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Cdk4 and Nek2 Signal Binucleation and Centrosome Amplification in a Her2+ Breast Cancer Model

Mary Kathryn Harrison Pitner, Harold I. Saavedra*

Department of Radiation Oncology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America

Abstract

Centrosome amplification (CA) is a contributor to carcinogenesis, generating aneuploidy, and chromosome instability. Previous work shows that breast adenocarcinomas have a higher frequency of centrosome defects compared to normal breast tissues. Abnormal centrosome phenotypes are found in pre-malignant lesions, suggesting an early role in breast carcinogenesis. However, the role of CA in breast cancers remains elusive. Identification of pathways and regulatory molecules involved in the generation of CA is essential to understanding its role in breast tumorigenesis. We established a breast cancer model of CA using Her2-positive cells. Our goal was to identify centrosome cycle molecules that are deregulated by aberrant Her2 signaling and the mechanisms driving CA. Our results show some Her2+ breast cancer cell lines harbor both CA and binucleation. Abolishing the expression of Cdk4 abrogated both CA and binucleation in these cells. We also found the source of binucleation in these cells to be defective cytokinesis that is normalized by downregulation of Cdk4. Protein levels of Nek2 diminish upon Cdk4 knockdown and vice versa, suggesting a molecular connection between Cdk4 and Nek2. Knockdown of Nek2 reduces CA and binucleation in this model while its overexpression further enhances centrosome amplification. We conclude that CA is modulated through Cdk4 and Nek2 signaling and that binucleation is a likely source of CA in Her2+ breast cancer cells.

Introduction

Theodor Boveri’s work published in 1914 was the first to hypothesize a correlation between abnormal centrosome numbers, aneuploidy, and tumorigenesis [1]. Almost 100 years later, the questions surrounding this correlation are still being pursued. Centrosomes play a crucial role in maintaining euploidy; the two mitotic centrosomes direct the formation of a bipolar spindle and allow equal segregation of chromosomes into daughter cells [2]. Centrosome amplification (CA), the acquisition of three or more centrosomes within a cell, is often observed in human cancers and has been shown to contribute to multipolar mitoses, aneuploidy, and chromosomal instability [3–6]. There is a growing body of evidence showing that a majority of solid tumors and some hematopoietic cancers harbor cells with centrosome abnormalities, either numerical or structural [7]. Observations in breast tumors show that adenocarcinoma cells have a much higher occurrence of centrosome defects, including amplification of number, increased volume, and supernumerary centrioles, when compared to normal breast tissue [8,9]. Similar phenotypes can also be found in premalignant lesions and pre-invasive in situ ductal carcinoma, suggesting that these aberrations influence early breast carcinogenesis [9–11]. Although the role played by CA in mammalian tumorigenesis remains a mystery, major discoveries have been made. Among these is the discovery that ectopic expression of centrosome and mitotic regulatory kinases results in CA and tumorigenesis in Drosophila [12,13]. Another finding is that low-level aneuploidy caused by interference with the spindle assembly checkpoint initiates mouse tumors [14,15], and that CA is capable of generating low levels of aneuploidy [16]. CA is also known to generate more severe forms of aneuploidy, including tetraploidy, through generating multipolar spindles [17]. Although tetraploidy is selected against in checkpoint-proficient cells [16–18], it contributes to carcinogenesis in p53-deficient mammary epithelial cells [19]. It has been reported that the absence of p53 allows transient tetraploidy in a small subset of cell lines [18].

The centrosome duplication cycle is coordinated with the cell cycle, such that it occurs only once per mitosis [20]. The biology of cell cycle regulation has been well studied [21,22], and it is known that the faithful regulation of its phases, G1, S, and G2/M, is important to cancer prevention [23]. More recent work has shown that there are many cell cycle regulatory proteins (including the cyclins, Cdk2, CKIs, and E2Fs) that associate with the centrosome cycle and seem to play a role in centrosome homeostasis [17,24,25]. A large number of these proteins have also been reported as deregulated in cancer. For example, Cdk2 and Cdk4 are two proteins central to the coordination of the cell and centrosome duplication cycles. It has been previously shown that Cdk4 is a regulator of centrosome duplication [26,27], that the cyclin D1/Cdk4 complex contributes to p33-null- and Ras-driven CA [26,28] and is important in Her2 mitogenic signaling [29–31]. Many studies implicate Cdk2 as a key regulator in several centrosomal functions including; centrosome duplication [17,32–35], CA in p53-negative breast cancer cells [36] and p53-null...
mouse embryonic fibroblasts [26,37,39], and cells expressing the E7 viral oncoprotein [39]. Because ablation of Cdk2 or Cdk4 suppresses Her2-driven mammary tumors [31,40,41] and signals CA, the two Cdkks may represent important links between CA and tumorigenesis.

Her2/Neu, also known as ErbB2, a receptor tyrosine kinase, induces a complex signaling network upon binding its co-receptors, among these activated signals is the well-studied Ras-activated mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway [30]. While rarely mutated in human cancers, wild-type Her2 is often found amplified at the gene level or overexpressed at the protein level. The oncoprotein is overexpressed in approximately 30% of breast tumors, and hyper-activates and deregulates its downstream signaling networks, including the G1/S cell cycle phase via high levels of cyclin D and active cyclin D/Cdk4/6 complexes [29]. Cyclin D1 and its catalytic partners Cdk4/Cdk6 have been shown to be required for Her2-induced transformation [41–44], but the mechanism driving this phenotype remains unknown. There are studies suggesting association between Her2 over-expression and CA in breast tumors [9,45], and one showing that mammary tumors in MMTV-Neu mice display CA [46], but the molecular contribution of Cdk2 and Cdk4 to Her2/Neu-mediated CA has yet to be elucidated.

It has long been thought that CA is a mechanism that leads to chromosomal instability [17,47], a distinguishing feature of cancer cells, through abnormal mitoses. A recent study provided a direct link between CA and chromosomal instability, showing that extra centrosomes are sufficient to promote chromosome gains and losses during a pseudobipolar mitosis through a multipolar spindle intermediate [16]. Increased centrosome defects are directly proportional to chromosome aberrations in breast tumors, suggesting that CA is a driver of aneuploidy [5,48]. Because aneuploidy is transforming, and correlates with chemoresistance in tumors [49], finding agents that can prevent or suppress CA and the active generation of chromosomal instability in tumors is essential to cancer control. Direct evidence showing that CA transforms primary mammary epithelial cells is lacking, and necessitates the identification of oncogene-driven centrosomal regulatory molecules signaling CA. This study elucidates mechanisms responsible for CA in a Her2+ breast cancer model. Due to extensive evidence that Cdk2 and Cdk4 are important genetic links between CA, mitotic errors, and transformation, we explored their role as major regulators of CA in Her2+ breast cancer cells. Our results illustrate that the presence of CA, binucleation and defective cytokinesis requires Cdk4 but not Cdk2. In addition, we found that Nek2 may be a downstream target of Cdk4 that regulates its expression and mediates its role in binucleation and CA.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture

SKBr3 (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA, HTB-30) and HCC1954 (ATCC, CRL-2338) cells were maintained under proliferating conditions in RPMI media (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA, R8758) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin antibiotics (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA, 15140). MCF10A (ATCC, CRL-10317) cells were maintained in conditions in RPMI media (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA, R8758) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin antibiotics (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA, 15140). MCF10A (ATCC, CRL-10317) cells were maintained in DMEM/F-12 media (Gibco, 12500-062) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin, NaHCO3, HEPES, 10 μg/ml Insulin, 20 ng/ml EGF, 0.5 μg/ml hydrocortisone, and 100 ng/ml cholera toxin. For serum arrest/release experiments, cells were cultured in 0.2% FBS for 72 hours under serum arrest conditions, and then released through the addition of serum. All cell lines screened, but not used for further investigation in this manuscript originated from the ATCC.

Lentiviral Infections

Lentiviral infections were done to create stable cell lines. The Expression Arrest lentiviral shRNA pLKO.1 vector system was used from Open Biosystems (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). 293T cells were co-transfected with 1.8 μg target shRNA construct, 1.8 μg pHRCMV8.2AR, and 0.18 μg pCMV-VSVG helper plasmids. Viral supernatant from 293T cell culture media was collected three times in 6-hour increments beginning 48 hours after transfection. Target cell lines were infected with viral supernatant and 10 mg/ml polybrene. Forty-eight hours after the final infection, selection was begun in complete media containing 2 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma, p9620). Resistant cells were assayed for knockdown of the target gene by Western blot.

Transfections

Transient transfection of siRNAs was done using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA, 11668). siRNAs against Cdk2, Cdk4, and Nek2 were designed and purchased from IDT (IDT, Coralville, IA, USA). As a negative control, Silencer Negative Control #1 RNA (Ambion, Carlsbad, CA, USA, 4611) was transfected. Transfections were performed as per the manufacturer’s protocol; 72 hours after transfection the cells were used to prepare cell lysates for western blots or fixed in preparation of immunofluorescent staining. siRNA sequences are included as supplementary information (Table S1). Nek2 was subcloned into the pMONO-Hygro-GFP plasmid (Invivogen, San Diego, CA, USA, pmonoh-gfp) by the Emory DNA Custom Cloning Core Facility. Transfection of the pMONO-Hygro-GFP-Nek2 plasmid was done using TransIT-2020 Transfection Reagent (Mirus, Madison, WI, USA, MIR5404) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. HCC1954 shpLKO.1, GFP-Cdk2 and HCC1954 shCdk4-4; GFP-Nek2 cells were maintained in RPMI media (Sigma, R8758) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin antibiotics (Gibco, 15140), 2 μg/ml puromycin and 25 μg/mL hygromycin (Sigma, h0654).

Immunofluorescence

Immunofluorescence was performed following our published protocols [26,28]. Proliferating cells plated in four chamber slides (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA 154526) were fixed in cold 4% paraformaldehyde, washed in PBS, permeabilized in a 0.1% NP40-PBS solution, and blocked in 10% normal goat serum (Invitrogen, 50-062Z). Centrosomes and cytoskeletal structures were stained overnight at 4°C with antibodies against pericentrin (abcam, Cambridge, UK, ab4448) or α-tubulin (Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, sc-32292), respectively. Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit (Invitrogen, A11008) and Alexa Fluor 488 goat antimouse (Invitrogen, A11010) conjugated secondary antibodies were used, respectively. Cells were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI).

Western Blotting

Western blotting was performed according to our published protocols [26,50]. Antibodies used in western blotting experiments are as follows: Her2 (Cell Signaling, Beverly, MA, USA, 2165), Cyclin D1 (Cell Signaling, 2922), Nek2 (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA, 610593), phospho-NPM (Thr199) (Cell Signaling, 3514), NPM (Invitrogen, 32-5200), GFP (abcam, ab2980), β-actin (Cell Signaling, 4970), Cdk2 (Santa Cruz, sc-163), and Cdk4 (Cell Signaling, 2906).
Image Acquisition

Slides were analyzed using a Zeiss Axioplan II (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) microscope with a Plan-Apochromat 63× oil immersion objective. Images were taken using the AxioCam HRC and Zeiss Axiovision software. Confocal images were acquired with a Zeiss LSM 510 META point scanning laser confocal microscope equipped with a Plan-Apochromat 20× objective. Images were captured on the Zeiss Image Browser. All fixed samples were mounted in Fluoromount-G mounting medium (Southern Biotech, Birmingham, AL, USA) and were analyzed at room temperature.

Live Microscopy

Proliferating cells were plated on an eight-chambered #1.5 German coverglass system (LabTek II, 155409). Live cells were imaged at 20× on the PerkinElmer Ultra View Spinning Disk (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) microscope at 37°C and 5% CO₂, with a differential interference contrast (DIC) filter. Images were captured every five minutes for at least twenty-four hours, and compiled into movies for analysis. All image capture and analysis was done using the Volocity 3D Image Analysis Software (PerkinElmer).

BrdU Analysis

BrdU incorporation analysis was performed according to our published protocols [26]. Pulsed cells were fixed and incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-BrdU antibody (Calbiochem, Billerica, MA, USA, NA61), then for 1 hour at room temperature with Alexa Fluor 555 anti-mouse secondary antibody (Invitrogen, A21422) and counterstained with DAPI.

Flow Cytometry

Cells were dissociated from culture plates using Accutase (Sigma, A6964) and collected by spinning down in 15 ml conical tubes. Cells were washed in cold 1X PBS and fixed in cold 70% Ethanol. After fixation, cells were treated with 500 µl RNase (Sigma, R5125) and stained with 500 µl propidium iodide (Sigma, P4170) for 45 minutes. Cells were transferred to meshed cap Falcon tubes for FACS analysis. FACS analysis was performed on a Benton-Dickinson LSRII.

Results

Establishing a Model for the Study of Centrosome Amplification in Breast Cancer

In order to establish a breast cancer cell model of CA, we screened several established breast cell lines of varying molecular subtypes for the presence of CA. We observed that SKBr3 and HCC1954 Her2+ER-PR- breast cancer cell lines harbor significantly higher percentages of CA in comparison to MCF10A control cells (Figure 1a). BT474 showed elevation in CA approaching statistically significance (p<0.07); because these cells grow in multiple layers precise calculation of CA was difficult. Analysis from CCLE and COSMIC databases, as well as results from the literature show that there are no mutations detected in HRAS, KRAS, or NRAS in MCF10A or cells displaying CA. Whereas previous reports demonstrate correlation between Her2 overexpression and CA using biopsied patient tissue, our study focuses on a Her2+ cell line experimental model. Following our initial screen, we determined that SKBr3 and/or HCC1954 would be used for further modeling of CA in breast cancer.
Figure 2. CA in Her2+ cells is mediated by Cdk4. (a) siRNAs against Cdk2 and Cdk4 were transfected into target cell lines; scrambled siRNA was used as a control. siRNA knockdown was confirmed by western blot using antibodies against Cdk2 and Cdk4; β-actin was used as a loading control. Western blot results show three separate gels; different exposures are commensurate with protein abundance. The number of centrosomes in proliferating cells was measured as described in Figure 1a. Statistical significance was addressed using a T-test (* = p≤0.05; ** = p≤0.01). (b) Lentiviral shPLKO.1 control and shCdk4 vectors were used to infect MCF10A, SKBr3, and HCC1954 and create stable cell lines via puromycin selection. Independent lentiviral clones were screened in each cell line; knockdown was confirmed by western blot using an antibody against Cdk4; β-actin was used as a loading control. Western blot results show three separate gels; different exposures are commensurate with protein abundance. Centrosome amplification was measured in cell lines where knockdown was successful as described in Figure 1a. Statistical significance was addressed using a T-test (** = p≤0.01).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065971.g002
inhibition of Cdk2 has a nominal effect on the CA phenotype in a Her2+ model of CA and that Cdk4 is a more influential mediator of the phenotype.

To ensure that knocking down Cdk4 did not induce cell cycle arrest, and as a byproduct, a reduction in CA due to lack of cell proliferation, we performed several cell cycle analysis experiments. To make certain shCdk4 cells were progressing through the cell cycle, HCC1954 shPLKO.1 and shCdk4-4 cells were serum arrested for 72 hours. Upon the addition of serum, starting at time zero hours, we harvested cells for cell cycle analysis every 6 hours for 24 hours. Flow cytometry results indicate that shCdk4 cells follow a very similar cell cycle pattern to control cells. A modest difference was seen in the S phase fraction at 18 hours post serum addition, but by 24 hours there is no significant difference (Table 1). This data suggests that loss of Cdk4 affects neither cell cycle entry after serum starvation nor proliferation.

To further investigate the S phase fraction of these cells, BrdU incorporation assays were used as described in the Materials and Methods section of this manuscript. We confirmed that stable shCdk4 cell lines were not deficient in S phase cells; our results showed control and shCdk4 cells had similar percentages of cells that stained positive for BrdU (Table 2). These results demonstrate that silencing Cdk4 does not affect the cell cycle, but rather, selectively affects the CA phenotype in this Her2+ breast cancer model.

**Her2+ Cells Show a High Percentage of Binucleation, Which is Reduced upon Silencing of Cdk4**

There are several different mechanisms that may generate CA, including, but not limited to de novo centriole assembly, centriole reduplication, and cytokinesis failure [52]. Interestingly, we observed a phenotype of binucleation in HCC1954 and SKBr3 cells compared to MCF10A control cells using antibodies against α-tubulin and DAPI, to image the cytoskeleton and nucleus, respectively (Figure 3a). This phenotype correlates with CA; cells that were binucleated were also overwhelmingly positive for CA. As shown in Figure 3a and 3b, proliferating SKBr3 cells displayed 8.0% binucleation and 75.1% of these cells also harbored CA; 12.2% of proliferating HCC1954 cells were binucleated, and 91.9% of the binucleated population had CA. There is a reasonable amount of data in the literature suggesting a mechanistic link between binucleation and centrosome abnormalities [18]. The source of a potential cytokinetic defect causing binucleation and CA could span the entirety of the cell cycle. Deregulation could lie at the level of molecules directly involved in cytokinesis or could lie upstream in molecules that regulate the cell cycle and its progression.

To ascertain the role of Cdk4 in generating binucleated Her2+ cells, we compared control and shCdk4 HCC1954 cells via microscopy. Results showed a significant decrease in binucleation in all three independent populations compared to vector control and parental cells (Figure 3c), suggesting a correlation between CA and binucleation. For higher resolution and to reveal additional cellular mechanisms, we employed live cell imaging techniques. Using proliferating HCC1954 shCdk4-1 cells and their respective control, images were captured every 5 minutes over the course of 24 hours, and then pooled to create movies of a field of cells dividing over time. The results were rather striking; we observed cells attempting to undergo mitosis, failing, and resulting in binucleation (Figure 3d). While these events were rare, we noted them in both control and shCdk4-1 cells; however, there is a clear and significant difference in the percentage of these events between the two cell populations. Control cells present with 2.4% of attempted mitoses ending in observed novel binucleation, while in shCdk4-1 cells, only 0.4% of attempted mitoses ended in this way (Table 3). This data provides cytokinesis failure as a discernible mechanism for Cdk4 mediation of binucleation and CA in a Her2+ model.

| Table 1. Knockdown of Cdk4 does not affect cell cycle profiles. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Cell Line | Hours | Percent of G1 cells (SD)* | Percent of S cells (SD)* | Percent of G2 cells (SD)* |
| HCC1954 shPLKO.1 | 0 | 73.4 (3.1) | 9.9 (1.2) | 164 (4.1) |
| | 6 | 68.9 (3.0) | 12.2 (1.2) | 182 (3.3) |
| | 12 | 71.3 (5.4) | 4.7 (1.9) | 23.9 (7.5) |
| | 18 | 59.2 (2.8) | 24.4 (2.5) | 16 (2.9) |
| | 24 | 35.3 (0.6) | 35.3 (9.6) | 28.9 (10.1) |
| | 0 | 76 (0.1) | 6.8 (3.8) | 17 (4.1) |
| HCC1954 shCdk4-4 | 12 | 74.8 (2.5) | 4.7 (0.5) | 20.2 (3.1) |
| | 18 | 68 (1.7) | 13.7 (1.4) | 17.9 (2.6) |
| | 24 | 25.7 (7.5) | 29.5 (3.3) | 44.3 (1.5) |

Results are pooled from two independent experiments.
*p-value is calculated by T-test.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065971.t001

| Table 2. Knockdown of Cdk4 does not affect the fraction of cells in S phase. |
|---|---|---|
| Cell Line | Percent of BrdU+ cells | SD | p-value* |
| HCC1954 shPLKO.1 | 30.1 | 12.03 |
| HCC1954 shCdk4-1 | 30.2 | 4.35 (0.91 |
| HCC1954 shCdk4-3 | 31.7 | 12.34 (0.83 |
| HCC1954 shCdk4-4 | 29.8 | 7.14 (0.63 |

Results are from three independent experiments. 
p-value is calculated by T-test.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065971.t002
a. Cdk4 and Nek2 in Centrosome Amplification

b. 

c. 

d. 

HCC1954 shPLKO.1
HCC1954 shCdk4-1
Loss of Nek2 Mimics the Loss of Cdk4 and Correlates with Reduced Centrosome Amplification and Binucleation in Her2+ Breast Cancer Cells

A previous study published by our laboratory screened a broad panel of cell and centrosome cycle regulators in MCF10A cells stably expressing H-RasG12V or H-RasG12V and c-Myc [28]. While this study identified genes that influenced a CA phenotype, no mechanism was revealed. The screen pulled down several interesting targets, one of which, Nek2, seemed particularly significant in light of the observed binucleation phenotype in Her2+ cells. Nek2, a NIMA-related cell cycle dependent protein kinase, is normally involved in centrosome separation at the onset of mitosis through phosphorylation of centrosomal proteins [53,54], its activity peaking in S and G2 phases [55]. Nek2 levels have been found to be elevated in human breast cancer [56]. Other proteins reported to be involved in the formation of CA have been found to be deregulated in serum-arrested cells (Figure 4c). Nek2 protein expression in serum-arrested cells has been shown to mediate CA in other systems, including p53−/− mouse embryonic fibroblasts through Cdk2 and Cdk4 [26]. We followed up with Nek2 as an important target gene in our model, based on the abnormal binucleation phenotype, and found that Nek2 protein was overexpressed in the Her2+ cell lines investigated in comparison to MCF10A cells (Figure 4a). We transiently transfected shCdk4 constructs into two Her2+ cell lines to assess the level of Nek2 upon loss of Cdk4 under proliferating conditions; interestingly we found that knockdown of Cdk4 lead to reduction of Nek2 levels (Figure 4b). Upon probing control and shCdk4 expressing HCC1954 cells with antibodies against Nek2 we discovered that knockdown of Cdk4 resulted in a decrease of Nek2 protein expression in serum-arrested cells (Figure 4c). We also detected a decrease in the level of phosphorylated NPM in cells expressing shRNAs against Cdk4 (Figure 4c). This target provides an interesting avenue for further investigation.

These novel findings suggest a functional correlation between Cdk4 and the potential CA regulator, Nek2. Next, we performed qRT-PCR experiments under proliferating and serum starvation conditions to address the role of Cdk4 in regulating Nek2 at the transcriptional level. We found no significant difference in the amount of Nek2 mRNA in any of the cell lines investigated at either proliferation or quiescence, suggesting that the silencing of Cdk4 does not impact Nek2 at the transcriptional level (data not shown). To further probe Nek2 as a mediator of CA, we transfected siRNA constructs into MCF10A, SKBr3, and HCC1954 cells and assessed the percentage of CA. The reduction of Nek2 by siRNA phenocopied loss of Cdk4 and reduced the percentage of CA found in Her2+ cells (Figure 4d).

Overexpression of recombinant active Nek2 in human cancer cells induces premature centrosome splitting at G1/S, while still allowing cells to enter mitosis [33]. Deregulated Nek2 has also been associated with abnormalities in cytokinesis in mammary epithelial cells immortalized with SV40 large T antigen [56]. To elucidate a role for Nek2 in the observed binucleation phenotype of the Her2+ breast cancer model, we stained SKBr3 and HCC1954 shNek2 cells with antibodies against α-tubulin and DAPI in order to image the cytoskeleton and nucleus, respectively. This assay revealed that knocking down Nek2 reduced the percentage of binucleation in proliferating cells, as control shPLKO.1 cells maintained high levels of binucleation, while shNek2 cells showed significantly lower percentages (Figure 4e). This data shows that Nek2 mediates CA and binucleation in Her2+ breast cancer cells. This data suggests that Nek2 is possibly downstream of Cdk4 and important in inducing CA. To further address this possibility we attempted a rescue experiment by introducing an overexpression plasmid, GFP-Nek2, into HCC1954 cells expressing either shPLKO.1 or shCdk4. We were unable to obtain Nek2-overexpressing shCdk4-4 cell populations, as these transfectants stopped proliferating. Nevertheless, we were able to establish stable populations of HCC1954 shPLKO.1 and shCdk4-4 cells and confirm overexpression of Nek2 via Western blot by probing for both Nek2 protein as well as GFP (Figure 5a). Interestingly, expression of Cdk4 protein was restored in cells overexpressing Nek2. The presence of GFP-Nek2 increased the percentage of CA in both control and HCC1954 shCdk4-4 cells compared to their relative controls (Figure 5a). To better understand a potential signaling pathway, we transfected siNek2 constructs into three Her2+ cell lines, HC1954, SKBr3, and JIMT1, and examined the levels of Cdk4 protein expression. We found HCC1954 and SKBr3 cells with confirmed Nek2 knockdown showed a marked reduction in Cdk4 expression. JIMT1 showed a slight reduction in Cdk4 upon knockdown of Nek2 (Figure 5b). We found no significant difference in the level of Cdk4 mRNA, suggesting that the silencing of Nek2 does not affect Cdk4 at the transcriptional level (data not shown). In this report we show that Nek2 plays a key role in identifying the mechanism behind CA and binucleation in a Her2+ breast cancer model.

![Table 3. Knockdown of Cdk4 reduces percentage of failed mitosis ending in binucleation.](#)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Line Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Observed Phenotype (%)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>Non-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nucleated</td>
<td>nucleated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC1954 shPLKO.1</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>15 (2.3)</td>
<td>630 (97.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCC1954 shCdk4-1</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>2 (0.4)</td>
<td>483 (99.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are pooled from three independent experiments.

*p-value is calculated by Chi-square test.
Figure 4. Binucleation and CA in Her2+ cells are mediated by Nek2. (a) Protein lysates from Figure 2a were used in western blots to detect levels of Nek2 in MCF10A and Her2+ breast cancer cell lines; β-actin was used as a loading control. Western blot results show two separate gels; different exposures are commensurate with protein abundance. (b) Western blotting was done in lysates collected from proliferating SKBr3 and JIMT1 cells transfected with siCdk4 constructs. Antibodies against Cdk4 and Nek2 were used; β-actin was used as a loading control. Western blot results show two separate gels; different exposures are commensurate with protein abundance. (c) Western blotting was done in lysates collected from serum arrested HCC1954 expressing shRNAs against Cdk4. Antibodies against Cdk4, Nek2, phospho-NPM, and NPM protein were used; β-actin was used as a loading control. Knockdown was determined by western blotting using an antibody against Nek2; β-actin was used as a loading control. CA was measured as described in...
Discussion

A major proportion of human tumor cells harbor centrosome abnormalities. These aberrant phenotypes have been hypothesized to generate multipolar mitoses, microtubule nucleation errors, aneuploidy, chromosome instability, and even tumorigenesis. Understanding whether CA plays a role in breast tumorigenesis requires identification of the pathways and molecules that directly signal CA. Once such pathways and molecules are identified, their inhibition and/or overexpression will lead to a better understanding of their role in transformation. Our early work demonstrated that the Ras oncogene signals CA through the MAPK pathway, while other Ras-dependent pathways do not act on CA [58,59]. We also showed that Ras is able to trigger CA in premalignant mammary epithelial lesions, whereas c-Myc is unable to do so [28]. These experiments indicate that CA is specific to certain oncogenic and signaling pathways and that CA may drive early mammary tumorigenesis.

Figure 5. A potential signaling loop for Cdk4 and Nek2.

(a) Cells were serum starved for 72 hours, and overexpression of GFP-Nek2 plasmid was confirmed by western blotting using antibodies against Nek2 and GFP; protein lysates were also probed with an antibody against Cdk4. The number of centrosomes was assayed as in Figure 1a. Statistical significance was addressed using a T-test (* = p<0.05; ** = p<0.01). (b) Western blotting was done on protein lysates collected from proliferating cells transfected with siNek2 constructs. Knockdown was confirmed using an antibody against Nek2; membranes were then probed with an antibody against β-actin was used as a loading control. Western blot results show three separate gels; different exposures are commensurate with protein abundance.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065971.g005
Compelling data indicates high levels of the centrosomal kinase Nek2 protein in cell lines derived from breast, cervical, and prostate carcinomas. Overexpression of Nek2 in immortalized breast cancer cells induces aneuploidy through multinucleated cells, and these cells are typically associated with CA [56]. Additionally, transient overexpression of kinase-active Nek2 induces premature centrosome splitting [53]. Nek2 protein can be regulated both temporally and spatially in various ways, including through transcription, post-translational modifications, and protein-protein interactions. The abundance of Nek2 is also managed by cell cycle-dependent protein degradation; it is normally targeted for proteasomal degradation following ubiquitylation facilitated by the anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C). Failure to appropriately degrade Nek2 could increase stability and abundance within the cell [62]. It is plausible, based on the role of Nek2 in centrosome separation and microtubule organization, that overexpression of Nek2 could lead to CA via binucleation, potentially through a defect in cytokinesis. Perhaps the most direct evidence for Nek2's role in cytokinesis comes from the Drosophila model system. At wild-type levels DmNek2 localizes to the midbody, and overexpression of DmNek2 causes a decrease in normal cytokinesis and an increase in tetraploid cells [63].

There is sufficient evidence of centrosomal aberrations leading to mitotic defects, and there is a growing body of work suggesting that Nek2 is one of the molecules that maintains mitotic events. In light of these findings, we propose a model where the overabundance of Nek2 in Her2+ cells is caused by deregulated cyclin D1/Cdk4 activity and that in turn, Nek2 is required to facilitate some of the abnormal mitotic functions triggered by cyclin D1/Cdk4 (Figure 5). Experiments attempting to rescue CA in cells stably silenced for Cdk4 were inconclusive. The only shCdk4 cells overexpressing Nek2 that proliferated were those that re-expressed Cdk4. This result could be interpreted as evidence of an interesting signaling loop, wherein high levels of Nek2 can positively regulate expression of Cdk4. This is suggested by the reduction in Cdk4 levels upon knockdown of Nek2. Alternatively, Nek2 overexpression in cells lacking Cdk4 might impose cell cycle blocks and impair cell proliferation.

Discovering that inhibition of Nek2 or Cdk4 diminishes CA in breast cancer cells, and showing that silencing of Cdk4 leads to reduced Nek2 overexpression is important, as both molecules have been shown to mediate mammory epithelial transformation [41,64]. As demonstrated in this manuscript, the inhibition of Cdk4 or Nek2 prevents CA in Her2+ cells, which is indicative of the important role of CA in mammary transformation. This manuscript furthers the understanding of the role that CA plays in breast cancers by identifying Cdk4 and Nek2 as mediators of CA in Her2+ breast cancer cells, and by identifying binucleation as a major mechanism generating CA in breast cancers. This has potential translational relevance because CA may be a driver of breast cancer biogenesis, exemplified by the presence of CA in pre-malignant mammary epithelial lesions in humans and in mice expressing various oncogenes. On the other hand, aneuploidy generated by CA can also drive resistance to chemotherapeutic agents. Thus, further studies are needed to establish whether inhibition of CA via the Cdk4-Nek2 pathway will improve the clinical outcome of breast cancer patients.
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