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Clinical Use of Colistin Induces Cross-Resistance to Host Antimicrobials in Acinetobacter baumannii

Brooke A. Napier, Eileen M. Burd, Sarah W. Satola, Stephanie M. Cagle, Susan M. Ray, Patrick McGann, Jan Pohl, Emil P. Lesho, David S. Weiss

ABSTRACT The alarming rise in antibiotic resistance has led to an increase in patient mortality and health care costs. This problem is compounded by the absence of new antibiotics close to regulatory approval. Acinetobacter baumannii is a human pathogen that causes infections primarily in patients in intensive care units (ICUs) and is highly antibiotic resistant. Colistin is one of the last-line antibiotics for treating A. baumannii infections; however, colistin-resistant strains are becoming increasingly common. This cationic antibiotic attacks negatively charged bacterial membranes in a manner similar to that seen with cationic antimicrobials of the innate immune system. We therefore set out to determine if the increasing use of colistin, and emergence of colistin-resistant strains, is concomitant with the generation of cross-resistance to host cationic antimicrobials. We found that there is indeed a positive correlation between resistance to colistin and resistance to the host antimicrobials LL-37 and lysozyme among clinical isolates. Importantly, isolates obtained before and after treatment of individual patients demonstrated that colistin use correlated with increased resistance to cationic host antimicrobials. These data reveal the overlooked risk of inducing cross-resistance to host antimicrobials when treating patients with colistin as a last-line antibiotic.

IMPORTANCE Increased use of the cationic antibiotic colistin to treat multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii has led to the development of colistin-resistant strains. Here we report that treatment of patients with colistin can induce not only increased resistance to colistin but also resistance to host cationic antimicrobials. This worrisome finding likely represents an example of a broader trend observed in other bacteria against which colistin is used therapeutically such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Klebsiella pneumoniae. Furthermore, these data suggest that the possible future use of an array of cationic antimicrobial peptides in development as therapeutics may have unintended negative consequences, eventually leading to the generation of hypervirulent strains that are resistant to innate host defenses. The potential for the induction of cross-resistance to innate immune antimicrobials should be considered during the development of new therapeutics.
Supplemental material) and susceptibilities to multiple other antibiotics (Table S2). Bacterial suspensions were prepared using a PROMPT (3M Company, St. Paul, MN) inoculation system, and antibiotic susceptibilities were determined using Neg Breakpoint Combo Panel type 41 on a Microscan WalkAway Plus automated system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc., West Sacramento, CA). Additionally, the MICs for colistin were measured using Etest strips (bioMérieux, Durham, NC), following the inoculation and reading instructions of the manufacturer. The strains exhibited a range of MIC values. Using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) interpretive criteria, we found that strains CI-1, CI-2, CI-3, and 17978 were sensitive to colistin whereas CI-4, ARLC, MU134, MU215, MU181, and MU52 were resistant (Table S1).

We treated the colistin-sensitive and -resistant isolates with LL-37 or lysozyme to determine their sensitivities, as previously described (18). Briefly, overnight cultures were grown from frozen stock in lysogeny broth (LB; also known as Luria broth) (BD Biosciences, Sparks, MD) at 37°C with aeration and then diluted to a final concentration of ~10^6 CFU/ml in 25% LB. Bacteria

**FIG 1** Colistin resistance correlates with resistance to host cationic antimicrobials in *A. baumannii* clinical isolates. (A to J) Colistin-sensitive (A to D) and colistin-resistant (E to J) *A. baumannii* clinical isolates were treated with 6.25 μg/ml of LL-37 (green) or 2.5 mg/ml of lysozyme (blue) for the indicated times and plated for enumeration of CFU. Time zero CFU is indicated by dashed black lines. (K to L) Percent changes of CFU from time zero to 2 h after treatment with LL-37 (K) or lysozyme (L) from 4 individual experiments with 3 to 4 samples of each isolate represented in panels A to J. Data were analyzed for significance using the unpaired Student’s *t* test. **, *P* < 0.001; ***, *P* < 0.0001. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the results determined for triplicate samples.
were treated with host antimicrobials (LL-37, 6.25 μg/ml; lyso-
some, 2.5 mg/ml) and incubated with aeration at 37°C, and ali-
quots were plated at 0 h, 1 h, and 2 h for enumeration of CFU. The
colistin-sensitive clinical isolates CI-1 (Fig. 1A) and CI-2 (Fig. 1B)
were inhibited from replicating to wild-type levels in the presence
of LL-37, while CI-3 (Fig. 1C) and 17978 (Fig. 1D) were killed. In
contrast, the colistin-resistant clinical isolates CI-4 (Fig. 1E),
ARLC (Fig. 1F), MU134 (Fig. 1G), MU215 (Fig. 1H), MU181
(Fig. 1I), and MU52 (Fig. 1J) each replicated roughly 100- to 200-
fold after 2 h in the presence of LL-37. These data suggest that
colistin resistance correlates with increased resistance to the host
cationic antimicrobial peptide LL-37, which was further clearly
demonstrated when results from 4 experiments performed with
the aforementioned strains were pooled (Fig. 1K). We observed
similar phenotypes following lysozyme treatment, with the excep-
tion of the colistin-susceptible 17978 isolate (Fig. 1D), which was
able to persist in the presence of lysozyme instead of being killed,
and CI-2 (Fig. 1B), which exhibited very limited replication. These
results were again further illustrated when data from several ex-
periments were pooled (Fig. 1L) and suggest that colistin resis-
tance is highly correlated with resistance to lysozyme.

We next investigated the basis for the resistance phenotypes
observed. The A. baumannii PmrAB two-component regulatory
system induces phosphoethanolamine modifications to the lipid
A component of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in response to the pres-
ence of polymyxins (19, 20). Arroyo et al. showed that point mu-
tations within the PmrB periplasmic domain, histidine kinase
(HisK) dimerization/phosphoacceptor domain, or C-terminal
ATP binding domain (ATPB) can confer constitutive polymyxin
resistance through these lipid A modifications (20). We sequenced
the pmrB genes in the colistin-resistant isolates used in this study
and found that each harbored nonsynonymous mutations in the
sequences encoding one or more of these three domains com-
pared to the pmrB sequence from the colistin-sensitive 17978
strain (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). In contrast,
only synonymous mutations were identified in the sequences of
pmrB from the colistin-sensitive strains. Nonsynonymous point
mutations conferring resistance can be selected rapidly and likely
explain the ability of some of the colistin-sensitive strains to even-
tually acquire resistance during extended exposure to LL-37 or
lysozyme (see Fig. S1A to D in the supplemental material) (20).
Taken together, these data suggest that mutations within pmrB
confer not only colistin resistance but also cross-resistance to host
cationic antimicrobial peptides.

Since the clinical isolates used here were very diverse (isolated
from different anatomical sites, from different areas of the United
States, and exhibiting differing antibiotic susceptibility patterns;
see Table S1 and S2 in the supplemental material), it is not sur-
prising that there was heterogeneity in their patterns of resistance
to host antimicrobials; specifically, the colistin-sensitive CI-2 iso-

FIG 2 Clinical treatment with colistin can induce increased resistance to host cationic antimicrobials. Sequential A. baumannii isolates were collected from 2 patients (Ab6266 and Ab6267, patient 1; Ab3527 and Ab3941, patient 2) pre-colistin treatment (Ab6266 and Ab3527) and post-colistin treatment (Ab6267 and Ab3941). Isolates were treated with 6.25 μg/ml of LL-37 (A and C) or 2.5 mg/ml of lysozyme (B and D). Percent changes from time zero to 2 h after treatment with host antimicrobials from 3 experiments with 3 to 4 samples of each isolate are represented. Data were analyzed for significance using the unpaired Student’s t test. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.001. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the results determined for triplicate samples.
late displayed low-level resistance to LL-37 and lysozyme (Fig. 1B) that was not observed with the other colistin-sensitive isolates (Fig. 1A and C to D). In order to overcome the complications of comparing diverse strains, and to directly determine whether colistin treatment can induce cross-resistance to host cationic antimicrobials, we obtained 2 pairs of *A. baumannii* clinical isolates from patients pre- and post-colistin treatment (21). Each pair of serial isolates (Ab6266 and Ab6267, and Ab3527 and Ab3941) was from a distinct patient, under 35 years of age, who had sustained severe trauma overseas (21). Ab6267 was collected after 3 weeks of patient treatment with colistin, and Ab3941 was collected after 6 weeks of patient treatment with colistin. Both pre-treatment isolates (Ab6266 and Ab3527) were colistin sensitive, while the post-treatment isolates (Ab6267 and Ab3941) displayed increased resistance to colistin (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). In addition, the transition to increased colistin resistance was not accompanied by changes in susceptibility to the other antibiotics tested (Table S2). While we cannot specify the event(s) (an induced mutational event, selection for a preexisting mutant, or recombination) that led to the selection for the post-treatment resistant isolates, the pre- and post-treatment isolates were shown to be highly similar by pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), using a 90% similarity cutoff, compared to 1,500 be highly similar by pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), using a 90% similarity cutoff, compared to 1,500.

In addition, the transition to increased colistin resistance was not accompanied by changes in susceptibility to the other antibiotics tested (Table S2). While we cannot specify the event(s) (an induced mutational event, selection for a preexisting mutant, or recombination) that led to the selection for the post-treatment resistant isolates, the pre- and post-treatment isolates were shown to be highly similar by pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), using a 90% similarity cutoff, compared to 1,500.

When treated with LL-37 or lysozyme, the post-colistin treatment (Ab6267 isolate displayed a significant increase in resistance compared to the corresponding pre-colistin treatment isolate (Ab6266) (Fig. 2A and B). Ab3941 displayed a significant increase in resistance to killing by lysozyme compared to Ab3527 (Fig. 2D), although there was no change in its level of resistance to LL-37 (Fig. 2C). Neither pre-colistin treatment isolate demonstrated resistance to LL-37 or lysozyme over 22 h of treatment (see Fig. S1E and F in the supplemental material). As with the colistin-resistant isolates tested above, the post-colistin treatment strains both harbored nonsynonymous mutations in critical PmrB domains (Table S1). These data from sequentially isolated strains pre- and post-colistin treatment strongly support the conclusion that clinical use of colistin induces cross-resistance to host cationic antimicrobial peptides.

**Implications.** The rising prevalence of antibiotic resistance is linked to increases in mortality, morbidity, length of hospitalization, and health care costs (1–5). Understanding the mechanisms and consequences of antimicrobial resistance is critical to our ability to combat this problem. Here we have determined that there is a strong correlation between colistin resistance and cross-resistance to the host antimicrobials LL-37 and lysozyme (Fig. 1 and 2). This is likely a phenomenon that occurs broadly and is relevant to a range of nosocomial pathogens, including *Psuedomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, against which colistin is used as a therapy. This is also critical information in light of the fact that numerous cationic antimicrobial peptides are currently in development as novel therapeutics (22–24). Our data caution that the use of such agents in the clinic may have the unintended negative consequence of inducing resistance to host antimicrobials, which could lead to the selection of hypervirulent strains in the long term.
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