Abstract. For a class of manifolds $X$ that includes quotients of real hyperbolic $(n+1)$-dimensional space by a convex co-compact discrete group, we show that the resonances of the meromorphically continued resolvent kernel for the Laplacian on $X$ coincide, with multiplicities, with the poles of the meromorphically continued scattering operator for $X$. In order to carry out the proof, we use Shmuel Agmon’s perturbation theory of resonances to show that both resolvent resonances and scattering poles are simple for generic potential perturbations.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to show the equivalence of two possible notions of ‘scattering resonances’ for the Laplacian on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds, i.e., complete Riemannian manifolds of infinite volume with ‘constant curvature at infinity’. On the one hand, it is very natural to define scattering resonances with respect to the meromorphically continued resolvent of the Laplace operator. This point of view has been very fruitful and has led to a large body of results on the distribution of scattering resonances in the complex plane; see [29, 31] for surveys and [5, 6, 7, 30] for results on the class of manifolds studied here. On the other hand, it is also reasonable, by analogy with scattering theory for the Schrödinger or wave equations in Euclidean space (see e.g. [14, 26]), to define scattering resonances as poles of the scattering operator for the Laplacian. For Schrödinger scattering on Euclidean space, the equivalence of scattering resonances and resolvent resonances is well known [8, 9, 10]. The analogous result for hyperbolic manifolds is of interest since the poles of the scattering operator have a geometric and dynamical interpretation: they are among the poles of Selberg’s zeta function for geodesic flow on the manifold [22, 23, 25]. Thus the scattering resonances serve, in a sense, as discrete data similar in character to the eigenvalues of a compact surface. The methods used to prove equivalence of resonances in the Euclidean setting do not appear to work in the hyperbolic case.

For noncompact Riemann surfaces and certain metric perturbations, Guillopé and Zworski showed that the set of resolvent resonances and the set of scattering poles coincide with multiplicities [7, Proposition 2.11]; here we will show that,
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with some restrictions, the same equivalence holds for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds in higher dimension.

To describe our results, we first recall that an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold is a compact manifold \( X \) with boundary, endowed with a Riemannian metric of a special form. A defining function for the boundary of a compact manifold \( X \) is a nonnegative \( C^\infty \) function on \( X \) with \( x^{-1} \{ 0 \} = \partial X \) and \( dx|_{\partial X} \) nowhere vanishing. The metric \( g \) then takes the form \( x^{-2} h \), where \( x \) is a defining function and \( h \) is a nondegenerate smooth metric on \( X \) such that \( |dx|_h \to 1 \) as \( x \to 0 \). Note that this metric puts \( \partial X \) 'at infinity' and makes \( X \), the interior of \( X \), a complete Riemannian manifold of infinite volume. The condition on \( |dx|_h \) insures that the sectional curvatures approach \( -1 \) at metric infinity. If \( \Delta_g \) denotes the positive Laplace-Beltrami operator on \((X, g)\) and \( X \) has dimension \( n+1 \), it is known that the spectrum of \( \Delta_g \) consists of at most finitely many \( L^2 \) eigenvalues of finite multiplicity in the interval \([0, n^2/4)\), and absolutely continuous spectrum in \([n^2/4, \infty)\) with no embedded eigenvalues (see \([9, 15, 16]\) for quotients of hyperbolic space and \([17, 19]\) for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds). Thus the resolvent \( R_g(z) = (\Delta_g - z)^{-1} \) is a meromorphic operator-valued function on the cut plane \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [n^2/4, \infty) \) with poles at the \( L^2 \) eigenvalues having finite-rank residues. It is convenient to introduce a uniformizing parameter \( \zeta \) and set \( R_g(\zeta) = (\Delta_g - \zeta(n - \zeta))^{-1} \), which is then a meromorphic operator-valued function on the half-plane \( \Re(\zeta) > n/2 \). The operator \( R_g(\zeta) \) has first-order poles at points \( \zeta_0 \) whenever \( \zeta_0(n - \zeta_0) \) is an \( L^2(X) \)-eigenvalue of \( \Delta_g \). We denote by \( Z_p \) the (finite and possibly empty) set of all such \( \zeta_0 \). The multiplicity of \( \zeta_0 \in Z_p \) is the dimension, \( m_{\zeta_0} \), of the eigenspace of \( \Delta_g \) with eigenvalue \( \zeta_0(n - \zeta_0) \). Equivalently,

\[
(1.1) \quad m_{\zeta_0} = \text{rank} \left( \int_{\gamma_{\zeta_0}} R_g(\zeta) \, d\zeta \right),
\]

where \( \gamma_{\zeta_0} \) is a simple closed contour surrounding \( \zeta_0 \) and no other pole of \( R_g(\zeta) \).

First, we define the resolvent resonance set of \( \Delta_g \). Let \( C^\infty(X) \) denote the smooth functions on \( X \) vanishing to all orders at \( \partial X \). Viewed as a map from \( C^\infty(X) \) to \( C^\infty(X) \), the resolvent operator \( R_g(\zeta) \) admits a meromorphic continuation to \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{2}(n - N) \), as was shown in \([20]\). The set \( \mathcal{R} \) of resolvent resonances consists of the poles of this meromorphic continuation in the half-plane \( \Re(\zeta) < n/2 \), excluding the set \( \frac{1}{2}(n - N) \). Lower bounds on resolvent resonances proven in \([27]\) show that this set is always nontrivial for constant curvature spaces, and explicit examples (see, for instance, section 3 of \([9]\) ) show that resolvent resonances can form an infinite lattice in the half-plane \( \Re(\zeta) < n/2 \). If \( \zeta_0 \) is a resolvent resonance with \( \Re(\zeta_0) < n/2 \), the multiplicity of \( \zeta_0 \) is the number \( m_{\zeta_0} \) defined by (1.1), where again \( \gamma_{\zeta_0} \) is a simple closed curve that encloses \( \zeta_0 \) and no other pole of \( R_g(\zeta) \). The point \( \zeta_0 \) is a semi-simple resonance if \( R_g(\zeta) \) has a first-order pole at \( \zeta_0 \). The point \( \zeta_0 \) is a simple resonance if, in addition, the residue of the pole has rank one.

Next, we define the scattering operator and the scattering resonance set of \( \Delta_g \). For \( \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \) with \( \Re(\zeta) = n/2 \) and \( \zeta \neq n/2 \), and each \( f_- \in C^\infty(\partial X) \), there is a unique smooth solution of the eigenvalue equation \( (\Delta_g - \zeta(n - \zeta))u = 0 \) having the asymptotic form

\[
u = x^\zeta f_+ + x^{n - \zeta} f_- + O(x^{n/2 + 1}),\]
where \( f_+ \in C^\infty(\partial X) \). (This is the definition from [21]; for a proof see [11].) It follows that \( f_+ \) is uniquely determined and that there is a linear map \( S(\zeta) : C^\infty(\partial X) \to C^\infty(\partial X) \) with \( S(\zeta)f_+ = f_+ \); moreover it is clear that \( S(\zeta)S(n-\zeta) = I \).

It can be shown that \( S(\zeta) \) extends to a meromorphic family of operators on \( \mathbb{C} \) (see [11]); these operators will have infinite-rank poles at \( \zeta \in \frac{1}{2}n + N \), and infinite-rank zeros at \( \zeta \in \frac{1}{2}n - N \). The set \( \mathcal{S} \) of \textit{scattering poles} consists of the poles of the meromorphic continuation of \( S(\zeta) \) in the half-plane \( \Re(\zeta) < n/2 \), excluding the set \( \frac{1}{2}(n-N) \). For \( \zeta_0 \notin \frac{1}{2}(n-N) \), the \textit{multiplicity} of a scattering pole \( \zeta_0 \) is the integer

\[
\nu_{\zeta_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Tr} \left( \int_{|\zeta_0| = r} S(\zeta)^{-1} S'(\zeta) \, d\zeta \right)
\]

(compare [7], [23] where similar definitions are made). Results of [4] show that \( \nu_{\zeta_0} \) is an integer equal to the ‘null multiplicity’ of \( S(\zeta_0) \) minus the ‘null multiplicity’ of \( S^{-1}(\zeta_0) \), where null multiplicity must be defined with some care since neither operator may exist at \( \zeta_0 \) (see Section [4]). We will say that \( \zeta_0 \) is semi-simple if the pole of \( S(\zeta) \) at \( \zeta_0 \) is of first order, and simple if the residue is rank-one.

We would like to show a correspondence, with multiplicities, between the sets \( \mathcal{R} \) and \( \mathcal{S} \). A direct method (see for example [7], where the case \( n = 1 \) is treated) would compare the Laurent expansion of the meromorphically continued resolvent at \( \zeta_0 \in \mathcal{R} \) to the Laurent expansion of the scattering operator at \( \zeta_0 \), using the fact that the Schwarz kernel of the scattering operator can be recovered from that of the resolvent kernel. This direct method works easily when the resolvent resonance is simple but is somewhat complicated for nonsimple resonances. For this reason, we will perturb the operator \( \Delta_g \) with a potential \( V \in \dot{C}^\infty(X) \) which, as we will show, can be chosen so that the meromorphically continued resolvent,

\[
R_V(\zeta) = (\Delta_g + V - \zeta(n-\zeta))^{-1},
\]

has only simple resonances. The perturbation will split each resonance of multiplicity \( m \) into \( m \) resonances of multiplicity one localized near the unperturbed resonance, and similarly each eigenvalue of multiplicity \( m \) into \( m \) eigenvalues of multiplicity one. This result, which is of some independent interest, will allow us to count multiplicities properly but avoid technicalities associated with nonsimple resonances.

Our analysis of generic potential perturbations is inspired by Klopp and Zworski’s analysis of resonances in potential scattering [13]. To carry out the analysis, we will use Shmuel Agmon’s perturbation theory of resonances [1] in which the resonances are realized as eigenvalues of a non-self-adjoint operator on a cleverly constructed Banach space; this replaces the complex scaling used in [13]. Standard Kato-Rellich perturbation theory [12] can then be used to study how the resonances move under perturbation.

Our first result is:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \((X, g)\) be an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold, and let \( \mathcal{R} \) and \( \mathcal{S} \) be respectively the resolvent resonance set and scattering resonance set for the Laplacian \( \Delta_g \) (with the points \( \frac{1}{2}(n-N) \) excluded). Then \( \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{S} \), and the relationship

\[
\nu_{\zeta_0} = mn - m_{\zeta_0}
\]

holds at any \( \zeta_0 \in \mathcal{R} \).
Note that \( m_{n,-\zeta_0} \) is nonzero only for the finitely many \( \zeta_0 \) with \( n - \zeta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \); for all other \( \zeta_0 \notin \frac{1}{2}(n - N) \) the scattering resonances and resolvent resonances coincide with multiplicities. If \( n - \zeta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \), then we could have \( \nu_{\zeta_0} = 0 \) even though \( \zeta_0 \in S \).

We can make a stronger statement if \((X, g)\) has even dimension constant and curvature ‘near’ infinity, i.e., if there is a compact subset \( K \) of \( X \) so that \( g \) has constant negative curvature \(-1\) on \( X \setminus K \). In [6] it was shown that in this case \( R_g(\zeta) \) is meromorphic with finite rank poles on all of \( \mathbb{C} \). This class includes the convex co-compact hyperbolic manifolds. Recall that a geometrically finite group \( \Gamma \) of isometries of real hyperbolic \((n + 1)\)-dimensional space \( \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \) is called convex co-compact if the orbit space \( \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \) has infinite volume and \( \Gamma \) contains no parabolic elements. If \( \Gamma \) is torsion-free (which we can insure by passing to a subgroup of finite index), the orbit space \( X = \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \) is a complete Riemannian manifold when given the induced hyperbolic metric \( g \).

The full meromorphic continuation of the resolvent allows us to drop the restriction \( \zeta \notin \frac{1}{2}(n - N) \) and define an enlarged resonance set \( \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \) that includes all resolvent poles in the region \( \Re(\zeta) < n/2 \). The definition of the extended set \( \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \) requires a bit more care, because at \( \zeta \in \frac{1}{2}n - N \), the inverse \( S(\zeta)^{-1} = S(n - \zeta) \) has infinite-rank poles, which means that the formula (1.2) cannot be used to define the multiplicity at such points. Following [7], we can use a gamma-function to remove the infinite-rank zeroes at these problem points. Define the modified scattering operator

\[
\tilde{S}(\zeta) = \Gamma(\zeta - n/2 + 1)S(\zeta),
\]

and let \( \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \) be the set of poles of \( \tilde{S}(\zeta) \) for \( \Re(\zeta) < n/2 \). The multiplicity is now defined by

\[
\nu_{\zeta_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Tr} \left( \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(\zeta)} \tilde{S}(\zeta)^{-1} \tilde{S}'(\zeta) d\zeta \right).
\]

We will show that, in even dimension, \( S_\zeta \) vanishes at the points \( \frac{1}{2}n - N \), which implies that \( R_g(\zeta) \) and \( \tilde{S}(\zeta) \) are both holomorphic at these points.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \((X, g)\) have even dimension and constant curvature near infinity, and let \( \mathcal{R} \) and \( \mathcal{S} \) denote the enlarged resolvent resonance and scattering resonance sets for \( \Delta_g \). Then \( \mathcal{R} = \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \) and the relation

\[
\nu_{\zeta_0} = m_{n,-\zeta_0} - m_{\zeta_0}
\]

holds for all \( \zeta_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the Mazzeo-Melrose construction of the resolvent and study its behavior near resolvent resonances. In Section 3 we recall how the scattering operator can be recovered from the resolvent and discuss its behavior near scattering poles. In Section 4 we study the perturbation behavior of resonances when the operator \( \Delta_g \) is perturbed by a potential \( V \in \dot{C}^\infty(X) \). In Section 5 we show that the operator \( P_V = \Delta_g + V \) has only simple resonances \( \zeta_0 \) for \( \zeta_0 \notin \frac{1}{2}(n - N) \) for potentials \( V \) in a dense open subset of \( \dot{C}^\infty(X) \). Finally, in Section 6 we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

In what follows, \( x^N L^2(X) \) denotes the space of locally square-integrable functions \( v \) on \( X \) with \( v = x^N u \) for a function \( u \in L^2(X) \) and a fixed real number \( N \). For a fixed, given \( N \), we denote by \( B_0 \) the Banach space \( x^N L^2(X) \), and by \( B_1 \) the Banach
space $x^{-N}L^2(X)$. If $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are Banach spaces, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ denotes the Banach space of bounded operators from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$. We metrize $C^\infty(X)$ by introducing the seminorms
\begin{equation}
  d_{\alpha,n}(V) = \sup_{x \in X} |x^{-n}D^\alpha V(x)|,
\end{equation}
for nonnegative integers $n$ and multi-indices $\alpha$, and we denote by $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ the associated metric on $C^\infty(X)$. 

2. The Resolvent of $\Delta_g + V$ and its Meromorphic Continuation

The resolvent of the operator $P_V = \Delta_g + V$ has a distribution kernel, with respect to the Riemannian density on $X$, which is smooth away from the diagonal $\Lambda$ of $X \times X$. To describe its singularities, it is useful to introduce the blow up of $\overline{X} \times \overline{X}$ along $\partial X \times \partial X$, the stretched product $\overline{X} \times_0 \overline{X}$. This amounts to introducing polar coordinates at the diagonal in the corner of $\overline{X} \times \overline{X}$ where $\Lambda$ intersects the ‘top’ boundary face $\overline{X} \times \partial X$ and the ‘bottom’ boundary face $\partial X \times \overline{X}$; globally one replaces $\partial \Lambda$ with the doubly inward-pointing spherical normal bundle of $\partial \Lambda$.

If $(x, y)$ and $(x', y')$ are local coordinates on $\overline{X}$ in a neighborhood of the boundary, $\partial \Lambda$ is given by $x = x' = y - y' = 0$; local coordinates for $\overline{X} \times_0 \overline{X}$ near the boundary are then given by $(r, \eta, \eta', \theta, y)$ where

\[
  r = \sqrt{x^2 + (x')^2 + |y - y'|^2},
\]
\[
  (\eta, \eta', \theta) = (x/r, x'/r, (y - y')/r).
\]

We denote by $\beta$ the ‘blow-down map’ $\beta : \overline{X} \times_0 \overline{X} \to \overline{X} \times \overline{X}$; in the local coordinates described above, $\beta(r, \eta, \eta', \theta, y) = (r\eta, y, r\eta', y - r\theta)$.

The following theorem summarizes the Mazzeo-Melrose [20] construction of the resolvent. Although Mazzeo and Melrose did not treat potential perturbations, potentials in the class $C^1(X)$ may be accommodated without difficulty (see [11], Theorem 3.1 and its proof). We denote by $G_\zeta$ the integral kernel of the resolvent operator $R_V(\zeta) = (P_V - \zeta(n - \zeta))^{-1}$ with respect to Riemannian measure on $X$, initially a meromorphic function of $\zeta$ with $\Re(\zeta) > n/2$.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $(X, g)$ be an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold, and let $V \in C^\infty(X)$. The resolvent kernel $G_\zeta$ has a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ with

\[
  \beta^* G_\zeta = A_\zeta + B_\zeta + C_\zeta
\]

where

\[
  A_\zeta \in I^{-2}(\overline{X} \times_0 \overline{X}),
\]
\[
  B_\zeta \in (\eta \eta')^\zeta C^\infty(\overline{X} \times_0 \overline{X}),
\]

and

\[
  C_\zeta \in \beta^* [(xx')^\zeta C^\infty(\overline{X} \times \overline{X})].
\]

Moreover $A_\zeta$ is an entire function of $\zeta$, $B_\zeta$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus \frac{1}{2}(n - N)$, and $C_\zeta$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus \frac{1}{2}(n - N)$. 

Sketch of the proof. Let \( P_\zeta = \Delta_g + V - \zeta(n - \zeta) \). Given an operator \( A \) on \( C^\infty(X) \), we will denote by \( \kappa(A) \) the lift of the kernel of \( A \) (with respect to the Riemannian density on \( X \)) to \( \overline{X} \times_0 \overline{X} \). The construction in [20] may be broken into three pieces. First, we construct an operator \( A_\zeta \) to cancel the conormal singularity of \( \kappa(P_\zeta) \) on the lifted diagonal. The family \( A_\zeta \) is entire and has the property that \( \kappa(I - P_\zeta A_\zeta) \in C^\infty(\overline{X} \times_0 \overline{X}) \). This remainder does not yet correspond to the integral kernel of a compact operator on the original space.

To improve the error term, one uses the model resolvent. A second operator \( B_\zeta \) is constructed so that \( F_\zeta = I - P_\zeta (A_\zeta + B_\zeta) \) has
\[
\kappa(F_\zeta) \in \eta^\zeta(\eta')^\infty C^\infty(\overline{X} \times_0 \overline{X}).
\]
The operator \( B_\zeta \) is holomorphic in \( C\setminus \frac{1}{2}(n - \mathbb{N}) \); the operator \( E_\zeta \) is a compact operator on the weighted \( L^2 \) space \( x^N L^2(X) \) for all \( \zeta \) with \( \Re(\zeta) > n/2 - N \), and is also holomorphic in \( C\setminus \frac{n}{4}(n - \mathbb{N}) \).

Finally, one inverts \( (I - E_\zeta) \) using analytic Fredholm theory. Composition theorems of [18] show that if
\[
(I + F_\zeta) = (I - E_\zeta)^{-1},
\]
then \( \kappa(F_\zeta) \) also lies in \( \eta^\zeta(\eta')^\infty C^\infty(\overline{X} \times_0 \overline{X}) \). This in turn implies that \( C_\zeta = (A_\zeta + B_\zeta) F_\zeta \) belongs to \( \beta^+ \left[ (xx')^\zeta C^\infty(\overline{X} \times \overline{X}) \right] \), and therefore \( \beta^+ C_\zeta \) has the claimed form.

Remark 2.2. It follows from the form of the resolvent kernel that \( R_V(\zeta) \) is a continuous mapping from \( C^\infty(X) \) to \( C^\infty(X) \) when defined, and extends to a bounded mapping from \( x^N L^2(X) \) to \( x^{-N} L^2(X) \) for \( \Re(\zeta) > n/2 - N \).

Remark 2.3. The Mazzeo-Melrose construction does not rule out the possibility of poles at \( \zeta \in \frac{1}{2}(n - \mathbb{N}) \), possibly of infinite rank. If \((X, g)\) has constant negative curvature in a neighborhood of infinity, the operator \( A_\zeta + B_\zeta \) may be replaced by the model resolvent (the resolvent of the Laplacian on the covering space \( \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \)), which is entire if \( n \) is even and has finite-rank poles at \( \zeta = -k \) if \( n \) is odd (see for example the explicit formulas in [6], section 2). In either case, these terms contain only poles of finite rank, and the last step of the construction, involving the meromorphic Fredholm theorem, gives at most poles with finite-rank residues. A detailed construction of the resolvent in this case is given in [6], section 3. This observation plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.1 and standard arguments (see [7], Lemma 2.4) enable us to characterize the polar part of \( R_V(\zeta) \) at a resonant resonance \( \zeta_0 \notin \frac{1}{2}(n - \mathbb{N}) \). We will view the meromorphically continued resolvent as a mapping from the space \( B_0 = x^N L^2(X) \) to \( B_1 = x^{-N} L^2(X) \) as in Remark 2.2, where \( N \) is chosen so that \( \Re(\zeta_0) > n/2 - N \). Introduce the nondegenerate form
\[
\langle u, v \rangle = \int_X uv \, dx
\]
(no complex conjugation), which can be used to pair elements in \( B_0 \) and \( B_1 \). The resolvent operator is symmetric with respect to this form.

Proposition 2.4. Let \( \zeta_0 \in C\setminus \frac{1}{2}(n - \mathbb{N}) \) be a pole of \( R_V(\zeta) \). Then
\[
R_V(\zeta) = \sum_{j=-k}^{-1} (\zeta(n - \zeta) - \zeta_0(n - \zeta_0))^j A_j + H(\zeta),
\]
where $H(\zeta)$ is a holomorphic $L(B_0, B_1)$-valued function near $\zeta = \zeta_0$ and the $A_j$ are finite-rank operators in $L(B_0, B_1)$ with

$$A_{-j} = (\Delta_g + V - \zeta_0(n - \zeta_0))^{j-1}A_{-1}$$

for $j \geq 2$. The operator $A_{-1}$ commutes with $\Delta_g + V - \zeta_0(n - \zeta_0)$. Moreover, there is a basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^{m_0}$ for $\text{Ran}(A_{-1})$ so that

$$A_{-1}f = \sum_i \langle f, \psi_i \rangle \psi_i,$$

and the operator $(\Delta_g + V - \zeta_0(n - \zeta_0))$ is represented on $\text{Ran}(A_{-1})$ by a matrix $M$ with $M^{k-1} \neq 0$ but $M^k = 0$.

**Remark 2.5.** If $(X, g)$ has constant curvature in a neighborhood of infinity, the same result holds for any resolvent resonance $\zeta_0$ including those $\zeta_0 \in \frac{1}{2}(n - N)$.

### 3. The Scattering Operator for $P_V$

Let $S_V(\zeta)$ denote the scattering operator for $P_V = \Delta_g + V$. To describe the scattering operator and its singularities, we recall its connection with the resolvent kernel. First, we blow up $\partial X \times 0 \partial X$ along the diagonal $\Lambda_\infty$ to obtain the space $\partial X \times_0 \partial X$. The map $\beta_0 : \partial X \times_0 \partial X \to \partial X \times \partial X$ is the ‘blow-down map’ for this resolution. If $(y, y')$ are local coordinates for $\partial X \times \partial X$, $r = |y - y'|$, and $\theta = (y - y')/r$, then $(r, \theta, y)$ give local coordinates for $\partial X \times_0 \partial X$, and $\beta_0(r, \theta, y) = (y, y + r\theta)$. The kernel of the scattering operator is recovered as an asymptotic limit of the resolvent kernel. Let $\kappa(A)$ denote the lift of the integral kernel of $A$ (with respect to the measure on $\partial X$ induced by the metric $h|_{\partial X}$) to $\partial X \times_0 \partial X$. Then

$$\kappa(S_V(\zeta)) = \beta_0^* \left( (x'x)^{-\zeta}G_\zeta \right)_{|T \cap B},$$

where $T \cap B$ is the intersection of the top and bottom faces of $X \times_0 \overline{X}$. From this formula and Theorem 2.1, we easily obtain:

**Theorem 3.1.** The decomposition

$$\kappa(S_V(\zeta)) = r^{-2\zeta}F_\zeta + \beta_0^*(K_\zeta)$$

holds, where $F_\zeta$ and $K_\zeta$ are meromorphic maps respectively into $C^\infty(\partial X \times_0 \partial X)$ and $C^\infty(\partial X \times \partial X)$, and $F_\zeta$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{2}Z$. At poles $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{2}Z$, the kernel $K_\zeta$ has polar part with finite Laurent series and coefficients in $C^\infty(\partial X \times \partial X)$. The set of such poles is contained in the set of poles of $R_V(\zeta)$. For $\zeta_0 \in Z_p$ with $\zeta_0 \notin \frac{1}{2}n + Z$, $S_V(\zeta)$ has a semi-simple pole at $\zeta_0$ whose residue has rank $m(\zeta_0)$.

Note that the distribution $r^{-2\zeta}$ has poles at $\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}n + N$, giving rise to infinite-rank first-order poles of $S_V(\zeta)$. The statement about poles at $\zeta_0 \in Z_p$ follows from the fact that the resolvent has a semi-simple pole at each such $\zeta_0$ and that the residue is a finite-rank projection onto eigenfunctions of the form $x^{\zeta_0}\psi$ for $\psi \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$ with $\psi|_{\partial X} \neq 0$. Thus from (3.1) it follows that $S_V(\zeta)$ has a semi-simple pole with residue $\sum_{i=1}^{m(\zeta_0)} \langle \phi_i, \cdot \rangle \phi_i$, where $\phi_i = x^{-\zeta_0}\psi_i|_{\partial X}$.

The scattering operator $S_V(\zeta)$ is a family of Fredholm operators meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \frac{1}{2}Z$, satisfying

$$S_V(\zeta)S_V(n - \zeta) = I,$$
with finite polar parts and finite-rank residues at each pole \( \zeta_0 \in \mathbb{C}\setminus \frac{i}{2}\mathbb{Z} \). For any \( \zeta_0 \notin \frac{i}{2}\mathbb{Z} \), the multiplicity is
\[
\nu_{\zeta_0} = \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_{\zeta_0,\epsilon}} S_V(\zeta)^{-1} S'_V(\zeta) \, d\zeta \right).
\]

In [4] Gohberg-Sigal gave a general method for computing the integer \( \nu_{\zeta_0} \). Suppose \( A(\zeta) \) is a meromorphic family of Fredholm operators on the Banach space \( \mathcal{B} \), holomorphic for \( \zeta \neq \zeta_0 \) in some neighborhood of \( \zeta_0 \), such that the nonsingular part of \( A \) at \( \zeta_0 \) has index zero. Assume also that there is a meromorphic inverse \( B(\zeta) \) such that \( A(\zeta)B(\zeta) = B(\zeta)A(\zeta) = I \). A root function of \( A \) is a holomorphic \( \mathcal{B} \)-valued function such that \( \phi(\zeta_0) \neq 0 \) but
\[
\lim_{\zeta \to \zeta_0} A(\zeta)\phi(\zeta) = 0.
\]
Then \( \phi_0 = \phi(\zeta_0) \), which plays the role of a null vector of \( A(\zeta_0) \), is called a root vector. As an illustration, consider
\[
A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then \( A \), \( C \), and \( C^{-1} \) have a root vector \( \phi_0 = (1, 0) \) at \( z = 0 \), while \( A^{-1} \) has no root vector.

The rank of \( \phi_0 \) is the maximal order of vanishing of \( A(\zeta)\phi(\zeta) \) at \( \zeta_0 \). Gohberg-Sigal define a number \( N_{\zeta_0}(A) \) which is essentially the total rank of the space of null vectors of \( A \) at \( \zeta_0 \). Since we will be splitting into simple poles, we need only worry about the simplest possible cases: \( N_{\zeta_0}(A) = 0 \) if no root vector exists and \( N_{\zeta_0}(A) = 1 \) if the space of root vectors is 1-dimensional with \( A(\zeta)\phi(\zeta) \) vanishing to first order. Theorem 2.1 of [4] says
\[
\nu_{\zeta_0}(A) = N_{\zeta_0}(A) - N_{\zeta_0}(B),
\]
where, in this setting, \( \nu_{\zeta_0}(A) \) is defined by the equation
\[
\nu_{\zeta_0}(A) = \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_{\zeta_0,\epsilon}} B(\zeta)A'(\zeta) \, d\zeta \right)
\]
for \( \gamma_{\zeta_0,\epsilon} \) a simple closed contour enclosing \( \zeta_0 \) and no other singularity of \( A(\zeta) \) or \( B(\zeta) \).

Now we can prove equality of multiplicities in the special case when all resonances are simple. In the next two sections we shall show that all resonances are simple for "generic" \( V \), from which the general result will follow.

**Proposition 3.2.** \( R_V(\zeta) \) has a simple resonance at \( \zeta_0 \in \mathbb{C}\setminus \frac{i}{2}\mathbb{Z} \) iff \( S_V(\zeta) \) has a simple pole at \( \zeta_0 \). Moreover assuming that \( \zeta_0 \) and \( n - \zeta_0 \) are at most simple poles of \( R_V \), we have
\[
\nu_{\zeta_0} = m_{n - \zeta_0} - m_{\zeta_0}.
\]

**Proof.** Assume \( \zeta_0 \in \mathbb{C}\setminus \frac{i}{2}\mathbb{Z} \) is a simple resonance of \( R_V(\zeta) \). The polar part of \( R_V(\zeta) \) is
\[
(\zeta(n - \zeta) - \zeta_0(n - \zeta_0))^{-1} \langle \psi_0, \cdot \rangle \psi_0
\]
where \( \psi_0 \in x^{\zeta_0}C^\infty(\mathcal{X}) \) solves the eigenvalue equation \((\Delta_0 + V - \zeta_0(n - \zeta_0))\psi_0 = 0 \). We claim that \( \varphi_0 = x^{-\zeta_0}\psi_0 \big|_{\partial\mathcal{X}} \) is a nonzero element of \( C^\infty(\partial\mathcal{X}) \). If not, then
introducing local coordinates \((x, y)\) on \(X\) where \(x\) is a defining function for \(\partial X\), we have \(\psi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(0^+)^{1+1}C^{\infty}\), and a power series argument using the eigenvalue equation shows that, in fact, \(\psi_0 \in C^{\infty}(X)\), so that \(\psi_0\) is an \(L^2\)-eigenfunction, a contradiction. It now follows from \([3,1]\) that \(S_V(\zeta)\) has a first-order pole at \(\zeta = \zeta_0\) with rank-one residue \((\varphi_0, \cdot)\varphi_0\), where \((\cdot, \cdot)\) is the real inner product on functions in \(C^1(\partial X)\) with Riemannian measure induced by the metric \(h|_{\partial X}\).

Thus \(S_V(\zeta)\) can be factored as

\[
S_V(\zeta) = E(\zeta)[(\zeta - \zeta_0)^{-1}P_0 + H(\zeta)]F(\zeta),
\]

where \(E\) and \(F\) are holomorphically invertible, \(H\) is holomorphic, and \(P_0\) is the orthogonal projection onto the span of \(\varphi_0\). By \([4]\) we can drop the \(E\) and \(F\) in computing \(N_{\zeta_0}\). Let

\[
\phi(\zeta) = (\zeta - \zeta_0)S_V(\zeta)\phi_0 = \phi_0 + (\zeta - \zeta_0)H(\zeta)\phi_0.
\]

Since

\[
S_V^{-1}(\zeta)\phi(\zeta) = (\zeta - \zeta_0)\phi_0,
\]

\(\phi_0\) is a rank one root vector for \(S_V^{-1}\), and it is unique up to a scalar multiple. Thus (under the simplicity assumption)

\[
N_{\zeta_0}(S_V^{-1}) = m_{\zeta_0}.
\]

Applying the same argument at \(n - \zeta_0\) gives

\[
N_{\zeta_0}(S_V) = N_{n-\zeta_0}(S_V^{-1}) = m_{n-\zeta_0}.
\]

So the result follows from the Gohberg-Sigal formula for the multiplicity.  

A careful analysis of the resolvent parametrix construction (see for example \([2]\)) shows that the map

\[
(\mathbb{C} \cap \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}) \times \hat{C}^{\infty}(X) \ni (\zeta, V) \mapsto S_V(\zeta)
\]

is a continuous mapping away from poles of \(S_V(\zeta)\). The following continuity result for scattering poles is a simple consequence.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \(\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{S}\) with \(\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{C} \cap \frac{1}{2}(n - \mathbb{N})\). For sufficiently small \(\varepsilon > 0\) there is a \(\delta > 0\) so that for all \(V \in \hat{C}^{\infty}(X)\) with \(d(V, 0) < \delta\), the multiplicity

\[
\nu_{\zeta_0}(V) = \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_{\zeta_0}} S_V^{-1}(\zeta)S_V'(\zeta) d\zeta \right)
\]

is continuous as a map from \(\hat{C}^{\infty}(X)\) to \(\mathbb{Z}\). Hence

\[
\nu_{\zeta_0}(V) = \nu_{\zeta_0}(0)
\]

for such \(V\).
4. Perturbation Theory of Resonances

Now we apply Agmon’s perturbation theory of resonances [1] to study the behavior of resonances under potential perturbations. For a fixed, asymptotically hyperbolic manifold \((X, g)\) we consider the family of operators \(P_V\) where \(V\) ranges over complex-valued \(\hat{C}^\infty(X)\) functions. For any such \(V\), Theorem 2.1 guarantees that \(\mathcal{R}_V(\zeta) = (P_V - \zeta(n - \zeta))^{-1}\) admits a meromorphic continuation to any half-plane \(\Re(\zeta) > n/2 - N\), \(N\) a positive integer, as a mapping from \(B_0 = x^N L^2(X)\) to \(B_1 = x^{-N} L^2(X)\). We will set \(\mathcal{R}_V(z) = (P_V - z)^{-1}\) with the understanding that \(z\) lies on the second sheet of the Riemann surface for the inverse function of \(f(\zeta) = \zeta(n - \zeta)\), so that \(\mathcal{R}_V(z)\) is the meromorphic continuation of the resolvent to the second sheet. Using the fact that \(P_V: \hat{C}^\infty(X) \to \hat{C}^\infty(X)\) together with Theorem 2.1 it is not difficult to check that the operator \(P_V\) satisfies the hypotheses of Agmon’s abstract theory.

To study the perturbation of a resonance \(z_0\), Agmon introduces auxiliary operators and Banach spaces associated to an open connected domain \(\Delta\) containing \(z_0\) with \(C^1\) boundary \(\Gamma\). Let \(B_{1}\) be the subset of \(B_{1}\) consisting of functions of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi = f + \int_{\Gamma} \mathcal{R}_V(w) \Phi(w) \, dw,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(f \in B_0\) and \(\Phi \in C(\Gamma; B_0)\), the continuous functions on \(\Gamma\) with values in \(B_0\). Finally, let \(Y\) be the closed subspace of \(B_0 \times C(\Gamma, B_0)\) consisting of those \((g, \Phi)\) with

\[
\begin{align*}
0 = g + \int_{\Gamma} \mathcal{R}_V(w) \Phi(w) \, dw.
\end{align*}
\]

The space \(B_{1}\) is a Banach space as the quotient of \(B_0 \times C(\Gamma, B_0)\) by the closed subspace \(Y\) when equipped with the quotient norm

\[
\|u\|_{B_{1}} = \inf \left\{ \|f\|_{B_0} + \|\Phi\|_{C(\Gamma; B_0)} : u = f + \int_{\Gamma} \mathcal{R}_V(w) \Phi(w) \, dw \right\}.
\]

The space \(B_{1}\) satisfies \(B_0 \subset B_{1} \subset B_{1}\), where the canonical injections are continuous.

The theory of [1], which implies that there is a closed operator \(P_V^0 : \mathcal{D}(P_V^0) \to B_{1}\) which is a restriction of \(P_V\), is a sense we will make precise, and whose eigenvalues in \(\Delta\) are exactly the resonances of \(\mathcal{R}_V(z)\) in \(\Delta\). In fact, let \(\mathcal{F}_V\) be the closure of \(P_V\) as a densely defined operator from \(B_{1}\) to itself. Then \(P_V^0 u = \mathcal{F}_V u\) for all \(u \in \mathcal{D}(P_V^0)\). The Laurent expansion of \(\mathcal{R}_V^0(z) = (P_V^0 - z)^{-1}\) near a resonance \(z_0 \in \Delta\) takes the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=-k}^{-1} (z - z_0)^j A_j^0 + H^0(z),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(H^0(z)\) is a holomorphic \(\mathcal{L}(B_{1})\)-valued function in a neighborhood of \(z_0\) and the \(A_j^0\) are finite-rank operators belonging to \(\mathcal{L}(B_{1}, \mathcal{D}(P_V^0))\). For \(f \in B_0\) we have

\[
A_j^0 f = A_j f,
\]

where \(A_j\) are the corresponding Laurent coefficients for \(\mathcal{R}_V(z)\).

Now fix \(V \in \hat{C}^\infty(X)\) and set \(P(t) = P_V + t W\) for another potential \(W \in \hat{C}^\infty(X)\). The operators \(P(t)\) have resolvents which admit meromorphic continuation to \(\mathcal{L}(B_0, B_{1})\)-valued meromorphic functions in \(\Re(s) > n/2 - N\) for any fixed \(N > 0\).
Moreover, for $t$ small and a fixed region $\Delta$, the spaces $B_{\Gamma}(t)$ corresponding to $P(t)$ are equal as sets and carry equivalent norms, and the operators $P_{\Gamma}(t)$ form an analytic family of type (A) in the sense of Kato [12]. Let $\mathcal{R}(t, z) = (P(t) - z)^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}(t, z) = (P_{\Gamma}(t) - z)^{-1}$. Theorem 7.7 of [1] shows that, for small $t$, the resolvents $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}(t, z)$ and $\mathcal{R}(t, z)$ coincide on $B_0$, possess the same set of poles for each fixed $t$, and $\text{Ran}(A_{\Gamma}^j(t)) = \text{Ran}(A_j(t))$, where $A_j(t)$ and $A_{\Gamma}^j(t)$ are the respective Laurent coefficients of $\mathcal{R}(t, z)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}(t, z)$ at a given pole in $\Delta$.

5. Generic Simplicity of Resonances

For $L^2$ eigenvalues of the Laplacian and its perturbations, it has long been known that ‘generic’ potential perturbations split degenerate eigenvalues so that a single eigenvalue of multiplicity $m$ becomes $m$ simple eigenvalues, localized near the original eigenvalue (see for example Uhlenbeck [26], where generic simplicity is proved for the Laplacian on compact manifolds, and Kato [12] for the background in perturbation theory of linear operators; Uhlenbeck’s methods adapt without difficulty to eigenvalues below the continuous spectrum). The purpose of this section is to show that the same is true of the resolvent resonances.

**Theorem 5.1.** The set $E$ of potentials $V \in \dot{C}^\infty(X)$ for which all eigenvalues of $\Delta_g + V$ and all resonances of $\Delta_g + V$ in $C\setminus \frac{1}{2}(n - N)$ are simple is open and dense in $\dot{C}^\infty(X)$.

We will follow rather closely the argument of [13] except that Agmon’s perturbation theory replaces the exterior complex scaling used there. Since generic simplicity results for eigenvalues are well-known we will only prove that generic simplicity holds for the resonances.

For positive integers $N$ and real numbers $r > 0$, we define

$$\mathcal{R}_N^r = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathcal{R} : |\zeta| < r, \quad \text{dist}(\zeta, \frac{1}{2}(n - N)) > 1/N \right\},$$

and let

$$E_N^r = \left\{ V \in \dot{C}^\infty(X) : \text{each } \zeta \text{ in } \mathcal{R}_N^r \text{ is simple} \right\}.$$

We set

$$E = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \bigcap_{N=1}^\infty E_N^0,$$

and we define

$$F = \dot{C}^\infty(X) \setminus E.$$

We wish to show that $E$ is dense in $\dot{C}^\infty(X)$, i.e., that $F$ has empty interior. By the Baire category theorem, it suffices to show that $F_N^n = \dot{C}^\infty(X) \setminus E_N^n$ is nowhere dense for each $n$ and $N$. By the discreteness of the resonance set in $C\setminus \frac{1}{2}(n - N)$, it suffices to show that for any $V \in F_N^n$, any nonsimple pole $\zeta_0$, and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $W$ with $x(W, 0) < \varepsilon$ so that $V + W$ has only simple poles in a neighborhood of $\zeta_0$. As in Section [1], it will be convenient to work with the meromorphically continued resolvent $\mathcal{R}(z)$, and we will denote by $z_0$ the point on the second sheet of the Riemann surface for $\mathcal{R}(z)$ corresponding to $\zeta_0$.

Consider the family of operators $P_{V + W} = \Delta_g + V + W$, where $d(W, 0) < \varepsilon_0$, and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is to be chosen (here $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the metric on $\dot{C}^\infty(X)$ defined in [13]). Let
\( \Gamma \) be a contour enclosing \( z_0 \) and no other pole of \( \mathcal{R}(z) \). For \( \varepsilon_0 \) small enough, the operators \( P_{V^+W}^{\Gamma} \) defined in Agmon's abstract theory can be considered to act on a single Banach space \( B_{\Gamma} \), and the associated projection

\[
\Pi_{V^+W}^{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} (P_{V^+W}^{\Gamma} - w)^{-1} \, dw
\]

is analytic in \( W \) with \( d(W,0) < \varepsilon_0 \), and of constant rank, say \( m \). As in [13], we note that either

1. for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there is a \( W \) with \( x(W,0) < \varepsilon \) so that \( P_{V^+W}^{\Gamma} \) has at least two distinct eigenvalues, or

2. there is an \( \varepsilon > 0 \) so that for all \( W \) with \( x(W,0) < \varepsilon \), \( P_{V^+W}^{\Gamma} \) has a single eigenvalue \( z(W) \) and there is an integer \( k(W), 1 \leq k(W) \leq m \), so that

\[
(P_{V^+W}^{\Gamma} - z(W))^{k(W)} \Pi_{V^+W}^{\Gamma} = 0 \quad (P_{V^+W}^{\Gamma} - z(W))^{k(W)-1} \Pi_{V^+W}^{\Gamma} \neq 0.
\]

If case (2) does not occur, we can split resonances repeatedly by small perturbations. Thus we will suppose that case (2) does occur and obtain a contradiction.

First, note that \( k(W) \) is locally constant, so by taking \( \varepsilon_0 \) small enough we may assume that \( k(W) \) is constant for \( W \) with \( x(W,0) < \varepsilon_0 \). As in [13] we consider in turn the possibilities \( k(W) = 1 \) (the semi-simple case) and \( k(W) \geq 2 \).

First suppose that \( k(W) = 1 \), that \( z(W) \) is an eigenvalue of \( P_{V^+W}^{\Gamma} \) of multiplicity \( m \), and let \( \{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^m \) be a basis for \( \text{Ran}(A_{1,1}^{\Gamma}) \), where \( A_{1,1}^{\Gamma} \) occurs in the Laurent expansion for \( (P_{V}^{\Gamma} - z)^{-1} \) at \( z = z_0 \). The vectors \( \{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^m \) belong to \( B_{\Gamma} \subset B_1 \) and may be chosen to diagonalize \( A_{1,1}^{\Gamma} \) as in Proposition 2.4. Let \( \{f_j\}_{j=1}^m \) be a set of vectors in \( B_0 \) with \( \langle \psi_i, f_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \). Finally, for fixed \( W \), let \( L(t) = P_{V^tW}^\Gamma \), let \( \Pi_t = \Pi_{V^tW}^\Gamma \), let \( \psi(t) = \Pi_t \psi_i \), and let \( z(t) = z(tW) \). By differentiating the eigenvalue equation

\[
(L(t) - z(t))\psi(t) = 0
\]

at \( t = 0 \), we recover the identity

\[
(W - z'(0))\psi_i + (L(0) - z(0))\psi_i'(0) = 0.
\]

We now apply the projection \( \Pi_0 \) to both sides, pair with \( f_j \), and use the fact that \( (L(0) - z(0))\Pi_0 = \Pi_0(L(0) - z(0)) = 0 \) to conclude that

\[
\langle f_j, \Pi_0 W \psi_i \rangle = z'(0)\delta_{ij}.
\]

From the choice of \( \{f_i\} \) and the fact that \( \Pi_0 = \sum_i \langle \psi_i, \cdot \rangle \psi_i \) it now follows that

\[
\langle \psi_i, W \psi_j \rangle = z'(0)\delta_{ij}.
\]

Since this must hold for any \( W \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X) \) (in particular for all \( W \in C^\infty_0(U) \) with \( U \) an open subset of \( X \)), it follows that at least one of the \( \psi_i \) vanishes on \( U \), and hence on \( X \) by unique continuation. This gives a contradiction.

Now suppose that \( z(W) \) is not semi-simple, but that there is a fixed \( k \geq 2 \) so that

\[
(L(t) - z(t))^{k}\Pi_t = 0, \quad (L(t) - z(t))^{k-1}\Pi_t \neq 0.
\]

Choose a vector \( h \in B \) with \( \psi(t) = (L(t) - z(t))^{k-1}\Pi_t h \neq 0 \), so that

\[
(L(t) - z(t))\psi(t) = 0.
\]

Let \( \psi = \psi(0) \). A perturbation calculation again leads to

\[
(W - z'(0))\psi + (L(0) - z(0))\psi'(0) = 0.
\]
If we apply the projection $\Pi_0$ to both sides of (5.1) and pair with a vector $f \in B_0$ with $\Pi_0f = \psi$, we obtain
\[
\langle \psi, W\psi \rangle = z'(0) \langle f, \Pi_0\psi \rangle.
\]
We have used the fact that $\Pi_0$ is symmetric with respect to the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. To evaluate the right-hand side, we use the fact that $L(0) - z(0)$ preserves $B_0$ to write
\[
\langle f, \Pi_0\psi \rangle = \langle f, \Pi_0(L(0) - z(0))^{k-1}\Pi_0h \rangle = \langle \psi, \Pi_0(L(0) - z(0))^{k-1}\Pi_0h \rangle = \langle (L(0) - z(0))^{k-1}\Pi_0h, (L(0) - z(0))^{k-1}\Pi_0h \rangle = 0,
\]
so that $\langle \psi, W\psi \rangle = 0$ for all $W \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$. It follows that $\psi$ vanishes on $X$, a contradiction.

We have now shown that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $W$ with $d(W, 0) < \varepsilon$ so that $P_{V+W}$ has at least two distinct eigenvalues. It follows that any resonance can be split by an arbitrarily small perturbation $W \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$. This fact implies that the set $E$ of potentials $V$ for which $\Delta_g + V$ has only simple resonances in $\mathbb{C}\setminus \frac{1}{2}(n - N)$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$, and Theorem 5.1 is proved.

In case $(X, g)$ has constant curvature near infinity, this result can be improved if we work with the class $C_0^\infty(U)$ for a fixed open subset $U$ of $X$. In this case the methods of [6] can be used to show that the resolvent of $\Delta_g + V$ has a meromorphic continuation with only finite-rank poles, including any poles at $\zeta_0 \in \frac{1}{2}(n - N)$. One can then apply the above arguments without essential changes to prove:

\begin{flushright}
\textbf{Theorem 5.2.} Let $U$ be a fixed open subset of $X$ with compact closure. The set $E$ of potentials $V \in C_0^\infty(U)$ for which all eigenvalues and all resonances of $\Delta_g + V$ are simple is open and dense in $C_0^\infty(U)$.
\end{flushright}

\section{6. Resonant Resonances and Scattering Poles}

Finally, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we choose a $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ potential $V$ so that all of the eigenvalues and resonances of $\Delta_g + V$ are simple. We further choose $V$ small enough that, for a given point $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\zeta_0 \not\in \mathbb{C}\setminus \frac{1}{2}(n - N)$, some $\varepsilon > 0$, and any $t \in (0, 1)$, no resonances of $\Delta_g + tV$ cross the circle $\gamma_{\zeta_0, \varepsilon}$ of radius $\varepsilon$ about $\zeta_0$, and the projection
\[
\Pi_{tV} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_{\zeta_0, \varepsilon}} (2\zeta - n)(\Delta_g + tV - \zeta(n - \zeta))^{-1} d\zeta
\]
(as well as its analogue for $n - \zeta_0$ if $\zeta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$) is continuous in $t$. It follows from Kato-Rellich perturbation theory for small $t$, the rank of $\Pi_{tV}$ is continuous, so that
\[
m(t) = \text{rank}(\Pi_{tV}) = m_{\zeta_0}
\]
is constant for $t$ small. On the other hand, we can also assume that for some $t \neq 0$ and small, the resonances of $\Delta_g + tV$ are all simple. Thus we can apply Proposition 2.2 to conclude
\[
\text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_{\zeta_0, \varepsilon}} S_{tV}^{-1}(\zeta)S_{tV}'(\zeta) d\zeta \right) = m_{n-\zeta_0} - m_{\zeta_0}.
\]
Finally, by Proposition 6.3 we have
\[
\text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_{\zeta_0}} S^{-1}_V(\zeta) S'_V(\zeta) \, d\zeta \right) = \nu_{\zeta_0},
\]
where \(\nu_{\zeta_0}\) is the multiplicity of the scattering pole \(\zeta_0\) of \(S(\zeta)\), the scattering operator for the unperturbed \(\Delta_g\). This proves Theorem 1.1.

For poles \(\zeta_0 \in \frac{1}{2}(n - N)\), the proof breaks down for several reasons: (i) the resolvent may have infinite rank poles at these points, making \(m_{\zeta_0}\) undefined, (ii) the scattering operator may have infinite rank poles at the points \(\frac{1}{2}n + N\), making \(\nu_{\zeta_0}\) undefined at \(\frac{1}{2}n - N\). If \((X, g)\) has constant curvature in a neighborhood of infinity, then as remarked in the introduction, problem (i) is avoided. Moreover, Theorem 6.1 on generic simplicity of resonances holds at all \(\Re(\zeta) < n/2\) in this case because all poles have finite rank.

If in addition to constant curvature near infinity \(X\) has even dimension (i.e. \(n\) is odd), then problem (ii) can be bypassed because of very particular behavior of the resolvent and scattering operators at the points \(\frac{1}{2}n - N\).

**Lemma 6.1.** Suppose that \(X\) has constant curvature near infinity, \(V\) is compactly supported, and \(\dim X\) is even. Then for \(\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}n - N\), \(S_V(\zeta) = 0\).

**Proof.** The proof is a direct generalization of [7], Lemma 2.5. Let \(G_0(\zeta; w, w')\) be the integral kernel of \(R_0(\zeta)\) with respect to Riemannian measure on \(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}\), where \(w = (x, y), w' = (x', y')\) for \(y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^n, x, x' > 0\). In general one has \(G_0(\zeta) \in (xx')^{\alpha}C^\infty(\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1}\setminus \Delta)\), where \(\Delta\) denotes the diagonal. However, at the special points \(\frac{1}{2}n - N\) we actually have
\[
G_0(n/2 - k) \in (xx')^{\frac{n}{2} + k}C^\infty(\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1}\setminus \Delta).
\]
This can be checked by writing down the resolvent explicitly or by using the formula
\[
G_0(\zeta; w, w') - G_{0, n-\zeta}(w, w') = \int_{\mathbb{R}_n} e_0(\zeta; w, y'')e_0(n - \zeta; w, y'') \, dy'',
\]
where
\[
e_0(\zeta; w, y'') = \pi^{-n/2} \frac{\Gamma(\zeta)}{\Gamma(\zeta - n/2)} \frac{x^\zeta}{(|y - y''|^2 + x^2)^\zeta}.
\]
The point is that \(e_0(\zeta)\) vanishes at \(\zeta = \frac{1}{2}n - N\) if \(\dim X = n + 1\) is even.

Now we want to make use of the iterative parametrix construction in [6]. Since \(g\) has constant curvature near infinity, a neighborhood of \(\partial X\) can be covered with finitely many neighborhoods each of which is isometric to a hemisphere bordering the real axis in \(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}\). Guillope-Zworski construct a boundary model \(M_0(\zeta)\) for the resolvent by pulling back \(G_0\) into these neighborhoods. So \(\beta^* M_0(\zeta) \in (\eta y)^{\alpha}C^\infty(\overline{X} \times \overline{X})\), in the notation of [2], but has the extra decay as in (6.1) for \(\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}n - N\).

For each \(N > 0\) one can construct a correction \(M'(\zeta)\) such that
\[
|\Delta_g + V - (n - \zeta)| (M_0(\zeta) - M'(\zeta)) = I - K(\zeta),
\]
where \(M'\) and \(K\) are meromorphic with finite rank poles, and the kernels satisfy
\[
\beta^* \kappa(M') \in \eta^{\alpha + 2} \eta y^2 C^\infty(\overline{X} \times \overline{X}),
\]
\[
\beta^* \kappa(K) \in \eta^{\alpha + N} \eta y^2 C^\infty(\overline{X} \times \overline{X}).
\]
This implies that $K$ is compact on $x^NL^2(X)$ for $\Re \zeta > \frac{1}{2}n - N$, so the analytic Fredholm theory can be applied to give

$$R_V(\zeta) = (M_0(\zeta) - M'(\zeta))(I - K(\zeta))^{-1}.$$ 

Using the general composition properties of the kernels (see [18, 2]), one can show that $(I - K(\zeta))^{-1} = I + K'$ where $K'$ has a similar structure to $K$, but possibly with extra logarithms at lower orders. We then have

$$(6.2) \quad R_V(\zeta) = (M_0(\zeta) - M'(\zeta))(I + K'(\zeta)).$$

Recall the characterization (5.1) of the scattering operator as a boundary limit of the resolvent. From this we see that $M'(I + K')$ never contributes to the scattering operator. The extra decay of $M_0(\zeta)$ at the special points $\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}n - \mathbb{N}$ shows that $(xx')^{-\zeta}M_0(I + K')$ vanishes in the limit $x \to 0$ at these points. So the scattering operator is zero.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2. By the perturbation argument as above we can assume that $R_V$ has only simple resonances, and away from $\frac{1}{2}n - \mathbb{N}$ no new argument is needed.

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $R_V$ had a pole at $\zeta_0 = \frac{n}{2} - k$. Arguing as in Proposition 3.2, the polar part of $R_V(\zeta)$ is

$$(\zeta(n - \zeta) - \zeta_0(n - \zeta_0))^{-1} \langle \psi_0, \cdot \rangle \psi_0,$$

where $\psi_0 \in x^{\frac{n}{2} - k}C^\infty(X)$ solves the eigenvalue equation $(\Delta_g + V - \zeta_0(n - \zeta_0))\psi_0 = 0$. Suppose that $\varphi_0 = x^{\frac{n}{2} + k}\psi_0|_{\partial X} = 0$. Then $\psi_0 \in x^{\frac{n}{2} + k}C^\infty(X)$. The power series argument can be applied at these points to show that $\psi_0 \in x^{\frac{n}{2} + k}C^\infty(X)$. This would be $L^2$ and so gives contradiction. Therefore $\phi_0$ would have to be nonzero, implying that $S_V(\zeta)$ would have a pole at $\zeta_0$. Since $S_V(\zeta_0) = 0$ we conclude that $R_V$ is holomorphic at $\zeta_0 = \frac{n}{2} - k$ and $m_{\zeta_0} = 0$.

Now consider $\hat{S}_V(\zeta) = \Gamma(\zeta - \frac{n}{2} + 1)S_V(\zeta)$ as in the introduction. By Lemma 6.1 $\hat{S}_V$ is holomorphic at $\zeta_0 = \frac{n}{2} - k$, so $N_{\zeta_0}((\hat{S}_V)^{-1}) = 0 = m_{\zeta_0}(V)$.

It remains to compute $N_{\zeta_0}(\hat{S}_V)$ by considering the behavior of $\hat{S}_V^{-1}$ at $\zeta_0$. We can appeal to (6.2) to argue that

$$\kappa(\hat{S}_V^{-1}(\zeta)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta - \frac{n}{2} + 1)}\mu(S_V(n - \zeta))$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta - \frac{n}{2} + 1)}\beta^V_0 \left((xx')^{-(n-\zeta)}G_{n-\zeta}\right)|_{T \cap B}$$

for $\zeta$ in a neighborhood of $\zeta_0$. Here the infinite-rank pole in $S_V$ at $n - \zeta_0 = \frac{n}{2} + k$, which comes from the model term $M_0$ in $G_{n-\zeta}$, is cancelled by dividing out the gamma function.

The rest of the argument proceeds as before. We conclude that $N_{\zeta_0}(\hat{S}_V) = 1$ if and only if $R_V(\zeta)$ has a simple pole at $\frac{n}{2} + k$. We thus have

$$\nu_{\zeta_0}(V) = N_{\zeta_0}(\hat{S}_V) - N_{\zeta_0}(\hat{S}_V^{-1}) = m_{n-\zeta_0}(V) - m_{\zeta_0}(V),$$

and the theorem follows.
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