July 2010 for the longitudinal “InvolveMENt” study. A mixed methods approach included

1.1. HIV Research Recruitment. There is a limited amount of reported data on HIV research brand formulation and development of HIV recruitment, outreach, and communication approaches [4]. Cultivating a successful study brand identity—the set of characteristics that distinguishes a brand, such as a logo or slogan—and brand personality—the way a brand is marketed so that the target group can relate to it—requires an understanding of the values of the target group which is often elicited via qualitative methods such as focus groups and observation [5, 6]. These values are articulated through messages that garner attention and foster interest in participation [7–9]. With an active pipeline of longitudinal HIV studies planned and underway with MSM, there is a need to understand how to effectively develop recruitment campaigns to achieve success with future HIV research recruitment endeavors. Moreover, understanding how to build a study brand that attracts the attention, interest, and participation of diverse MSM may provide guidance to other HIV prevention campaigns, particularly those promoting HIV testing, linkage-to-care, and other behavioral and biomedical approaches for MSM [10, 11]. As we planned to utilize venue-based sampling for the longitudinal study, we recognized the need to develop a brand that would enable our team to immediately connect with potential participants as the recruitment strategy did not enable us to advertise the study to the target population [12]. As the venue-based approach requires not only randomization of venue sites to conduct recruitment but also times for recruitment, this strategy of encountering people at the “right place, right time” necessitates an identification with the study, the team, and the values underlying the research endeavor to promote their willingness to join the study [12–14].
the conduct of focus groups with accompanying questionnaires gathered at each session. In addition, to direct recruitment via web advertisements, partner organizations facilitated recruitment of men from a variety of community settings to ensure broad sociodemographic representation of Black and White MSM. Six focus groups were conducted with 5 to 13 MSM and transgender (male to female) participants in each group. The focus groups took place at diverse communications locations in Atlanta, Georgia.

2.2. Instruments. Detailed instruments were developed to gather information on a number of issues that would inform the development of our brand strategy. Topics covered in the focus groups included, but were not limited to, social networks, online behavior, nature and patterns of communication, communication issues, current HIV prevention messaging, HIV/AIDS research, clinical trials, and HIV vaccine studies. Questionnaires gathered information on sociodemographics, HIV communication recall, and the extent of media consumption for health information topics. We specifically evaluated components of the ELM model that are theorized as key operants in persuasion, including personal relevance, message framing, message components, and source characteristics.

We examined ELM operants to inform our brand development approach via mixed methodologies. Qualitative results support the quantitative findings inclusive of the following themes: (1) communication source: credible sources who will ameliorate perceptions of HIV research (e.g., dangerous, scary, etc.), (2) message: participation barriers and motivators (e.g., compensation), (3) intended audience: underlying issues that influence participation of target audiences (e.g., personal histories, gay rights issues), and (4) communication channels: the need for targeted communication in appropriate venues with intended audiences during research phases (e.g., social media).

2.3. Communication Sources. According to ELM, persuasive appeals have the potential to alter behavior with messages that invoke particular types of meanings and relevant symbolic representations [24]. Although “central processing” may be affected by motivation and ability to attend to the message as issue-relevant thinking is generated [19], previous studies have indicated that heuristic cues may favorably shape attitudes towards participation in clinical research through “peripheral processing” routes [20] and have the potential to generate strong reactions to HIV/AIDS prevention messages [22]. We therefore elicited information on the cues to the formation of attitude toward potential brand messages (e.g., site and investigator details).

2.4. Message. For this ELM operand, we explored the amount of information contained in the items about HIV/AIDS risk because of the potential to capture attention [25–27] and engage the target audience through the personal relevance of the health issue. Ads with risk messages have been shown to be effective [25], but they may also invoke protective cognitive appraisal [27]. In this context, greater involvement with the issues of HIV/AIDS research theoretically lends to “central route” message processing in the promotion of attitude change [15, 19]. In the ELM model, “central route” processing engages more thoughtful processing rather than the emotional response elicited by the “peripheral route.” [28] Communication approaches that address these concerns may enable central route processing to occur via evaluation of important issues that may hold relevance in the formation of attitudes. We therefore assessed the nature of benefits extended to prospective study participants that may encourage enrollment as well as barriers to participation.

2.5. Intended Audience. Language and visuals attesting to those involved in the research may serve as motivational cues for MSM as well as other audiences. Recruiters, community members, and study spokespeople alter the persuasive nature of the brand. Whether a brand is considered trustworthy often depends on language and depictions presented. Thus, we evaluated how to achieve source credibility. We accomplished this by asking men who need to develop the messages, what person(s) need to promote the (study) brand, and what visuals should be present in the campaign to garner their attention.

2.6. Communication Channels. With very limited resources allocated to recruitment efforts given our venue-based recruitment strategy, an assessment of potential opportunities-to-see (OTS) at various sites was of interest to this study. In particular, we were interested in where men were obtaining information to determine if recruitment sites could be expanded to online venues. Thus, we created a media consumption instrument to evaluate the communication channels relevant to men’s needs and how to leverage social media for optimal recruitment and engagement with the emergent brand identity.

2.7. Participants. Participants were recruited from a variety of venues including bars, clubs, restaurants, gyms, and online sites via advertisements. Participants were eligible for inclusion if they reported (1) being male by birth, (2) being non-Hispanic White or Black/African American, (3) oral or anal sex in the past six months with a male partner, and (4) live in the metropolitan Atlanta area. Men and transgender women were compensated $25 for their time to attend the sessions.

2.8. Data Analysis. Descriptive statistics, chi-square analyses, and factor analyses were performed on quantitative data from focus group participants (N = 54) using SPSS. Qualitative data were transcribed by a member of the team. The data were independently coded by two coders and content analyzed to identify common themes related to participating in HIV prevention research studies. A random sample of transcripts (20%) was cross-coded for reliability. The presence or absence of codes from a subsample of interviews was compared using qualitative software. The overall reliability of the sample was 92% (which is above the suggested 90% agreement level) [29]. The coding was completed from February 2013 to March 2013.

2.9. Codebook Development. A detailed codebook was developed from focus group transcripts. The original codebook was modified as other codes emerged from coding other
transcripts. The final codebook was created after reviewing all of the transcripts and discussing emergent themes with members of the research team. Coding schemes were developed to capture relevant details from the transcripts such as attitudes, beliefs, and opinions about participating in HIV research. The final codebook contained variables organized within the following rubric of four major themes: (1) intended audience: underlying issues that influence participation of target audiences (e.g., personal histories, gay rights issues), (2) message: Participation barriers and motivators (e.g., compensation), (3) source: credible sources who will ameliorate perceptions of HIV research (e.g., dangerous, scary, etc.), and (4) communication channels: the need for targeted communication in appropriate venues with intended audiences during research phases (e.g., social media).

3. Results

3.1. Participant Characteristics. A total of 54 individuals including 53 MSM and 1 male-to-female transgender woman participated in the focus groups and completed the questionnaire (Table 1). The mean age of the study participants was 31 years (range: 18–39, SD = 8.7 years). All of the participants reported having at least a high school education and the majority had at least a bachelor’s degree (67%, \( n = 36 \)). Fifty-one self-identified as non-Hispanic (94%); three were Hispanics (6%). The majority reported their race as Black (70%, \( n = 38 \)), White (24%, \( n = 13 \)), or identifying as White and/or Black with a “multiracial/multicultural” heritage (6%, \( n = 3 \)). All participants identified as either homosexual (93%, \( n = 50 \)) or bisexual (7%, \( n = 4 \)). Eighty-five percent (\( n = 46 \)) were single, 13% (\( n = 7 \)) were married/in a domestic partnership, and 1 individual reported that he was divorced/separated (2%). Half (\( n = 27, 51\% \)) were employed full-time and most participants earned less than $40,000 in their work (\( n = 45, 83\% \)).

3.2. Communication Sources. Issues related to those conducting the study and messages conveyed by persons or research entities (sources) revealed that a welcoming feeling at the study site was of importance to Black MSM when determining whether they should consider participating in HIV research (\( \chi^2 = 3.93, P = 0.047 \)). Only Black participants (\( n = 6, 11\% \)) selected the response “not enough of my people running the study” when asked “what concerns do you have about joining an HIV prevention study?” Conversely, Blacks (\( n = 33, 87\% \)) were “very likely” to participate in a study if the study staff were viewed as welcoming compared to Whites (\( n = 8, 61.5\% \)). Additionally, the importance of having a track record of success with previous clinical studies at the study site was of greater importance to Black MSM compared to Whites (\( \chi^2 = 12.92, P = 0.002 \)). More Black participants were either “very likely” (\( n = 26, 68\% \)) or “somewhat likely” (\( n = 11, 29\% \)) to participate than White participants (\( n = 8, 61.5\% \)) if this criteria were met.

In the focus groups, men identified factors that influence their decision to participate in HIV prevention research. Many felt that there are many misconceptions about research and therefore selecting the right source for message delivery is critical. Additionally, information must be conveyed in a manner that considers not only the health literacy of the population but also the cultural relevance of studies. One man revealed...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Involvement formative research participant sociodemographics ( (N = 54) ).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender (male to female)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean age (range)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highest level of education</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical/vocational or associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctorate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race (missing ( n = 1 ))</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American/Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian/white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiracial/multicultural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual orientation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gay/homosexual/queer/questioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relationship status</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/domestic partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/separated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work status</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed full-time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed part-time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual household income</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than $20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,001–$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40,001–$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60,001–$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary healthcare facility</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare provider office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community clinic or health center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other location</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...given the opportunity and presented to them it may be, but a lot of people just do not know about it or know what’s going on or feel indifferent because they do not understand the importance
because it’s never been presented to them in a way to make them understand that this is what’s going on, this is why we’re doing this and this is important to us.

We also explored the sources of information in the community that convey information about research as many Black MSM expressed reluctance to participate based on their knowledge of historical events, such as the Tuskegee experiment.

Um we think about the Tuskegee Institute and what people are taught from grandparents and great grandparents about what that was and what the facts that they understood at the time were versus what the reality of the facts are, um, and where these have gone since then.

We learned that research stories have been passed from generation to generation among Black families. Therefore, acknowledging the oral tradition is important while correcting facts about what actually happened in previous studies. During these discussions, the White MSM did not respond on the issue of historical events and oral traditions. This evidenced the importance of developing a nuanced brand that would achieve resonance among these groups to successfully recruit a diverse group of participants. Thus, the source of information must be highly knowledgeable and trusted by the community:

I will say that in general, my experience dealing with the African American community is that there’s a certain fear of clinical trials um and it’s less based on historical fact more based on historical inaccuracies that have been passed down.

3.3. Messaging. Participants expressed a high level of “prevention message” fatigue on assessed items including safer sex messages and related materials ($r = 0.895$, $P < 0.001$) and general HIV campaigns ($r = 0.699$, $P < 0.001$) and condom use in HIV prevention campaigns ($r = 0.444$, $P = 0.005$). Factor analyses resulted in the development of the 5-item “message fatigue” scale (Eigenvalue = 3.166, 63% variance) with high internal consistency (Cronbach alpha = 0.842). We specifically examined any potential differences in attitudes about prevention messaging by age and race; however, we yielded nonsignificant results on these analyses. When asked about messaging specifically, “[are] messages about safe sex...beginning to sound the same?” most men ($n = 34, 63%$) agreed or strongly agreed with the statement. The focus group conversation also highlighted the perceived ineffectiveness of current HIV prevention messages for this population. Men expressed a need for different kinds of messages that would be particularly relevant to younger MSM. One participant summarized the group sentiment:

If you just look at the statistics um increasing infection rates, clearly the tools that are out there are not effectively used to prevent HIV.

We explored the extent to which this perception is also held about prevention methods such as condom use and safer sex messages. The men shared agreement ($n = 24, 45%$) that “people I know are burned out on safe sex campaigns.” Additionally, we explored responses to the question, “after seeing them for years, safe sex brochures and pamphlets seem repetitive” to discern how to communicate information about the study to potential participants. The majority expressed agreement with this statement ($n = 36, 64%$) thus signaling that new forms of nonprint communication may be necessary for this audience.

In focus groups, men repeatedly stated that improved tactics should be utilized to convey messages to MSM. They also discussed the value of personal narrative as a messaging approach as opposed to messages conveyed by health professionals. Thus, the men indicated a need for community-centered messages to promote research involvement. One stated

You know because people are...they’re not...they’re thinking levels are different and they’re on different levels so, if a friend of mine personally went through what they went through and told me, I hope I’d believe them versus a doctor...

3.4. Intended Audience. The majority ($n = 39, 72%$) of participants reported that they had not been in a clinical research study. Previous clinical research study participation differed significantly between older ($\geq 30$ years) and younger (18–29 years) MSM ($\chi^2 = 4.16, P = 0.041$). Older MSM are more likely to have participated in a study in the past. Despite reported challenges of recruiting Black participants, Blacks were more likely to have been in a clinical research study than White MSM ($\chi^2 = 6.39, P = 0.011$) [30]. However, within the Black MSM group, younger Black MSM (18–29 years) were less likely to think about joining prevention studies compared to older ($\geq 30$ years) Black MSM ($\chi^2 = 5.92, P = 0.015$). Lack of time ($n = 15, 28%$), inconvenient location ($n = 15, 28%$), and low compensation ($n = 15, 28%$) were the top three intended audience barriers they perceived for recruitment into longitudinal HIV prevention studies.

Additionally, the participants were asked to describe events, experiences, and attitudes that would shape message perceptions conveyed by the research team to an intended MSM audience. Participants described the need to understand the process of coming “out” in the South, a process often occurring in relation to being intimately involved with others who were publically “out” with their own sexual orientation. Many underscored the fundamental need to belong and become involved in supporting causes, belonging to social networks, and joining friendly circles where sexual orientation is celebrated and not made an issue. Thus, the connection between their involvement in the MSM community and their identity became an important issue of discussion among men. One participant stated

Um for me, no, it’s very important for me to be out. And because I do value it so much as a part of my identity, so, someone said that they do not put it out there, like, I put it out there.

Many of the participants indicated that their friends and other members of their social circles have varying sexual
orientations (e.g., identifying as gay, straight, and bisexual). We found that younger men (≤30 years) in the focus groups held different perceptions from older men on the subject of sexual identity. This did not seem to vary by race. One offered

I have friends who um, identify themselves very strictly. But a lot of people I know, um even men that I know exclusively have had sex with only men, they consider themselves more open or maybe bisexual because they’re not close to the idea of it. I have a lot of friends, being with a woman close to that, I have a lot of friends who, even if they’ve exclusively been with one gender, if they’re open to the concept of meeting someone and being with another gender than what they would identify themselves with. I do have the ones that identify themselves more rigidly. But even, even straight male friends I have, sometimes say, well I have never been with a man, but I’m not closed to it if I was attracted. So a lot of the people I know really aren’t very rigid in their self-identification. I think I would be a lot more than a lot of the people I know because I do consider myself strictly gay. But I mean a lot of people do have some grey area, even if they haven’t experienced it.

Even though some talked about friends with sexual orientations that differ from their own, others would rather be around other gay men. One participant said

I prefer to hang out with gay men and people who identify as gay men… I prefer to go to a gay bar, I prefer to go to a gay restaurant, I prefer to read gay publications, and even though I have straight friends and I would certainly never, you know, not accept someone’s friendship, I do enjoy um a camaraderie with people who identify, pretty much exclusively, as gay men…I’ve preferred to surround myself by gay men.

3.5. Communication Channels. We explored how we could reach diverse MSM in venues and through a variety of media sources. Black men expressed their need to be engaged in all aspects of study design, planning, and implementation. They indicated that they do not help to determine what, how, and who should communicate research information to them. Among the MSM, many conveyed a sense of frustration with communication researchers who come into the community with preformulated messages, communication materials, and dissemination approaches with the perceived intent to elicit target audience approval. One participant stated

I’m quite sure they had a focus group somewhere, something similar like this for their product… but people just saw it in the store that did not have any idea about it… So like he was saying, if you put it out there and if they knew they could participate and have some say about it. But most folks by the time something gets there you know they weren’t the ones in the know or do they know the people who are in the know behind it.

Another participant identified mistrust of the individuals who conduct community-based research with MSM. Specifically, he underscored the need to be able to share their opinions in the research process:

I would be more interested in who’s doing the data analysis, because sometimes I really do not trust the people who analyze data or I do not like their, I do not trust their perspectives. And they sometimes come from a perspective that’s not similar to mine. And so I want to know, who’s going to be analyzing the data, and who’s got kind of similar ideas and perspectives like I do. Cause I’m not going to waste my time, cause at the end of the day, I do not want you skewing data to what you want, so.

In conjunction with the focus group discussions on reaching MSM in venues and through other communication channels, we asked them to offer their “media consumption” preferences. Previously we found that younger men, including Black/African American men and those who identify as bisexual or heterosexual may not frequent physical venues frequented by MSM (e.g., bars or clubs) [14]. Thus, the need to identify other avenues, particularly those offered by online sites, to reach and interact with these populations was of interest to our team. The 53 persons who responded to our media questionnaire revealed an affinity for obtaining news from the Atlanta Journal Constitution (n = 32, 60%), CNN (n = 16, 30%), and The New York Times (n = 13, 25%), as well as social media sites such as their top-ranking online venue, Facebook (n = 25, 47%). Other local sources mentioned included Creative Loafing (n = 10, 19%) and Southern Voice (n = 5, 9%). Overall, participants indicated a preference for local, community-based news sources as well as global communication sources. Very few ranked LGBT-focused media outlets as preferred over mainstream sources thus signaling a desire to be reached through broader, inclusive communication platforms.

4. Discussion

We conducted a formative research study that utilized the ELM model to evaluate the significance of source, messaging, intended audience, and communication channels with 54 White/multiracial (n = 14, 28%) and Black/African American (n = 38, 72%) MSM. Consistent with the ELM framework, we found that all four elements were associated with the formation of attitudes toward participation in HIV prevention research [4].

Through our focus groups, our participants described components of the research process and study branding that paralleled existing findings from the literature. Black MSM especially were sensitive to feeling welcome and demographically represented by research staff as well as developing trust with staff throughout the study. They wanted to be included in multiple parts of the research process and that their input was incorporated in protocol development.

Previous qualitative and quantitative findings highlight the importance of selecting the right messenger (or “Communication Source”) as HIV conspiracy theories and myths
and misperceptions exacerbate the level of research distrust present in the community; this was especially notable among Black MSM [31]. Many Black participants stated that historical events, such as the Tuskegee experiment, influenced their decision to participate in studies [31, 32]. Some Black MSM explicitly expressed their distrust for researchers; however, they stated that the inclusion of Black researchers would motivate their participation, particularly if the lead researcher was Black and served as an advocate for them [32]. Yet, men expressed in qualitative and quantitative components that the composition of the research team, and their demonstrated cultural competency, ultimately influenced their attitudes toward HIV study participation [33, 34]. Thus, the selection of study team members who not only represented community but who also could articulate the importance and value of MSM in HIV research and demonstrate mutual investment was identified as ideal communication sources [34]. In short, men said they would believe messages from researchers who are viewed as credible, relatable, and trustworthy sources.

Our findings revealed that most MSM found that messages about HIV, condom promotion, and safer sex were no longer resonant with the population. Some expressed that they felt “burned out” on these topics and they described that the messages were beginning to sound the same. Other studies of MSM identified HIV prevention message fatigue similar to our findings [35]. Previous research with similar audiences has identified ELM cognitive processing as a contributor to message attentiveness [36]. Among younger persons, the information-processing proclivities to maintain their relevance [36].

Our participants also discussed issues with promoting HIV research with MSM as the intended audience. Black men brought up concerns they had about being a Black MSM, including issues about coming out and being out in their community. The literature identified similar issues including feeling marginalized and disengaged from the community which leads to a profound sense of individual and group social and sexual isolation [37]. Such self-imposed exclusivity has been associated with increased community-level risk for HIV and STIs [38, 39]. Black participants described that it is difficult to be a Black male and have the burden of a “second minority status” (MSM) yet retain a sense of resiliency and self-empowerment [40, 41]. In addition to the challenges of exclusion in the Black community, Black MSM in our study articulated a divide with White MSM. Hence issues around inclusion, empowerment, and participation were brought to the forefront as themes that needed to be intertwined with the HIV research messages for this intended audience.

All of the men agreed that HIV research is important and needs to be conducted in order to cure or prevent HIV infections. Many stated that HIV has a direct impact in their personal and community life. We found that engaging MSM through appropriate communication channels was a final linkage in a successful brand strategy to connect people to research, conclusions further supported by previous studies [5, 6]. In addition, men expressed the need to be a part of the preimplementation, implementation, and postimplementation phases of studies. In particular, their responses mirrored findings from other studies and highlighted the need to consider recruiting men from alternative venues such as internet sites in addition to typical MSM venues such as bars, clubs, gyms, and parks during the study planning period [42–44]. Yet, given their media preferences, our participants also cautioned against using exclusionary tactics to reach the audience online. They preferred Facebook and other local “mainstream” sites such as Creative Loafing online as venues for which study ads and recruitment could occur.

The lessons learned from this formative study underscore the need for extensive brand development research with diverse MSM audiences to distinguish the importance of HIV research and promote the relevance of their participation. Older men tended to be more open about their homosexuality compared to younger men which presented challenges for crafting a single brand that would be accepted across age groups. In addition to recognizing the fluidity around defining sexual identity, we recognized there were underlying reasons why men opted to frequent or stay away from physical venues we selected for recruitment. This was a particular challenge to consider in our brand development process for young Black MSM. We had to therefore remain sensitive in our brand wording about community connotations (e.g., being identified as gay by being at the place) as well as racially-relevant concerns such as being left behind in research decision-making. Thus, developing tailored communication messages for specific segments is the best practices approach. A strong brand will provide not only study recognition but also it provides the platform to convey many culturally congruent and resonant messages (i.e., unique taglines) and information to achieve recruitment goals.

In the end, we presented those in our sample with brand concepts of which the “InvolveMiNT” concept was overwhelmingly selected over other options (“Your Move” and “Open-I”) (Figure 1). Men indicated that InvolveMiNT reflected themselves as central to achieving HIV prevention breakthroughs as strong MEN. It also built upon intersecting themes of the need for inclusion, individual empowerment, and community voice in HIV research.

5. Conclusions

The findings from this study significantly aided in the brand- and recruitment strategy for subsequent HIV research projects. The preferred study title, “InvolveMiNT” as well as the favored logo was utilized in a succeeding study. Recommendations from participants on staff demographics, developing trust, and encouraging participation throughout the study were utilized to develop a protocol that was intriguing and engaging to Black and White MSM. From July 2010 to December 2012, InvolveMiNT enrolled 454 Black and 349 White MSM, achieving optimal enrollment of participants representative of the local MSM community and exceeding our initial recruitment goal of 660 persons. With an HIV incidence rate of 4.8% in Atlanta among Black MSM,
it is more critical than ever to recruit this population to HIV prevention interventions [45]. Yet, the difficulty of recruiting Black MSM in representative numbers for longitudinal HIV biomedical and prevention research studies continues to be an enduring problem [46–48]. However, using a culturally-sensitive, inclusive brand development approach, the InvolveMENt study was able to exceed our recruitment goals, thus utilizing a theory-driven approach, such as ELM, to develop a project brand resulted in a persuasion strategy that became “InvolveMENt.”
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